

CONTENTS

1998 WHEAT CROP Weather Conditions......1 Critical precipitation Figure 11 Hail and tornado events Figure 21 Crop Development......1 Statewide crop development Figure 32 1997-1998 Wheat crop condition Figure 42 Topsoil moisture Figure 52 Diseases 3 Harvest Statistics......4 Historical wheat production Figure 64 WHEAT VARIETIES GROWN IN KANSAS Acreage Distribution4 Leading wheat varieties Figure 74 Acreage of top 10 varieties Figure 85 New Variety Descriptions5 Agronomic Characteristics of Leading Winter Wheat Varieties, Table 1......6 1998 PERFORMANCE TESTS Parentage and Origin of Public Varieties Table 2......7 Private Entrants and Their Entries Table 3......8 Environmental Factors Affecting Individual Tests8 Site Descriptions and Management Table 4......9 Test Results and Variety Characterization......11 Graphical Performance Summaries, 1992-1998......12 Eastern Kansas tests Figure 914 Central Kansas tests Figure 1015 Western Kansas tests Figure 1116 Irrigated tests Figure 1217

	Yield (bushels per acre)	East	Table 5a	18
		Central	Table 5b	19
		West	Table 5c	20
		Irrigated	Table 5d	21
	Yield (% of test average)	East	Table 6a	22
		Central	Table 6b	23
		West	Table 6c	24
		Irrigated	Table 6d	25
	Multiyear Yield Averages	East	Table 7a	26
		Central	Table 7b	27
		West	Table 7c	28
		Irrigated	Table 7d	29
	Test Weight (lb per bushe	l) East	Table 8a	30
		Central	Table 8b	31
		West	Table 8c	32
		Irrigated	Table 8d	33
	Heading (days +/- check)	East	Table 9a	34
		Central	Table 9b	35
		West	Table 9c	36
		Irrigated	Table 9d	37
	Plant Height (inches)	East	Table 10a	38
		Central	Table 10b	39
		West	Table 10c	40
		Irrigated	Table 10d	41
	Lodging Notes		Table 11	42
	Shattering and Disease No	otes	Table 12	43
	Planted Seed characteristi	ics, Coleoptile	e Lengths, and Hessian Fly Ratings, Table 13	44
	Protein Values from 1997	Tests	Table 14	45
APPE	NDIX			
	Electronic Access, University	sity Research	Policy, and Duplication Policy	47
	Contributors		•	hack cove

1998 KANSAS WHEAT PERFORMANCE TEST

INTRODUCTION

This publication presents results from the 1997-98 Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests and other information related to winter wheat variety performance. The information included in the report is intended to assist wheat producers in the variety selection process. The first section summarizes statewide growing conditions and harvest information for the entire 1998 Kansas wheat crop. Statewide acreage distribution of leading Kansas varieties and a summary of important agronomic and quality traits for these varieties follows. The third section presents procedures and results for the 1998 Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

1998 CROP CONDITIONS

Weather Conditions

The critical weather factors for wheat are precipitation and temperature. Precipitation for the 1998 wheat season was generally favorable. Only the eastern third of the state reported below-normal precipitation during the critical October to May period. Figure 1 shows the 1997-98 amounts versus the 1961-90 normals.





Figure 1. Critical precipitation (October – May) by crop reporting district.

Temperatures were also favorable for the most part. The coldest weather of the season occurred in March. Fortunately, there was also considerable snow cover over much of the state. Warm weather returned in April. May and June brought above-normal temperatures throughout much of the state. Hot temperatures in late May and early June caused some concern during the grain filling period.

An extensive outbreak of severe weather occurred during the weekend of May 23-24. Hail, high winds, and tornadoes affected much of the southern third of the state. Severe hailstorms were more isolated in June, but produced hail of 3 and 4 inches. Figure 2 shows some of the hail and tornado events.



■ Tornado report

- Hail report

Figure 2. May and June hail and tornado events.

(From Mary Knapp, KSU State Climatologist).

Crop Development

Figure 3 presents the rate and timing of various stages of development of the 1998 crop. Emergence started in late September, similar to the average for the past 5 years but ahead of the previous year. Jointing started slowly in mid-March and was behind average until early April, but then it progressed more rapidly than in previous years. Heading was similar to that in past years. The crop turned color and ripened much more rapidly than in previous years, likely because of the warm, dry weather in late May





Figure 3. Statewide development of the 1997-98 winter wheat crop.

and early June. Harvest started about the same time as in previous years but progressed very rapidly until the first week in July. Widespread rains slowed harvest of the remaining wheat acres.

Nearly 80% of the crop acres were rated as good or excellent for most of the growing season (Figure 4). A slight dip in condition occurred in early June as a result of hot, dry weather and some severe hail and wind. However, the crop rebounded quickly and went on to produce record yields in most areas.

Soil moisture was generally adequate for most of the season (Figure 5). Dry conditions in early fall gave way to adequate or even surplus moisture in many areas during the winter. producers were prevented from topdressing fertilizers in early spring because of the excess moisture. By late May, soil moisture became depleted in most of the state. Concerns were raised about a shortened grain filling period from the depleted moisture and high temperatures. However, the crop later appeared not to be as adversely affected as expected. conditions in late June and early July enabled harvest to proceed rapidly across the state. (From Crop-Weather reports, Kansas Agricultural Statistics, Topeka).






Figure 5. Statewide status of topsoil moisture, 1997-1998.

Diseases

Above-average rainfall in the summer of 1997 promoted a good crop of volunteer wheat over much of the state. Luckily, most producers did a nice job of cleaning it up before planting. The fall was mild with good rains over most of the state. In September, some volunteer wheat was turned yellow by a heavy infection of leaf rust. Leaf rust, speckled leaf blotch, and wheat streak were all reported in the new crop by mid-October. Cold temperatures at the end of October halted further disease development.

The winter was fairly mild, and most leaves remained green through January. February had good precipitation and was warmer than average. This prompted concerns about development of speckled leaf blotch and leaf rust. However, March and April were cooler than normal, and this apparently had a negative impact on disease development in the southern plains. By early April, disease levels were generally low throughout Kansas and Oklahoma. Spindle streak mosaic and soilborne mosaic showed up in northwestern Kansas in some fields for the first time. Fall rains must have been perfect for infection.

In mid-April, powdery mildew was reported in some fields. A few hotspots of wheat streak showed up where volunteer wheat had gone undisturbed. Speckled leaf blotch was found everywhere but was not moving almost aggressively up the plants. By late April, tan spot began to move up the plants. It eventually became the most important disease in many fields. Leaf rust became more noticeable in early to mid-May, especially in areas that received some rain. After heading in early May, serious barley yellow dwarf virus was reported in some southeast Kansas fields but was rare elsewhere. Most wheat fields reached the soft dough stage before leaf diseases caused much defoliation. Extreme heat at the end of May and early June put an end to disease development over most of the state. Yield losses from disease were generally low.

(From Robert Bowden, State Extension Plant Pathologist).

Insects

Insect problems were unusually light in the 1998 wheat crop. In September, some producers in northwest Kansas expressed concern about noticeable numbers of white grub larvae (usually this is southern masked chafer, an annual species) just prior to planting. Some delayed planting in an attempt to avoid injury to wheat. We followed up on some reports but were unable to confirm any actual damage in planted wheat.

Army cutworms caused some concern in both wheat and alfalfa in parts of southwest Kansas during February and March. This year, the majority of the heavier infestations were confined to alfalfa in the sandy area south of the Arkansas River. Though the insects could be found in wheat, most were at noneconomic levels, except for some scattered fields in two or three counties in a pattern similar to that experienced last year. Pale western cutworm, an occasional damaging problem in the western third of Kansas, was not observed in 1998.

Aphid numbers were unusually low throughout the state. The Russian wheat aphid, which had been moderately active during May of 1997 in the counties bordering Colorado (at levels as high as 28 to 30% of the tillers infested) was not recorded in 1998.

Bird cherry-oat aphids were fairly common for a brief period in April, especially in parts of south central Kansas. Toward the end of the month, occasional small colonies of greenbugs appeared, but beneficial insects were also abundant and soon eliminated most signs of aphid activity.

Though they were not observed during the fall, winter grain mites were quite numerous in isolated fields for a short period in February. In some fields, the wheat began to exhibit reduced growth and off-color symptoms. By March following a late winter storm, mites had declined, and symptoms in most fields gradually diminished.

Damaging infestations of Hessian fly were not observed in 1998, although low levels of infestation (2% - 3% on average) were found in some susceptible varieties westward from Manhattan to Lane and Ness counties. (From Leroy Brooks, State Extension Entomologist).

Harvest Statistics

The Kansas Agricultural Statistics' July 10 estimate of the 1998 crop was 494.9 million bushels harvested from 10.1 million acres (Figure 6). This estimate was up 26% from the June 1 forecast and down only 2% from last year's record production. The statewide yield average of 49 bushels per acre set a new record. up 3 bushels from last year's record average Estimates of total production yield per acre. were higher than those for last year in all western districts and the North Central district. production was significantly lower in the Central district and slightly lower in the Eastern districts. (From July 10, 1998 CROPS report, Kansas Agricultural Statistics, Topeka).

