

Center-Pivot-Irrigated Forage Sorghum Silage Cost-Return Budget in Western Kansas



Department of Agricultural Economics — www.agmanager.info

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

Troy J. Dumler
Agricultural Economist

Daniel M. O'Brien
Agricultural Economist

Brian L.S. Olson
Crops and Soils, NW

Kent L. Martin
Crops and Soils, SW

Irrigated sorghum silage is produced for feed by livestock feeders and for cash sales to feedlots. Forage sorghum silage will generally out-yield corn silage. However, because of the lower feed value, sorghum silage is often valued less on a per unit basis. Research indicates that grain sorghum put up as silage has a higher feeding value than forage sorghum silage, but with lower yields. The type of silage to produce will depend somewhat on the producer's feeding program or the intended use of the silage buyer. Grain sorghum silage will be the choice of producers either feeding or backgrounding cattle at high rates of gain. Alternatively, feeders wintering calves at slow rates of gain for a summer pasture program would choose the higher yielding forage sorghum silage. Several varieties fall somewhere in between grain and forage sorghum that work well as silage. This budget is based on a forage sorghum put up as silage. The costs would be similar for grain sorghum put up as silage, but with lower yields.

Income Per Acre

Crop production costs per unit and net returns are highly dependent on yields. The following estimated budgets include three different yield levels, which are intended to represent expected yields for land of varying quality for a given level

Table 1. Production Inputs — Center-Pivot-Irrigated Forage Sorghum Silage

Item	Yield Level (ton)			
	18.0	21.0	24.0	
Seed, lbs*	15	15	15	\$2.54/lb
Fertilizer:				
N (anhydrous)	171	199	229	\$0.44/lb
N	0	0	0	\$0.68/lb
P	68	79	91	\$0.80/lb
K	0	0	0	\$0.55/lb
Lime	0	0	0	\$0.01/lb
Herbicide				
Bicep Lite II Magnum	1.5	1.5	1.5	\$13.28/qt
Insecticide / Fungicide				
Irrigation water, in	10	14	18	\$3.00/in

* *Concep/Gaucha treated*

Table 2. Machinery and Land Resources — Center-Pivot-Irrigated Forage Sorghum Silage

Item	Yield Level (ton)			Custom Rate
	18.0	21.0	24.0	
Tillage/Planting/Chemical Applications:				
Chisel	1	1	1	\$11.56/a
Disk	1	1	1	\$9.89/a
Field cultivate	1	1	1	\$9.49/a
Plant	1	1	1	\$13.81/a
Anhydrous application	1	1	1	\$10.89/a
Fertilizer application	0	0	0	\$5.36/a
Herbicide application	1	1	1	\$5.47/a
Insecticide / fungicide application	0	0	0	\$5.54/a
Harvest				
Base charge	1	1	1	\$0.00/a
Extra charge for yields exceeding	0	0	0	\$8.100/ton
Hauling	18	21	24	\$0.000/ton
Non-machinery labor	1.80	2.01	2.22	\$13.00/hr
Irrigation labor	0.50	0.50	0.50	\$13.00/hr
Land charge/rent	\$121.60	\$152.00	\$182.40	
Interest on capital				6.5%
Irrigation Equipment	Investment, \$/a		Years	Salvage value
Well, pump and gearhead value	\$476.00		25	0%
Power unit and meter	\$131.00		7	0%
Irrigation system	\$575.00		25	25%

of management. Producers can compare the profitability of crop enterprises on farmland tracts with varying yield potential by considering alternative expected yield scenarios. Land values and government payments have been adjusted for alternative yield levels in this budget. In customizing a budget to your farm, attention should be given to using land values representative of your farm's productive capacity and local farmland market conditions.

Costs Per Acre

Production costs at the three production levels are shown on lines 1 through 13. Kansas Custom Rates for specific field

operations are used to represent fuel and labor costs as well as machinery repair, depreciation, and interest expenses in these budgets. Table 1 identifies the typical seed, fertilizer, herbicide, insecticide, and irrigation water requirements (rate and cost/unit) for center-pivot-irrigated forage sorghum silage. Herbicide requirements include both pre-crop and in-crop treatments. Table 2 outlines the machinery, irrigation equipment, and land resources used for center-pivot-irrigated forage sorghum silage. Each tillage, planting, and harvest operation is identified.

COST-RETURN PROJECTION — CENTER-PIVOT-IRRIGATED SORGHUM SILAGE

	Yield Level (ton)			Your Farm
	18.0	21.0	24.0	
INCOME PER ACRE				
A. Yield per acre	18.0	21.0	24.0	_____
B. Price per ton	\$ 37.06	\$ 37.06	\$ 37.06	_____
C. Net government payment	\$ 29.92	\$ 32.53	\$ 35.13	_____
D. Indemnity payments	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	_____
E. Miscellaneous income.....	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	_____
F. Returns/acre ((A × B) + C + D + E)	\$ 696.93	\$ 810.70	\$ 924.47	_____
COSTS PER ACRE				
1. Seed	\$ 38.10	\$ 38.10	\$ 38.10	_____
2. Herbicide	19.92	19.92	19.92	_____
3. Insecticide / Fungicide	_____	_____	_____	_____
4. Fertilizer and Lime	129.64	150.76	173.56	_____
5. Crop Consulting	6.25	6.25	6.25	_____
6. Crop Insurance	_____	_____	_____	_____
7. Drying	_____	_____	_____	_____
8. Miscellaneous.....	10.00	10.00	10.00	_____
9. Custom Hire / Machinery Expense.....	206.91	231.21	255.51	_____
10. Non-machinery Labor	23.38	26.13	28.87	_____
11. Irrigation	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Labor	6.50	6.50	6.50	_____
b. Fuel and Oil.....	30.00	42.00	54.00	_____
c. Repairs and Maintenance	3.30	4.62	5.94	_____
d. Depreciation on Equipment and Well.....	55.00	55.00	55.00	_____
e. Interest on Equipment and Well	43.09	43.09	43.09	_____
12. Land Charge / Rent.....	121.60	152.00	182.40	_____
G. SUB TOTAL	\$ 693.69	\$ 785.58	\$ 879.14	_____
13. Interest on ½ Nonland Costs	15.41	17.40	19.46	_____
H. TOTAL COSTS.....	\$ 709.10	\$ 802.98	\$ 898.60	_____
I. RETURNS OVER COSTS (F - H)	\$ -12.17	\$ 7.72	\$ 25.87	_____
J. TOTAL COSTS/TON (H ÷ A).....	\$ 39.39	\$ 38.24	\$ 37.44	_____
K. RETURN TO ANNUAL COST (I + 13) ÷ G	0.47%	3.20%	5.16%	_____

Publications from Kansas State University are available on the World Wide Web at: www.ksre.ksu.edu

Publications are reviewed or revised annually by appropriate faculty to reflect current research and practice. Date shown is that of publication or last revision. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. In each case, credit Troy J. Dumler et al., *Center-Pivot-Irrigated Forage Sorghum Silage Cost-Return Budget in Western Kansas*, Kansas State University, December 2011.

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

MF-998

December 2011

K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, as amended. Kansas State University, County Extension Councils, Extension Districts, and United States Department of Agriculture Cooperating, Gary Pierzynski, Interim Director.