

# Grain Sorghum Cost-Return Budget in North Central Kansas



**K-STATE**  
Research and Extension

Department of Agricultural Economics — [www.agmanager.info](http://www.agmanager.info)

## Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

Daniel M. O'Brien  
Agricultural Economist

Stewart R. Duncan  
Crops and Soils, NE

Brian L.S. Olson  
Crops and Soils, NW

Kansas consistently ranks as the number one grain sorghum producing state in the nation. The largest share of the state's grain sorghum production is in north central Kansas. As with many north central Kansas crops, grain sorghum is produced using various crop rotation and tillage systems. This budget is based on grain sorghum production in rotation using a no-till system.

### Income Per Acre

Crop production costs per unit and net returns are highly dependent on yields. The following estimated budgets include three different yield levels, which are intended to represent expected yields for land of varying quality for a given level of management. Yield levels are based on historical data from Kansas Agricultural Statistics Service and the North Central Kansas Farm Management Association, adjusting for trends over time. Based on K-State research findings, this budget assumes a higher yield for grain sorghum in rotation than would be expected for continuous grain sorghum production. Land values and government payments have been adjusted for alternative yield levels in this budget. In customizing a budget to your farm, attention should be given to using land values representative of your farm's productive capacity as well as government payments specific to your land.

Price per bushel represents an expected harvest price in Beloit, Kan., accounting for government marketing loan price support levels. Grain sorghum producers in other areas

**Table 1. Production Inputs — Grain Sorghum**

Item	Yield Level (bu)			
	70	90	110	
Seed, lbs*	4.67	4.67	4.67	\$3.43/lb
Fertilizer:				
N (anhydrous)	41	62	78	\$0.44/lb
N	10	12	15	\$0.68/lb
P	32	41	50	\$0.80/lb
K	0	0	0	\$0.55/lb
Lime	500	500	500	\$0.01/lb
Herbicide				
Atrazine 90 DF	2.2	0.0	0.0	\$4.25/lb
Bicep II Magnum	0.0	1.6	1.6	\$10.55/qt
Buctril + Atrazine	2.0	2.0	2.0	\$6.85/pt
Glyphosate + Ammonium Sulfate	1.0	1.0	1.0	\$3.39/a

\* *Concep/Gaucha treated*

of north central Kansas should use an expected price that is representative for their location. Typically, a reasonable forecast for price is to use the futures market adjusted by the historical basis for a particular location, where basis equals cash price minus futures price.

Crop insurance was not included as an input expense in this budget because yields reflect an average of all years (good

**Table 2. Machinery and Land Resources — Grain Sorghum**

Item	Yield Level (bu)			Custom Rate
	70	90	110	
Tillage/Planting/Chemical Applications:				
Chisel	0	0	0	\$11.56/a
Disk	0	0	0	\$9.89/a
Field cultivate	0	0	0	\$9.49/a
No-till plant	1	1	1	\$15.49/a
Anhydrous application	1	1	1	\$10.89/a
Fertilizer application	1	1	1	\$5.36/a
Herbicide application	2	2	2	\$5.47/a
Insecticide / fungicide application	0	0	0	\$5.54/a
Harvest				
Base charge	1	1	1	\$21.58/a
Extra charge for yields exceeding	36	36	36	\$0.204/bu
Hauling	70	90	110	\$0.190/bu
Non-machinery labor	0.73	0.80	0.87	\$13.00/hr
Land charge/rent	\$59.20	\$74.00	\$88.80	
Interest on capital				6.5%

and bad). If crop insurance is included as an input expense, then an expected value for indemnity payments should be included in the returns section.

### Costs Per Acre

Production costs at the three yield levels are shown on lines 1 through 13. Kansas Custom Rates for specific field operations are used to represent fuel and labor costs as well as machinery repair, depreciation, and interest expenses.

Table 1 identifies seed, fertilizer, herbicide, and insecticide requirements (rate and cost/unit) for grain sorghum. Fertilizer requirements are adjusted up for the higher yields expected under rotation, allowing for a 30-pound-per-acre nitrogen credit following soybeans. Herbicide requirements include both pre-crop and in-crop treatments. Table 2 outlines the machinery and land resources used for grain sorghum in a no-till system.

## COST-RETURN PROJECTION — GRAIN SORGHUM — NORTH CENTRAL KANSAS

	Yield Level (bu)			Your Farm
	70	90	110	
<b>INCOME PER ACRE</b>				
A. Yield per acre .....	70	90	110	_____
B. Price per bushel .....	\$ 5.36	\$ 5.36	\$ 5.36	_____
C. Net government payment .....	\$ 13.03	\$ 14.16	\$ 15.29	_____
D. Indemnity payments .....	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	_____
E. Miscellaneous income .....	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	_____
F. Returns/acre ((A × B) + C + D + E) .....	\$ 388.23	\$ 496.56	\$ 604.89	_____
<b>COSTS PER ACRE</b>				
1. Seed .....	\$ 16.02	\$ 16.02	\$ 16.02	_____
2. Herbicide .....	26.44	33.97	33.97	_____
3. Insecticide / Fungicide .....	_____	_____	_____	_____
4. Fertilizer and Lime .....	55.44	73.24	89.52	_____
5. Crop Consulting .....	_____	_____	_____	_____
6. Crop Insurance .....	_____	_____	_____	_____
7. Drying .....	9.10	11.70	14.30	_____
8. Miscellaneous .....	6.25	6.25	6.25	_____
9. Custom Hire / Machinery Expense .....	84.50	92.38	100.26	_____
10. Non-machinery Labor .....	9.55	10.44	11.33	_____
11. Irrigation .....	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Labor .....	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Fuel and Oil .....	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. Repairs and Maintenance .....	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. Depreciation on Equipment and Well .....	_____	_____	_____	_____
e. Interest on Equipment .....	_____	_____	_____	_____
12. Land Charge / Rent .....	59.20	74.00	88.80	_____
G. SUB TOTAL .....	\$ 266.49	\$ 317.99	\$ 360.44	_____
13. Interest on ½ Nonland Costs .....	6.44	7.55	8.36	_____
H. TOTAL COSTS .....	\$ 272.93	\$ 325.54	\$ 368.81	_____
I. RETURNS OVER COSTS (F - H) .....	\$ 115.29	\$ 171.02	\$ 236.09	_____
J. TOTAL COSTS/BUSHEL (H ÷ A) .....	\$ 3.90	\$ 3.62	\$ 3.35	_____
K. RETURN TO ANNUAL COST (I + 13) ÷ G .....	45.68%	56.15%	67.82%	_____

Publications from Kansas State University are available on the World Wide Web at: [www.ksre.ksu.edu](http://www.ksre.ksu.edu).

Publications are reviewed or revised annually by appropriate faculty to reflect current research and practice. Date shown is that of publication or last revision. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. In each case, credit Daniel M. O'Brien, Stewart R. Duncan, and Brian L.S. Olson *Grain Sorghum Cost-Return Budget in North Central Kansas*, Kansas State University, December 2011.

**Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service**

MF-2159

December 2011

K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, as amended. Kansas State University, County Extension Councils, Extension Districts, and United States Department of Agriculture Cooperating, Gary Pierzynski, Interim Director.