WHEAT VARIETIES GROWN IN KANSAS

Acreage Distribution

The leading wheat varieties planted in Kansas are reported in Figures 7 and 8 and in Table 1. The top 5 varieties occupied 67.6% of the state's seeded acreage in 1998.

The top 10 varieties for each crop-reporting district are presented in Figure 7. In the western




Figure 6. Historical Kansas winter wheat production.

districts TAM 107, Ike, and Larned acreages generally decreased, but Jagger and 2137 acreages increased. Vista acreage continued to grow in the Northwest district. Karl/Karl 92, 2163, and Ike acreages dropped substantially in the central districts, while 2137 and Jagger acreages increased dramatically. Karl/Karl 92 was still the most prevalent variety in eastern Kansas but its acreage was much lower than in 1997. Jagger and 2137 acreages increased dramatically in most areas. The acreage of 2163 generally held steady in eastern Kansas.

TAM 107 Jagger Vista Ike Arapahoe	25(30) 2137 15(6) Karl/Karl 92 12(10) Larned 12(16) Ogallala 6(7) Newton	6(1) 5(8) 3(5) 2(2) 2(1)	Karl/Karl 92 Jagger 2137 2163 Ike	21(28) 15(6) 15(1) 14(22) 5(9)	Tomahawk Champ Victory TAM 107 7853	4(7) 4(3) 2(3) 2(1) 2(3)	2137 2163 Jagger	13(1) 12(12) 11(11)	Domin. 2(-) Champ 1(1) T-hawk 1(1) 7853 0(1) Arapa. 0(0)	3
TAM 107 lke Jagger Larned 2137	48(49) Karl/Karl 92 12(19) Ogallala 9(3) Akron 6(7) 2163 5(-) Vista	3(5) 2(4) 2(-) 1(2) 1(1)	2137 2163 Jagger Karl/Karl 92 Ike	21(1) 17(29) 16(7) 15(24) 6(9)	TAM 107 7853 Tomahawk Larned Pecos	3(6 3(5 2(5 2(2 1(3	2163 Jagger 2) 2137	20 12 1	3(61) 7853 0(16) Victory 2(6) Dominator 1(1) T-hawk 2(3) TAM 107	1(1) 1(1) 1(-) 1(2) 1(1)
TAM 107 Ike Jagger Larned 2137	34(40) Scout(s) 21(20) 7853 10(4) Ogallala 8(10) Karl/Karl 92 4(1) Tomahawk	4(3) 3(4) 2(2) 1(2) 1(2)	Jagger 2137 2163 Karl/Karl 92 7853	14(28) 11(26)	Pecos Coronado Ike Tomahawk Hickok	3(3) 2(-) 2(4) 2(4) 1(2)	Jagger Karl/Karl 92 2137 2163 7853	30(7) 29(63) 15(2) 11(13) 5(2)	Tomahawk Ike Pecos Hickok T-bird	2(1) 1(1) 1(1) 1(0) 1(0)

Figure 7. Leading wheat varieties in Kansas in 1998, presented as percent of seeded acreage by crop reporting district for 1998 and 1997 (1997 in parentheses). From Wheat Variety report, Kansas Agricultural Statistics, February 4, 1998.




Figure 8. Historical acreage distribution of top 10 varieties in 1998. From Kansas Agricultural Statistics, Topeka.

Figure 8 illustrates the historical statewide distribution of the top 10 varieties in 1998. These varieties occupied 83.8% of the planted wheat acres in 1998. Jagger, 2137, and lke are fairly recent releases that together accounted for 40.7% of the 1998 acres. Karl 92, 2163, and TAM 107 were the predominant varieties for most of the 1990s and accounted for 33.9% of the acreage in 1998.

The remaining 25.4% of 1998 wheat acres was split between an older public variety and three private releases. Larned is a public release that was very popular in the 1980s but has slowly lost acreage for the past several years. AGSECO 7853 has maintained 3% - 4% of the state acreage since 1995. AgriPro Tomahawk acreage grew rapidly to 7% of the state total in 1995 but has dropped off since then. AgriPro Pecos has maintained 1% - 2% of the statewide acreage since 1995, primarily in central and eastern Kansas. (From February 4, 1998, Wheat Variety report, Kansas Agricultural Statistics, Topeka).

Agronomic Characteristics

Comparative ratings for important agronomic traits, pest resistance, and milling and baking

quality are listed in Table 1. Varieties are included in this table if they appear in the annual Wheat Variety survey report from Kansas Agricultural Statistics. Ratings for a given trait in this table are experts' best estimates of the relative performance of the varieties based on information and observations over several seasons and from numerous sources. The ratings are updated annually to account for changes in performance that occur over time and to adjust for the changes in ranking that arise with the continued additions of new varieties.

New Variety Descriptions

Brief descriptions of new public entries in the performance tests are included below. These descriptions are abstracted from release notices or other material provided by releasing agencies.

IL90-7514 soft red winter wheat variety released by the Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station and the USDA-ARS has shown some promise for production in Kansas. It was high yielding with excellent test weight and winter hardiness in Illinois trials. IL90-7514 is several days earlier than Cardinal and is similar to Cardinal in height, perhaps slightly taller. At maturity, IL90-7514 is tan-chaffed and exhibits erect flag leaves that tend to be twisted. It has shown good resistance

Table 1. Comparisons of leading winter wheat varieties grown in Kansas.¹

		Percent Kansas		Rala	ative ³			Rosia	stance	or to	orano	e to-4		Relative milling
		seeded		IVEI	ILIVE	Winter		Spck.	starice	יוסו נסו	Hes-	Wheat	Soil	and
		acreage	Matur-	Test	Straw	hardi-	Tan	leaf	Leaf	Stem	sian	streak		baking
Brand	Variety	1998 ²	ity	Wt.	Str.	ness	spot	blotch	rust	rust	fly	mos.	mos.	quality ⁵
	Jagger	20.2	1	4	3	6	3	3	6	3	9	3	1	EX
	2137	13.5	3	4	1	3	4	3	6	6	2	3	1	AC
	TAM 107	12.6	1	4	2	2	7	6	9	4	9	6	8	LD
	Karl/Karl 92	10.8	1	3	4	3	3	5	9	7	9	9	1	EX*
	2163	10.5	3	6	1	4	5	4	7	4	1	5	1	LD
	Ike	7.0	4	3	4	3	7	8	8	2	1	9	1	AC
AGSECO	7853	3.4	3	4	4	5	6	9	8	4	9	5	1	EX
	Larned	2.4	4	4	5	3	9	7	8	3	3	7	8	AC
AgriPro	Tomahawk	1.8	3	4	3	2	4	8	3	3	9	8	1	AC
AgriPro	Pecos	1.6	1	4	1	5	6	5	7	4	1	7	1	AC
	Vista	1.1	5	4	6	2	8	5	5	5	1	8	8	AC*
AgriPro	Ogallala	0.8	3	2	2	4	6	5	4	3	9	6	9	EX
AgriPro	Coronado	0.8	2	3	1	5	6	6	6	3	3	4	1	AC
	Scout(s)	0.7	4	4	6	3	9	7	8	3	9	7	9	AC
AgriPro	Hickok	0.6	2	2	3	6	7	8	3	3	9	5	1	AC
AgriPro	Victory	0.6	3	4	4	3	5	9	5	6	9	8	1	AC
	Arapahoe	0.5	6	4	6	3	8	4	5	2	3	7	8	AC
AgriPro	Thunderbird	0.5	2	3	3	2	9	6	7	3	9	5	1	AC
	2180	0.5	1	4	1	7	7	5	6	5	2	9	1	LD
Star	Champ	0.5	4	5	5	3	6	6	6	6	9	5	1	
	Eagle	0.4	4	4	6	3	9	7	8	4	7	8	9	EX*
	TAM 200	0.4	4	2	4	6	6	3	7	4	9	7	9	LD
	Triumph(s)	0.4	1	3	7	6	5	9	9	8	9	4	8	LD
	Akron	0.4	5	3	5	3		9	8	3	8	8	9	
	Newton	0.3	3	4	4	5	9	9	9	3	9	6	1	AC
AgriPro	Laredo	0.2	4	4	3	3	6	8	6	4	9	7	7	LD
AgriPro	Longhorn	0.2	5	3	2	3	6	7	6	1	8	5	8	LD
AgriPro	Big Dawg	0.2	6	4	1	5	3	2	4	5	9	6	1	AC
AGSECO	Mankato	0.2	4	5	5	3	6	6	6	5	7	5	1	
Polansky	Dominator	0.2	4	4	3	3	6	4	6	3	3	8	1	AC
AGSECO	7805	0.2	4	4	4	5	7	8	8	1	8	8	9	
Other Har		6.6												
Other Soft		0.0												

Varieties listed in the Feb. 4, 1998, Wheat Variety survey, KS Ag. Statistics. Ratings are expert's best estimates, based on information and observations from several sources. Rated on a scale of 1 to 9; except for maturity (where 1 is earliest), 1 best and 9 poorest; -- = not tested.
 From February 4, 1998 Wheat Variety survey, Kansas Ag. Statistics Office, Topeka, KS.

³ Agronomic information and some disease ratings provided by Rollin Sears, Dept. of Agron., K.S.U. and some by John Moffatt, AgriPro Seeds.

⁴ Disease ratings provided by R.L. Bowden and W.W. Bockus, Dept. of Plant Path.; Hessian fly ratings by J.H. Hatchett, Dept. of Entomology.

⁵ Ratings compiled by P.J. McCluskey are based on data from the K.S.U. Department of Grain Science and Industry, the U.S. Grain Marketing and Production Research Center, and inputs from the milling and baking industries.

EX = Exceptional Quality; usually large kernels; high protein content; very good milling, mixing, and commercial bread baking performances.

AC = Acceptable Quality; milling and baking attributes acceptable, but not outstanding for all properties, may have minor defects.

LD = Less Desirable Quality; one or more serious quality defects.

^{-- =} Inadequate information or conflicting data.

^{*}Strong blending wheat. Needed for blending with weaker wheats. May not be suitable alone for bread flour.

to leaf and stem rusts in Illinois trials. (From University of Illinois variety description).

Yumar hard red winter wheat was released by the Colorado State University Agricultural Experiment Station in 1997. This variety was developed by backcrossing Russian wheat aphid resistance from PI 372129 onto Yuma. The resulting variety is similar to Yuma in all respects except that it is resistant to the Russian wheat aphid and is slightly taller. (From November 25, 1997, release notice, Colorado State University Department of Soil and Crop Sciences).

1998 PERFORMANCE TESTS

Objectives

To help Kansas growers select wheat varieties suited for their area and conditions, the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station annually compares both new and currently grown varieties and hybrids in the state's major crop-producing areas. The objective is to provide Kansas growers with unbiased performance information on all varieties and hybrids likely to become available in the state.

Varieties Included in Tests

Parentage and origin of public varieties included in the 1998 performance tests are listed below. Public varieties are selected for inclusion in the tests based on several criteria. Most represent new or established varieties with potential for successful utilization by Kansas wheat producers. Some are included as long-term checks for use in environment or maturity comparisons. Others are entered at the request of the originating institution.

Privately developed varieties are entered into the Kansas Wheat Performance Tests by their originators or marketers. Entry is voluntary. Entrants choose both the entries and test sites and pay a fee for each entry-location to help defray test expenses. The program is similar to those for corn, sorghum, soybeans, and alfalfa.

The 1998 private entrants and entries are listed in Table 3. Eleven entrants provided a total of 39 varieties and hybrids for testing at locations of their choice. Public and private entries were grown together at random in the same tests.

Growers interested in more detailed descriptions of private entries should contact the entrants directly (see addresses and telephone numbers in Table 3 or consult the Kansas Crop Improvement Certified Seed Directory).

Seed quality, including such factors as size, purity, and germination, can be important in determining the performance of a variety. Wheat seed used for entries in the Kansas Crop Performance Tests is prepared professionally and usually meets or exceeds Kansas Crop Improvement Certification standards. Relative performance of a given variety or hybrid comparable to that obtained in these tests is best assured under similar environmental conditions and cultural practices and with the use of certified or professionally prepared seed.

Table 2. Parentage of public wheat varieties.

		Release
Variety	Parentage	state yr.
Akron	TAM 107/Hail	CO 1994
Alliance	Arkan/Colt//Chisholm	NE 1994
Arapahoe	Brule/3/Pkr*4/Agent/Beloterkovskaia 1	98/Lancer
		NE 1988
Custer	F29-76/TAM 105// Chisholm	OK 1994
Halt	Sumner/CO820026,F ₁ //PI372129,F ₁ /3/	
		CO 1994
lke	Dular/Eagle//2*Larned/Cheney/3/Colt	KS 1993
Jagger	KS82W418/Stephans	KS 1994
Karl 92	F ₁₁ head row selection from 'Karl'	KS 1992
Larned	Scout*5/Ottawa	KS 1976
Nekota	Bennett/TAM 107	NE 1994
Newton	Pitic62/Chris sib//2*Sonora64/Klein Re	
	/4/Scout	KS 1977
Niobrara	TAM 105*4/Amigo//Brule	NE 1994
Scout 66	Composite of 85 Scout selections	NE 1967
TAM 107	TAM 105*4/Amigo	TX 1984
TAM 200	TX71A1039-V1*3/Amigo	TX 1987
Vista	NE68513/NE68457//Centurk/3/Brule	NE 1992
Windstar	TX79A2729//Caldwell/Brule field sel #6	
	/3/Siouxland	NE 1997
Yuma	NS14/NS25//2*Vona	CO 1991
Yumar	Yuma/PI 372129, F1//CO850034/3/4*\	
		CO 1997
2137	W2440/W9488//2163	KS 1995
2163	Pioneer line W558/5/Etoile de Choisy/	
	Clarkan/3/Cl15342/4/Purdue 4946A4	
- · - ·	(Pioneer)	KS 1989
2174	IL 71-5662/PL 145//2165	OK 1997
Caldwell	Benhur sib *2/Siette Cerros	IN 1981
Cardinal	Logan 2*/3/Va63-52-12/Logan/Blueboy	OH 1986
Ernie	Pike/3/(MO9965,Stoddard/Blueboy//	MO 4004
II 00 7544	Stoddard/D1707)	MO 1994
IL90-7514	(not available)	IL 1998

Table 3. Private entrants and entries in 1998 Kansas Wheat Performance Tests.

AgriPro Seeds, Inc. 806 N. Second St., PO Berthoud, CO 80513 (970) 532-3721	AgriPro Box 30	Big Dawg Coronado Hondo Laredo Ogallala Pecos	HybriTech Seed Intl., Inc. 5912 N. Meridian Wichita, KS 67204 (800) 346-2256	Quantum	7406 AP 7501 AP 7510 H1881 Exp WX94-3504
		Rowdy Tomahawk D93*7163 (S) Elkhart (S) Patton (S)	Novartis 1060 Wheatland Dr. Buhler, KS 67522 (316) 543-2707	NK	Coker 9474 (S) Coker 9543 (S) Coker 9663 (S)
AGSECO, Inc. P.O. Box 7 Girard, KS 66743 (316) 724-6223	AGSECO	7853 Colby 94 Mankato Onaga TAM 110	Pioneer Hi-Bred Intl., Inc. 1616 S Kentucky St. Suite C-150 Amarillo, TX 79102 (806) 356-0160		2540 (S)
American White Wheat Pr P.O. Box 326 Atchinson, KS 66002 (913) 367-4422	roducers Ass <i>Public, KS</i> <i>AgriPro</i>	ociation Arlin (W) Oro Blanco (W)	Polansky Seed P.O. Box 306, 2729 M Selleville, KS 66935 (785) 527-2271	Polansky St.	Dominator
Drussel Seed and Supply 2197 W. Parallel Road Garden City, KS 67846 (316) 275-2359		T81	Star Seed, Inc. Box 504 Beloit, KS 67420 (800) 782-7611	Star	Champ
Goertzen Seed Research 14604 S. Haven Rd. Haven, KS 67543 (316) 465-2675	Goertzen	Cossack Enhancer G1878	Terra International, Inc. Terra Centre, 600 Four Sioux City, IA 51102 (712) 233-3609	Terra th St.	SR 204 (S) SR 205 (S) SR 211 (S) Exp 215 (S) Exp 216 (S) Exp 217

Environmental Factors Affecting Individual Tests

Locations of test sites are shown on the map on the front cover. Only one of the 17 tests had to be discarded in 1998. Descriptions of environmental conditions are included below. Environmental factors should be considered when examining the results for a particular location. Site descriptions and management practices for each site are summarized in Table 4.

Performance test summary: The performance tests were subjected to much the same regimen as described under the statewide growing conditions. A number of the tests yielded much better than expected after the hot, dry weather in late May and early June. The location codes listed in parentheses after each location name are used as column headers in the data tables.

EAST

Brown County (BR): Good moisture at seeding depth and warm soil temperatures resulted in excellent stands. No significant winter injury, disease infestations, or insect damage was noted. Several small rain and hailstorms prior to harvest contributed to lodging and shattering. The soft wheat varieties appeared to have shattered more than the hard-seeded varieties.

Riley County (RL): Good stands were obtained in the fall. No measurable winter damage occurred. Spring conditions were near ideal, and plant development was excellent. Drying conditions in late April and May reduced disease development. Extremely hot, windy days on May 29 and 30 reduced ultimate yield potentials. Heavy rains and strong winds lodged the nursery 3 days before harvest, resulting in difficult harvest conditions. Yields were average for this location.

Table 4. Wheat Performance Test site descriptions and management in 1998.

County	Site,		Soil type	anu	Fertil			it iii 1990.
and	nearest town,	planting 8		apı				Seeding rate 2/
Cooperator	and location code	harvest	previous crop	<u>1</u> /	N	Р	K	and row spacing
EAST BROWN Brian Marsh	Cornbelt Expt Field Powhattan (BR)	10/6 6/26	Grundy silty clay loam pH 6.7, Oats, 1997	F S	75 			90 lb/a 7.5" row spacing
RILEY Rollin Sears	Ashland Agron Farm Manhattan (RL)	10/7 7/3	Reading silt loam Oats, 1997	F S	70 50	25 	 	75 lb/a 9" row spacing
FRANKLIN Keith Janssen	EC KS Expt Field Ottawa (FR)	10/22 7/6	Woodson silt loam Soybeans, 1997	F S	6 80	26 		1,200,000 seeds/a 7" row spacing
LABETTE Jim Long	SE Agric Res Ctr Parsons (LB)	10/10 6/16	Parsons silt loam Corn, 1997	F S	128 	92 	_	75 lb/a 7" row spacing
CENTRAL REPUBLIC Barney Gordon	NC KS Expt Field Belleville (RP)	9/25 6/26	Crete silt loam pH 5.9, Soybeans, 1997	F S	90 	30		60 lb/a 7.5" row spacing
HARVEY Mark Claassen	Harvey Co Expt Field Hesston (HV)	10/7 6/20	Ladysmith silty clay loam, Oats, 1997	F S	90 	32 		60 lb/a 8" row spacing
RENO Bill Heer	SC KS Expt Field Hutchinson (RN)	10/18 6/17	Ost silt loam Grain sorghum, 1996	F S	75 50	40 		60 lb/a 8" row spacing
STAFFORD Dry Victor Martin	Sandyland Expt Field St. John (SD)	10/9 7/14	Pratt loamy fine sand Grain sorghum, 1996	F S	Una	availa	able	60 lb/a 7" row spacing
SUMNER Rollin Sears	Max Kolarik Farm Caldwell (SU)	10/22 6/16	Sandy loam Wheat, 1997	F S	70 	25 		60 lb/a 9" row spacing
WEST ELLIS T. Joe Martin	Agric Res Ctr - Hays Hays (EL)	9/30 6/28	Harney clay loam Wheat, 1996	F S	50 	 	 	60 lb/a 12" row spacing
THOMAS Dry Pat Evans	NW Res-Ext Ctr Colby (TD)	9/26 6/29	Keith silt loam Wheat, 1996	F S	53 			60 lb/a 12" row spacing
GREELEY Dry Alan Schlegel	SW Res-Ext Ctr Tribune (GD)	9/18 6/29	Richfield silt loam Sunflower, 1996	F S	10 80	47 	 	50 lb/a 10" row spacing
FINNEY Dry Merle Witt	SW Res-Ext Ctr Garden City (FD)	9/26 6/22	Keith silt loam Wheat, 1996	F S	60 	 		45 lb/a 10" row spacing
IRRIGATED 3/ STAFFORD Irr Victor Martin	Sandyland Expt Field St. John (SI)		Abandoned					
THOMAS Irr Pat Evans	NW Res-Ext Ctr Colby (TI)	9/18 7/1	Keith silt loam Wheat, 1997	F S	95 			90 lb/a 12" row spacing
FINNEY Irr Merle Witt	SW Res-Ext Ctr Garden City (Fi)	10/2 6/23	Keith silt loam Corn, 1996	F S	90 			90 lb/a 10" row spacing
STEVENS Irr Rollin Sears	Jim Kramer Farm Hugoton (ST)	10/10 6/30	Richfield sandy loam Corn, 1997	F S	50 50	30 	 	90 lb/a

 $[\]underline{1}$ / F = fall application; S = spring

^{2/} Seed weight of 1998 entries ranged from 28 to 45 grams/1000 kernels, averaging 36 grams/1000 kernels (see Table 13).

^{3/} Irrigated tests received irrigations necessary to maintain vigorous plant growth.

Franklin County (FR): Favorable conditions at planting resulted in excellent stands. The mild winter enabled all entries to survive with no noticeable injury. May rainfall was 3.06 inches below average. The rainfall shortage continued into early June. Flag leaf health suffered from the dry conditions and leaf rust infestation. Insects caused no apparent problems.

Labette County (LB): Favorable weather conditions for the entire season provided little direct stress to the crop. Leaf diseases (barley yellow dwarf virus, leaf rust, septoria leaf blotch) damaged susceptible varieties.

CENTRAL

Republic County (RP): Stand establishment, fall tillering, and fall growth were all good. Winter weather was mild. March and April were wetter than normal, but May was very dry. Hot, dry weather in May probably reduced test weight and yield potential. Insect pests caused no significant problems. A late leaf rust infestation likely caused little yield loss. Septoria leaf blotch also was noted on some varieties.

Harvey County (HV): Ample rainfall after ensured planting dood wheat stands. Precipitation for October-December was above Fall growth was affected by belownormal temperatures. particularly in January to March precipitation November. exceeded normal by more than 2 inches. April followed with normal rainfall, before a much drier period in May and June hastened wheat maturity concluded growing and the season. Temperatures were below normal in March and April but were above normal thereafter. Insect activity was limited to some aphids that caused no noticeable damage.

Diseases caused minimal damage. Some irregularity in plant growth of some varieties may have resulted from barley yellow dwarf virus. Powdery mildew was present in some varieties in late April and early May. Leaf rust again arrived too late to affect yields. Dry weather provided excellent harvest conditions but likely reduced an otherwise very high yield potential.

Reno County (RN): Favorable soil and weather conditions contributed to good stands. Winter weather was mild. May and June were dry. Late May and early June had extremely high

temperatures. The only variety to lodge to any extent was Scout 66, which was nearly 50% lodged at harvest.

Stafford County, dryland (SD): Emergence and resulting stands were variable after heavy rains pounded the soil surface soon after planting. Some varieties may have been more adversely affected as a result. Yield variability was higher than desired, but differences were large enough to detect varietal differences. Extremely high temperatures in late May and early June depleted soil moisture and increased variability as well. Test weights were decreased by rainfall that occurred after ripening but before harvest.

Sumner County (SU): Good stands were obtained, and mild temperatures allowed the wheat to grow throughout the winter months. Leaf disease pressure was present but less than in most years. Aluminum toxicity may have affected the performance of extremely susceptible genotypes. Yields at this site were very good and above average.

WEST

Ellis County (EL): Good moisture at planting resulted in good, uniform stands. Mild winter weather caused no freezing damage. Favorable spring growing conditions continued until mid-May, when higher than normal temperatures and low precipitation stressed the crop during the critical grain filling period. Leaf rust and septoria blotch were present but had little effect because of the high temperatures and drought stress. Note shattering ratings in Table 12. Varieties with a rating greater than 5 suffered significant grain loss.

Thomas County, dryland (TD): Favorable conditions at planting resulted in good stands and adequate growth going into winter. Very heavy snow on October 26 provided additional moisture. The winter months were relatively mild with no extremely cold temperatures. Timely showers and cool temperatures from May through June provided favorable grain filling conditions. No significant insect or disease problems were present. No plots shattered to any extent. Scout 66 was the only variety with noticeable lodging, and it had less than 10% of stalks lodged. The final yields were outstanding.

Greeley County, dryland (GD): Dry surface conditions required deep planting (3 inches) to reach moisture, however, resulting stands were acceptable. Mild winter weather resulted in little damage. A late freeze (32°) on June 6 caused no apparent damage. Outstanding yields demonstrated the lack of weather or disease stresses experienced by this test.

Finney County, dryland (FD): Seedbed conditions were good, but moisture disappeared quickly with hot winds soon after planting. Emergence was uneven early, but stands eventually evened up. The early stand variability didn't appear to have a significant effect on final yields. May and June were very dry, shortening the grain filling period.

IRRIGATED

Stafford County, irrigated (SI): This test was abandoned because unexplained variability caused significant differences in performance within a small distance.

Thomas County, irrigated (TI): Favorable conditions at planting resulted in good stands and adequate growth going into winter. Very heavy snow on October 26 provided additional moisture. The winter months were relatively mild with no extremely cold temperatures. showers and cool temperatures from May through June provided favorable grain filling conditions. No significant insect or disease problems were present. Only a few isolated spots had lodging, and very little shattering occurred. A performance gradient was evident across the test in June. Nearest neighbors analysis was able to account for the variation very well. Variety yield averages presented in the tables have been adjusted accordingly.

Finney County, irrigated (GI): Seedbed conditions were good, but hot dry weather after planting caused some variation in timing of emergence. The plots eventually evened up, and no effect on yields was apparent. May and June were very hot and dry shortening the grain filling period and making late season diseases unimportant.

Stevens County, irrigated (ST): Good stands were obtained, and mild temperatures allowed the crop to grow throughout the winter months.

Extremely light disease pressure and good management allowed for high yield expression. Hot, windy weather in late May may have speeded grain fill.

Test Results and Variety Characterization

Results from Kansas tests are presented in Tables 5 through 13. The information in these tables is derived from replicated varietal comparisons at several sites representing various wheat-producing areas of the state.

Characteristics of specific 1998 entries can best be determined by examining Table 1 and data in Tables 5 through 13 for the relative performance of new varieties or hybrids of interest compared to those the grower is currently planting. Yields are reported in Table 5 as bushels per acre (60 pounds per bushel) adjusted to a moisture content of 12.5%, where moistures were reported at harvest. In Table 6, bushel yields are converted to yields as percentages of the test averages to speed recognition of highest yielding entries (more than 100%, the test average). The excellent performances of several of the entries are highlighted in these tables.

Growers should examine Table 7 to check the performance of entries over several years at locations closest to their farms. These tables present yields averaged over 2, 3, and 4 years. One-year or one-location results can be misleading because of the possibility of unusual weather conditions.

Measurements of characteristics often contributing to yield performance are shown in Table 8 (test weights); Table 9 (relative heading dates); Table 10 (heights); Table 11 (lodging), Table 12 (shattering and disease ratings); and Table 13 (planted seed characteristics, coleoptile lengths, and Hessian fly ratings).

At the bottom of each table is the LSD (least significant difference) for each column of replicated data. The use of the LSD is intended to reduce the chance of overemphasizing small differences in yield or other characteristics. Small variations in soil structure, fertility, waterholding characteristics, and other test-site characteristics can cause considerable yield variation among plots of the same variety grown only a short distance apart.

Another statistical parameter is the coefficient of variation (CV) shown at the bottom of most columns. This figure, if properly interpreted, can be used to estimate the degree of confidence one may have in the data presented. In this testing program, CV's below 10% generally indicate reliable, uniform data, whereas CV's from 11% to 15% usually indicate less desirable but generally useful data for the rough performance comparisons desired from these tests.

Coleoptile Measurements

Coleoptile length is a primary factor in determining the relative ability of a variety to emerge from deep planting. We have no evidence that coleoptile length plays a significant role in a variety's ability to emerge through a crust or compacted soil. However, long coleoptiles elongate faster than short coleoptiles, thereby sometimes escaping crusting problems as the result of quicker emergence.

Coleoptile length measurements will predict the relative ability of a cultivar to emerge from deep plantings through noncrusted soil. The actual planting depth for a variety is not limited to its coleoptile length. Once the coleoptile has reached its maximum length, the primary leaf breaks through the coleoptile and has the ability to move through an additional 2 to 3 inches of noncompacted soil. Recent demonstrated that if a coleoptile elongated to 3.75 inches, the plant still had an 80% chance of emerging from a 6-inch planting Emergence decreased to 40% for 2.5 inch coleoptiles and 20% for 2.0 inch coleoptiles.

Maximum coleoptile elongation of a variety is influenced heavily by soil temperature. As soil temperature increases from 65° F to 85° F, the coleoptile lengths of all varieties are reduced about 30%. As soil temperature decreases from 65° F, coleoptile lengths of standard height varieties, Larned and Eagle, change very little, but the coleoptiles of semidwarf varieties TAM 107, Karl 92, and TAM 200 actually increase in length. At 53° F, the coleoptile lengths of TAM 107, Karl 92, and TAM 200 are equal to those of Eagle, and at 40° F, they are equal to Larned. If a producer is faced with deep planting because of dry soil late in the planting season, choice of

variety will have minimal effects on stand establishment. The same can be said for plantings made during our optimum planting times when soil temperature is already below 65° F. Plantings made in the latter part of August or early September when soil temperature is high will be the most vulnerable to poor emergence because of coleoptile length. If plantings have to be made deeper than 3.5 inches when soil temperature is high, it is advisable to use a variety that has a long coleoptile.

Coleoptile ratings reported in Table 13 are based on measurements at 75° F, which is the average soil temperature in western Kansas on Sept 1 at the 4-inch depth. Varieties with a rating of 8 had average coleoptile lengths of $2.6\pm.2$ inches, whereas those rated 2 averaged $4.2\pm.2$ inches. For one variety to be significantly different from another, the ratings must differ by at least 2 points.

Protein Content

Samples of grain from each variety harvested from Kansas Wheat Performance Tests are submitted annually for analysis of protein content, kernel hardness, and kernel weight and other tests. Screening for protein and other analyses are conducted by the staff at the U.S. Grain Marketing and Production Research Center in Manhattan, Kansas. Because of the time requirement for obtaining analyses, protein results included in this report are for the previous year's tests. Results for the 1997 harvest are presented in Table 14.

Graphical Performance Summaries

Figures 9-12 summarize performance information for several varieties. Varieties are included if they were entered in 1998 tests and at least one prior year, if they were included in at least two tests within a given region in each year of entry, and if they were named and released cultivars.

Varieties are ranked left to right based on desirable performance characteristics. Yield and test weight are sorted in decreasing order for obvious reasons. Heading date and height are sorted in increasing order, because early maturing, relatively short varieties have tended to be more desirable under Kansas growing

conditions. Early maturing varieties fill grain sooner and may avoid the heat and moisture stresses often encountered later in the season. Shorter varieties may be less susceptible to lodging; however, straw strength is not necessarily related to height.

Figures 9-12 illustrate the difference of each variety from the average of two check varieties. TAM 107 and lke were used as checks in the western and irrigated tests. Karl 92 and 2163 were checks in the eastern and central tests. The zero line in each graph represents the average of the checks.

The number of direct comparisons of a given variety with the check varieties has a bearing on the confidence one can place in the differential performance of that variety. The number in the box directly above each variety name shows the number of years that variety was compared to the check varieties in at least two tests within that region. In general, the greater the number of years that a variety has been tested, the greater confidence one can put in comparisons of that variety with the checks.

As with individual test results, small differences should not be overemphasized. Rather, relative ranking and large differences are better indicators of varietal performance.

Figure 9. Eastern Kansas wheat variety performance summary, 1992-1998.




Figure 10. Central Kansas wheat variety performance summary, 1992-1998.




Figure 11. Western Kansas wheat variety performance summary, 1992-1998.




Figure 12. Kansas irrigated wheat variety performance summary, 1992-1998.




Table 5a. Yield (bushels per acre)
1998 EASTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	BR ¹	RL^2	FR ³	LB ⁴	Avg.	Brand / Name	BR ¹	RL^2	FR ³	LB ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853	57		60			2137	62	61	64	61	62
Mankato	58	48				2163	58	57	61	57	58
Onaga	52	67	62	60	60	Custer				71	
AgriPro						Jagger	60	49	58	60	57
Big Dawg	60	49	66	54	57	Karl 92	58	48	54	47	52
Coronado	57	56	63	52	57	KS84063-2W Exp	45	51	61	58	54
Pecos			63			KS85W663 Exp	55	60	56	56	57
Hondo	61	57	61	50	57	KS95H167-3	48	49	60	51	52
(S) D93*7163				64		KS95H176-1 Exp	56	35	52	28	43
(S) Elkhart			64			KS95HW62-6 Exp	59	51	57	58	56
(S) Patton	54					Newton	56	35	51	40	46
Goertzen						Niobrara	60	46			
G1878	60	52				Scout 66	52	28	38	43	40
Cossack	58	47				TAM 107	56	31	54	59	50
Enhancer	64	51				Vista	53	47			
						(S) Caldwell	47	43	49	55	49
NK			47	00		(S) Cardinal	35	37	41	45	39
(S) Coker 9474			47	62		(S) Ernie	45	45	55	49	48
(S) Coker 9543				62		(S) IL90-7514	49	53	56	61	55
(S) Coker 9663				62							
Pioneer						Test Average	55	48	56	57	54
(S) 2540				67		CV (%)	7	13	6	6	
Polansky						LSD (0.05)**	5	8	4	4	
Dominator	60	51	56								
Star											
Champ	52	50	57								
Terra											
(S) SR 204	57			64							
(S) SR 205	55			60							
(S) SR 211	48			66							
(S) Exp215	51			59							
(S) Exp216	55			65							
Exp217	57			59							

¹ BR = Brown County test at Combelt Experiment Field near Powhattan, KS.

² RL = Riley County test at Ashland Experiment Farm near Manhattan, KS.

³ FR = Franklin County test at East Central Experiment Field near Ottawa, KS.

⁴ LB = Labette County test at KSU Southeast Agricultural Research Center near Parsons, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 5b. Yield (bushels per acre)
1998 CENTRAL Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	RP	1 HV	² RN	3 SD	⁴ SU ⁵	Avg.	Brand / Name	RP ¹	HV 2	RN ³	SD ⁴	SU ⁵	Avg
AGSECO							Public						
7853	76	58	52	55	41	56	2137	81	62	57	65	54	64
Colby 94	77						2163	69	56	50	61	42	55
Mankato	79	60	50				2174		59	56	67	45	
Onaga	80	62	59	55	50	61	Alliance	76			42		
AWWPA							Arapahoe	72			57		
(W) Oro Blanco	76	58	44	66	39	57	Custer	77	64	66	60	45	62
AgriPro							lke	70	62	45	48	29	51
Big Dawg		61	48	57	42		Jagger	81	63	59	74	53	66
Coronado	70	56	55	67	40	58	Karl 92	87	63	54	53	39	59
Pecos		63	53	54	44		KS84063-2W Exp	77	56	47	60	34	55
Tomahawk	66	65	55	37	44	54	KS85W663 Exp	80	59	55	68	55	63
Hondo	72	55	41	58	47	55	KS95H167-3	72	60	53	49	42	55
Goertzen							KS95H176-1 Exp	63	58	40	54	32	49
G1878	74	59	46	50	42	54	KS95HW62-6 Exp	76	66	55	53	41	58
Cossack	64	51	52	60	32	5 4	Nekota	77					
Enhancer	67	64	53	50	41	55	Newton	61	53	38	53	23	46
	01	04	33	30	71		Niobrara	78			58		
Polansky							Scout 66	56	47	40	49	28	44
Dominator	80	58	50	59	39	57	TAM 107	76	58	47	60	18	52
Quantum							Vista	66			28		
AP 7510	86		57	70			Windstar	62			42		
H1881 Exp	79		58	79			Yuma	76					
Star							Yumar	81					
Champ	70	60	49	50	44	55							
Terra							Test Average	74	57	51	56	40	56
(S) SR 204		51					CV (%)	6	4	4	18	11	
(S) SR 205		47					LSD (0.05)**	5	3	3	12	5	
(S) SR 211		40											
(S) Exp215		46											
(S) Exp216		47											
Exp217		60											

¹ RP = Republic County test at North Central Experiment Field near Belleville, KS.

 $^{^2\,\}mathrm{HV}$ = Harvey County test at Harvey County Experiment Field near Hesston, KS.

³ RN = Reno County test at South Central Experiment Field near Hutchinson, KS.

⁴ SD = Stafford County Dryland test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS.

⁵ SU = Sumner County test at Max Kolarik farm near Caldwell, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 5c. Yield (bushels per acre)
1998 WESTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	EL ¹	TD ²	GD ³	FD ⁴	Avg.	Brand / Name	EL ¹	TD ²	GD ³	FD ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853	77	69	56	50	63	2137	84	76	72	51	71
Colby 94	79	74				2174	85				
Mankato	79	80	71	47	69	Akron	78	78	77	42	69
TAM 110	83	74	72	49	69	Alliance	77	77	78	40	68
AWWPA						Arapahoe	73	77	77	46	68
(W) Arlin	83		61	51		Custer	82	69	66	47	66
(W) Oro Blanco	76	75	76	46	68	Halt	71	74	72	49	66
AgriPro						Ike	74	72	74	51	68
Big Dawg	83					Jagger	81	82	73	53	73
Laredo	81	70	61			Karl 92	86	75	66	47	68
Ogallala	76	68	67	44	64	KS84063-2W Exp	72	69	65	47	63
Drussel						KS85W663 Exp	76	75	70	49	67
T81			76			KS95H167-3	79	74	82	48	71
			70			KS95H176-1 Exp	77	74	80	43	68
Goertzen		00	00	47	0.5	KS95HW62-6 Exp	80	75	82	46	71
G1878	75 	69	68	47	65	Larned	72	67	68	40	62
Cossack	77	70	63	49	65	Nekota	77	69	70	43	65
Enhancer	77	75	74	47	68	Newton	65	69	71	40	61
Polansky						Niobrara	78	78	71	42	67
Dominator	85			47		Scout 66	71	64	61	35	58
Quantum						TAM 107	78	71	71	47	67
7406	82	86				Vista	81	72	76	43	68
AP 7501	81	86				Windstar	72	74	78	43	67
AP 7510	82	83				Yuma	81	81	73	51	71
H1881 Exp	82	87				Yumar	78	74	68	49	67
Star											
Champ	77	78				Test Average	77	75	71	46	67
Terra						CV (%)	5	5	4	5	
(S) SR 204	78					LSD (0.05)**	5	4	4	3	
(S) SR 205	71										
(S) SR 211	58										
(S) Exp215	60										
(S) Exp216	65										
Exp217	72										

¹ EL = Ellis County test at KSU Agricultural Research Center near Hays, KS.

² TD = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ GD = Greeley County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Tribune, KS.

⁴ FD = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 5d. Yield (bushels per acre)
1998 IRRIGATED Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST ⁴	Avg.	Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853						2137		80	73	76	77
TAM 110		81	79	88	83	Akron		84	67	84	78
AWWPA						Alliance		84	62	82	76
(W) Arlin		69	77	92	79	Custer		74	70	94	79
(W) Oro Blanco		84	64	81	76	Ike		85	73	78	79
AgriPro						Jagger		83	76	87	82
Big Dawg		70	54	80	68	Karl 92		76	76	98	83
Coronado		76	71	93	80	KS84063-2W Exp		70	63	79	71
Laredo		73		88		KS85W663 Exp		75	64	89	76
Ogallala		78	61	86	75	KS95H167-3		82	72	85	80
Rowdy		80	63	82	75	KS95H176-1 Exp		73	62	84	73
Drussel						KS95HW62-6 Exp		85	70	87	81
T81			72			Newton		74	59	71	68
Goertzen						TAM 107		76	74	88	79
G1878		76	64	72	71	TAM 200		74	71	78	75 7 0
Cossack		78	65	85	76	Yuma		85	67	83	79 75
Enhancer		80	72	80	77	Yumar		81	68	77	75
Polansky											
Dominator						Test Average		79	68	85	77
·						CV (%)		4	6	6	
Quantum		00	7.5	00	00	LSD (0.05)**		4	5	6	
7406		86	75 67	98	86						
AP 7501		85	67	91	81						
AP 7510		86	67 71	90	81						
H1881 Exp WX94-3504 Exp		 87	70	 86	 81						
<u>-</u>		01	70	00							
Terra											
(S) SR 204											
(S) SR 205											
(S) SR 211											
(S) Exp215 (S) Exp216											
(S) Exp216 Exp217											
<u> </u>											

¹ SI = Stafford County test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS. Abandoned in 1998 because of plot variability.

² TI = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ FI = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁴ ST = Stevens County test at Jim Kramer farm near Hugoton, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 6a. Yield (% of test average)
1998 EASTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	BR ¹	RL^2	FR ³	LB ⁴	Avg.	Brand / Name	BR ¹	RL^2	FR ³	LB ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853	104		107			2137	113	126	114	106	115
Mankato	106	99				2163	106	118	108	99	107
Onaga	95	138	110	105	112	Custer				123	
AgriPro						Jagger	109	102	103	105	105
Big Dawg	110	101	116	94	105	Karl 92	107	100	96	81	96
Coronado	105	115	112	90	105	KS84063-2W Exp	82	105	108	101	99
Pecos			112			KS85W663 Exp	102	125	99	98	106
Hondo	111	117	107	88	106	KS95H167-3	87	101	107	88	96
(S) D93*7163				112		KS95H176-1 Exp	103	73	91	49	79
(S) Elkhart			113			KS95HW62-6 Exp	108	105	102	101	104
(S) Patton	98					Newton	102	73	90	71	84
Goertzen						Niobrara	109	94			
G1878	109	108				Scout 66	94	59	68	74	74
Cossack	105	98				TAM 107	103	64	97	102	91
Enhancer	117	105				Vista	98	96			
NK						(S) Caldwell	86	89	87	95	89
			83	109		(S) Cardinal	64	77	72	79	73
(S) Coker 9474 (S) Coker 9543				109		(S) Ernie	83	92	98	85	89
(S) Coker 9663				109		(S) IL90-7514	89	110	99	106	101
				107							
Pioneer				447		Test Average	55	48	56	57	54
(S) 2540				117		CV (%)	7	13	6	6	
Polansky						LSD (0.05)**	8	8	7	8	
Dominator	110	106	98								
Star											
Champ	95	104	102								
Terra											
(S) SR 204	105			111							
(S) SR 205	101			105							
(S) SR 211	87			116							
(S) Exp215	92			103							
(S) Exp216	101			114							
Exp217	104			103							

¹ BR = Brown County test at Cornbelt Experiment Field near Powhattan, KS.

² RL = Riley County test at Ashland Experiment Farm near Manhattan, KS.

³ FR = Franklin County test at East Central Experiment Field near Ottawa, KS.

⁴ LB = Labette County test at KSU Southeast Agricultural Research Center near Parsons, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 6b. Yield (% of test average)
1998 CENTRAL Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	RP	HV	² RN	3 SD	⁴ SU ⁵	Avg.	Brand / Name	RP ¹	HV	² RN ³	SD ⁴	SU ⁵	Avg.
AGSECO							Public						
7853	103	101	102	98	102	101	2137	110	108	111	115	135	116
Colby 94	104						2163	93	97	98	109	104	100
Mankato	107	104	97				2174		102	109	119	112	
Onaga	108	107	116	97	125	111	Alliance	103			75		
AWWPA							Arapahoe	97			102		
(W) Oro Blanco	103	101	86	118	98	101	Custer	104	112	128	106	113	113
AgriPro							lke	94	108	88	85	71	89
Big Dawg		106	93	102	106		Jagger	110	110	115	132	131	120
Coronado	95	98	107	119	99	104	Karl 92	118	110	106	94	97	105
Pecos		109	104	96	109		KS84063-2W Exp	104	98	93	107	84	97
Tomahawk	90	113	108	66	110	97	KS85W663 Exp	109	103	108	120	138	115
Hondo	98	96	81	103	117	99	KS95H167-3	97	105	104	88	104	100
Goertzen							KS95H176-1 Exp	85	101	79	96	79	88
G1878	101	103	90	89	105	98	KS95HW62-6 Exp	103	115	107	94	102	104
Cossack	87	89	102	108	80	93	Nekota	105					
Enhancer	91	112	102	90	102	100	Newton	83	92	75	94	57	80
	91	112	104	90	102	100	Niobrara	106			103		
Polansky							Scout 66	76	83	78	88	70	79
Dominator	109	101	98	106	98	102	TAM 107	103	102	92	106	45	90
Quantum							Vista	89			49		
AP 7510	116		112	125			Windstar	84			74		
H1881 Exp	107		114	140			Yuma	103					
Star							Yumar	109					
Champ	95	105	97	89	109	99							
Terra							Test Average	74	57	51	56	40	56
(S) SR 204		89					CV (%)	6	4	4	18	11	
(S) SR 205		83					LSD (0.05)**	7	5	5	21	13	
(S) SR 211		69											
(S) Exp215		79											
(S) Exp216		82											
Exp217		105											

¹ RP = Republic County test at North Central Experiment Field near Belleville, KS.

 $^{^2\,\}mathrm{HV}$ = Harvey County test at Harvey County Experiment Field near Hesston, KS.

³ RN = Reno County test at South Central Experiment Field near Hutchinson, KS.

⁴ SD = Stafford County Dryland test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS.

⁵ SU = Sumner County test at Max Kolarik farm near Caldwell, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 6c. Yield (% of test average)
1998 WESTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Colby 94 103 99	106 101 100 102 99 100 102 109 102 95 101 106 101
Colby 94 103 99	 101 100 102 99 100 102 109 102 95 101 106 101
Mankato 103 107 99 101 103 Akron 102 105 108 91 TAM 110 108 99 102 106 104 Alliance 101 103 109 86 AWWPA Arapahoe 95 104 109 100 (W) Arlin 109 86 111 Custer 107 92 93 103 (W) Oro Blanco 99 101 108 100 102 Halt 93 99 101 107 AgriPro Ike 96 96 104 111 103 116 110 103 116 Laredo 106 94 86 Karl 92 112 101 93 102 Drussel T81 107 KS95H167-3 103 100 115 105 Goertzen G 98 92 96 101 97 107 100 99	100 102 99 100 102 109 102 95 101 106 101
TAM 110 108 99 102 106 104 Alliance 101 103 109 86 AWWPA Arapahoe 95 104 109 100 (W) Arlin 109 86 111 Custer 107 92 93 103 (W) Oro Blanco 99 101 108 100 102 Halt 93 99 101 107 AgriPro like 96 96 104 111 Big Dawg 108 Halt 93 99 101 107 Laredo 106 94 86 Karl 92 112 101 93 102 Drussel KS85W663 Exp 99 100 99 106 KS95H167-3 103 100 115 105 Goertzen KS95HW62-6 Exp 105 100 116 99 G1878 98 92 96 101 97 Larne	100 102 99 100 102 109 102 95 101 106 101
AWWPA Arapahoe 95 104 109 100 (W) Arlin 109 86 111 Custer 107 92 93 103 (W) Oro Blanco 99 101 108 100 102 Halt 93 99 101 107 AgriPro Ike 96 96 104 111 Big Dawg 106 94 86 Jagger 106 110 103 116 Laredo 106 94 86 Karl 92 112 101 93 102 Ogallala 99 91 94 96 95 KS85W663 Exp 94 92 91 101 T81 107 KS95H167-3 103 100 91 12 92 Goertzen KS95HW62-6 Exp 105 100 99 112 92 Goertzen KS95HW62-6 Exp 105 100 116 99 Cossack	102 99 100 102 109 102 95 101 106 101
(W) Arlin 109 86 111 Custer 107 92 93 103 (W) Oro Blanco 99 101 108 100 102 Halt 93 99 101 107 AgriPro like 96 96 104 111 Big Dawg 108 Karl 92 112 101 93 102 Laredo 106 94 86 KS84063-2W Exp 94 92 91 101 Drussel KS95H167-3 103 100 99 112 92 T81 107 KS95H176-1 Exp 100 99 112 92 Goertzen KS95HW62-6 Exp 105 100 116 99 Cossack 100 93 <td< td=""><td>99 100 102 109 102 95 101 106 101</td></td<>	99 100 102 109 102 95 101 106 101
(W) Oro Blanco 99 101 108 100 102 AgriPro lke 96 96 104 111 Big Dawg 108 Laredo 106 94 86 Ogallala 99 91 94 96 95 Brussel KS85W663 Exp 99 100 99 106 T81 107 KS95H167-3 103 100 115 105 Goertzen KS95HW62-6 Exp 100 99 112 92 Cossack 100 93 88 107 97 Larned 94 89 96 88 Cossack 100 93 88 107 97 Nekota 100 92 99 93	100 102 109 102 95 101 106 101
Record Section Secti	102 109 102 95 101 106 101
AgriPro Big Dawg 108 <td>109 102 95 101 106 101</td>	109 102 95 101 106 101
Big Dawg 108	102 95 101 106 101
Laredo 106 94 86 Karl 92 112 101 93 102 Ogallala 99 91 94 96 95 KS84063-2W Exp 94 92 91 101 Brussel KS95H167-3 103 100 99 106 KS95H167-3 103 100 115 105 KS95HW62-6 Exp 100 99 112 92 KS95HW62-6 Exp 105 100 116 99 G1878 98 92 96 101 97 Larned 94 89 96 88 Cossack 100 93 88 107 97 Nekota 100 92 99 93	95 101 106 101
Ogallala 99 91 94 96 95 KS84063-2W Exp 94 92 91 101 Drussel KS95H167-3 103 100 115 105 T81 107 KS95H176-1 Exp 100 99 112 92 Goertzen KS95HW62-6 Exp 105 100 116 99 G1878 98 92 96 101 97 Larned 94 89 96 88 Cossack 100 93 88 107 97 Nekota 100 92 99 93	101 106 101
KS85W663 Exp 99 100 99 106 Drussel T81 107 KS95H167-3 103 100 115 105 KS95H176-1 Exp 100 99 112 92 Goertzen KS95HW62-6 Exp 105 100 116 99 G1878 98 92 96 101 97 Larned 94 89 96 88 Cossack 100 93 88 107 97 Nekota 100 92 99 93	106 101
T81 107 KS95H167-3 103 100 115 105 KS95H176-1 Exp 100 99 112 92 Goertzen G1878 98 92 96 101 97 Larned 94 89 96 88 Cossack 100 93 88 107 97 Nekota 100 92 99 93	101
Goertzen G1878 98 92 96 101 97 Larned 94 89 96 88 Cossack 100 93 88 107 97 Nekota 100 99 112 92 100 116 99 100 116 99 88 100 99 112 92 90 90 91 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	
G1878 98 92 96 101 97 Larned 94 89 96 88 Cossack 100 93 88 107 97 Nekota 100 92 99 93	405
Cossack 100 93 88 107 97 Nekota 100 92 99 93	105
TVEROID 100 92 99 99	92
Enhancer 101 101 104 109 109	96
Enhancer 101 101 104 102 102 Newton 85 92 100 86	91
Polansky Niobrara 102 104 100 91	99
Dominator 111 101 Scout 66 92 86 85 77	85
Quantum TAM 107 102 96 99 101	100
7406 106 115 Vista 105 96 108 93	101
AP 7501 106 116 Windstar 93 99 110 93	99
AP 7510 107 111 Yuma 105 108 102 111	107
H1881 Exp 108 117 Yumar 102 99 96 106	101
Star	
Champ 100 105 Test Average 77 75 71 46	67
Terra CV (%) 5 5 4 5	
(S) SR 204 101 LSD (0.05)** 6 5 5 6	
(S) SR 205 92	
(S) SR 211 75	
(S) Exp215 78	
(S) Exp216 85	
Exp217 94	

¹ EL = Ellis County test at KSU Agricultural Research Center near Hays, KS.

² TD = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ GD = Greeley County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Tribune, KS.

⁴ FD = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 6d. Yield (% of test average)
1998 IRRIGATED Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST 4	Avg.	Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853						2137		102	107	90	99
TAM 110		102	115	104	107	Akron		106	98	99	101
AWWPA						Alliance		106	90	97	98
(W) Arlin		87	112	109	103	Custer		94	102	111	102
(W) Oro Blanco		106	94	95	98	lke		108	107	92	102
AgriPro						Jagger		106	111	103	106
Big Dawg		89	79	94	88	Karl 92		96	111	116	107
Coronado		96	103	110	103	KS84063-2W Exp		89	92	93	91
Laredo		92		103		KS85W663 Exp		94	93	104	97
Ogallala		99	90	102	97	KS95H167-3		104	105	101	103
Rowdy		101	92	97	97	KS95H176-1 Exp		92	90	99	94
Drussel						KS95HW62-6 Exp		107	102	103	104
T81			106			Newton		94	86	84	88
Goertzen						TAM 107		96	109	103	103
G1878		96	93	85	91	TAM 200		93	104	92	97
Cossack		99	95	100	98	Yuma		108	98	98	101
Enhancer		101	105	95	100	Yumar		102	100	91	98
Polansky						T		70	00	0.5	
Dominator						Test Average		79	68	85	77
-						CV (%)		4	6	6	
Quantum 7406		109	110	116	111	LSD (0.05)**		5	7	7	
7406 AP 7501		109	98	107	104						
AP 7510		107	96 97	107	104						
H1881 Exp			103								
WX94-3504 Exp		110	103	101	104						
Terra		110	102								
(S) SR 204											
(S) SR 204 (S) SR 205											
(S) SR 211											
(S) Exp215											
(S) Exp216											
Exp217											

¹ SI = Stafford County test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS. Abandoned in 1998 because of plot variability.

² TI = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ FI = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁴ ST = Stevens County test at Jim Kramer farm near Hugoton, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 7a. Multiyear yield averages (bu/acre) Kansas Wheat Performance Tests - EAST.

	Brown	n-Powl	nattan	Riley	-Manh	attan	Fran	klin-Ot	tawa	Labette-Parsons			
Brand / Name	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR	
AGSECO													
7853	58	53	52				67	56	52				
Mankato	58	54	54	58									
Onaga	55						62						
AgriPro													
Big Dawg	58			58	64		66	55		68	62		
Coronado	57	48		62	65	52	67	58	54	66	59	53	
Pecos							67	58	54				
(S) Elkhart							67	60					
NK													
(S) Coker 9474							55	52		66	60	56	
(S) Coker 9543										77	68	61	
(S) Coker 9663										69			
Polansky													
Dominator	59			62	65								
Star													
Champ	56	51	52	59	66	53	62	59					
Terra													
(S) SR 204	58	56								71	68	61	
(S) SR 205	58	60								75	66	60	
(S) SR 211	55									80	70		
Public													
2137	61	51	54	69	77	63	74	65	58	68	67	60	
2163	56	51	49	67	72	59	68	60	56	71	63	57	
Custer										72	63	54	
Jagger	63	59	55	63	68	58	50	42	42	73	65	61	
Karl 92	52	49	49	57	64	52	61	57	51	61	56	50	
KS84063-2W Exp	52			57			70			65			
Newton	47	38	40	42	49	39	51	44	40	51	53	45	
Niobrara	59	52		53	62	49							
Scout 66	54	45	43	40	45	35	41	38	32	50	51	42	
TAM 107	53	45	42	44	53	42	53	49	45	63	57	49	
Vista	56	50	48	55	59	46							
(S) Caldwell	53	52	49	61	70	59	55	53	52	66	61	56	
(S) Cardinal	46	47	44	54	61	54	45	39	42	63	61	57	
(S) Ernie	46	49		61	66	56	53	45	45	64	57	51	
Test Average	56	51	49	58	64	52	61	52	49	66	60	54	

Table 7b. Multiyear yield averages (bu/acre) Kansas Wheat Performance Tests - CENTRAL.

	В	epubl ellevi	lle	H	larve essto	<u>on</u>	Hu	Reno tchin	son	S	taffor t.Joh	ın	C	umne aldw	ell
Brand / Name	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR
AGSECO															
7853	69	61	60	62	50	45	52	56	47	36	36	40	40	31	28
Colby 94	73	67	65												
Mankato	74	70	67	68	66		51	56	47						
AWWPA															
(W) Oro Blanco	70	58	57	59	51	45	48	50	42	38			37	31	26
AgriPro															
Big Dawg				66	45		46	47					40		
Coronado	72	61	58	61	45	42	53	53	46	41			37	27	23
Pecos				58	44	39	50	50	44				37	29	26
Tomahawk	69	66	61	65	58	47	51	54	44	26	32	37	43	34	
Goertzen															
G1878				63			45								
Cossack				59			55								
Enhancer				68			54								
Polansky															
Dominator	80	73		63	54		51	54					38		
Quantum															
AP 7510	85	77	75				56	57							
Star															
Champ	72	68	67	66	64		50	55		34					
Public															
2137	84	75	69	66	67	57	54	59	52	40	41		51	42	34
2163	73	69	70	61	53	47	49	51	44	39	37	41	40	32	30
2174				62			52						46		
Alliance	83	78	72												
Arapahoe	74	70	67							36	36	39			
Custer	75	70	66	69	51 57	47	59	58	51	33			54	39	35
lke	71	67	65 64	61	57	49	 E0	 57	 E2	33	33	37	33	26	21
Jagger	69 76	61	64 67	70	49	48 55	59	57 55	53	45	41		49	38	36
Karl 92	76 70	70	67	65 67	62	55	51	55	47	33	33	38	38	33	28
KS84063-2W Exp	70 70	70		67			51						37		
Nekota	78	72 52	40	47				42						10	 1 <i>E</i>
Newton	57 70	52	49	47	34	28	38	43	34	32	34	39	23	19	15
Niobrara	79	73 57	68	 E0	40	24	20	 15	 25	37	20	24			10
Scout 66	61	57	52 50	50	42	34	39	45 54	35	28	30	34	28	22	18
TAM 107	72 72	63	59	56	47	40	48	54	45	34	34	38	24	20	17
Vista	72 72	69	64							23	28	35			
Windstar	72 70	 61													
Yuma	70	61	60												
Test Average	72	65	62	61	51	45	50	53	45	34	35	38	40	31	27

Table 7c. Multiyear yield averages (bu/acre) Kansas Wheat Performance Tests - WEST.

	FII	lis-Hay	/S	Tho	mas-C	olby	Gree	ley-Tri	hune	Finney-	.Garde	n City
Brand / Name	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR	3YR	4YR
AGSECO												
7853	69	60	54	63	56	49	52	47	44	49	41	44
Colby 94	75	65	J 4	69	65	62				43		77
•				72				 E6		46		
Mankato	73	64	58		65	63	61	56		46 50		
TAM 110	78			68	61		64			52		
AWWPA										40	20	44
(W) Arlin										49	38	41
AgriPro	75											
Big Dawg	75 - 2											
Laredo	76	64	59	64	57	52	55	50	47			
Ogallala	71	64	57	63	56	56	61	58	53	46	41	44
Goertzen										_		
G1878							56			45		
Cossack							53			52		
Enhancer							63			49		
Polansky												
Dominator	77											
Quantum												
7406				80	70	66						
AP 7501				75	65	64						
AP 7510				73	65	66						
Star												
Champ	69	61	56	69								
Public												
2137	77	65	59	70	65	63	62	59	55	54	46	48
2174	74											
Akron	76	65		72	64	60	66	61		49	41	
Alliance	73	63		69	65	64	62			46		
Arapahoe	71	64	57	68	62	62	63	59	53	48	42	45
Custer	74	65		62	53	53	56	55		45	37	
Halt	67	56		68	62	56	66	57		47	38	
lke	70	61	56	64	57	56	60	53	50	51	44	47
Jagger	78	69	61	76	65	63	65	58	52	53	43	47
Karl 92	72	63	56	64	58	55	54	51	48	45	37	40
KS84063-2W Exp	68			62			53			47		
Larned	70	57	53	62	56	53	58	49	46	46	35	40
Nekota	69			63	58		59			47		
Newton	57	49	46	56	51	49	56	49	47	43	35	40
Niobrara	72	63	4 0	70	65	63	60	49 56		43 47	42	
Scout 66	67	54	51	61	56	52	55	47	 44	40	32	36
TAM 107	71 75	60 67	54 60	66 65	61	56	62	54 50	50	47 50	38	42 47
Vista	75 70	67	60	65 60	62	63	62	59	55	50	44	47
Windstar	72			69 70			63			48		
Yuma	78	67		73	65	61	62	58	53	51	43	46
Tost Averses	71	62	56	67	60	59	60	55	5 1	40	40	42
Test Average	71	62	56	67	60	58	60	55	51	48	40	43

Table 7d. Multiyear yield averages (bu/acre) Kansas Wheat Performance Tests - IRR.

	Staffo	ord-St.	<u>Joh</u> n	Tho	mas-C	olby	Finney	-Garde	en City	Stevens-Hugotor
Brand / Name	2YR	3YR	4YR	2YR		4ÝR	2YR	3YR		2YR
AGSECO										
7853	48	48	49							
TAM 110				84	83					79
AWWPA										
(W) Arlin	34	36	37				44	54	57	82
(W) Oro Blanco							41			75
AgriPro										
Big Dawg				71						
Coronado				78			43			79
Laredo				78	78	68				
Ogallala				79	77	73	42	51	55	76
Rowdy				80	78	72	43			78
Quantum										
7406				90	88					
AP 7501				83	81	76	46			
AP 7510				85	84	79	50			85
Public										
2137	56	54		83	83	78	50	60		76
Akron				85						79
Alliance				85						77
Custer	23			74	72	66	43			87
Ike	53	52	50	84	81	72	45	56	61	73
Jagger	38	45		87	84	77	49	59		79
Karl 92	39	43	45	75	74	68	47	56	60	83
KS84063-2W Exp				71						76
Newton	43	46	45	74	74	66	34	44	47	65
TAM 107	18	21	23	82	83	72	45	52	53	77
TAM 200	28	36	36	78	78	72	44	50	53	74
Yuma	22			88	86	77	40	51	53	77
Test Average	40	42	43	80	79	72	43	52	55	77

Table 8a. Test Weight (pounds per bushel)
1998 EASTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	BR ¹	RL^2	FR ³	LB 4	Avg.	Brand / Name	BR ¹	RL^2	FR ³	LB ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853	52		58			2137	56	58	57	57	57
Mankato	56	56				2163	54	56	55	54	55
Onaga	59	60	59	59	59	Custer				58	
AgriPro						Jagger	56	58	58	56	57
Big Dawg	57	58	56	57	57	Karl 92	55	59	58	57	57
Coronado	55	59	58	55	57	KS84063-2W Exp	56	58	57	58	57
Pecos			59			KS85W663 Exp	57	59	58	57	58
Hondo	58	60	58	58	58	KS95H167-3	51	59	57	57	56
(S) D93*7163				57		KS95H176-1 Exp	51	59	57	57	56
(S) Elkhart			57			KS95HW62-6 Exp	56	59	58	58	58
(S) Patton	56					Newton	58	58	57	54	57
Goertzen						Niobrara	55	56			
G1878	56	61				Scout 66	58	58	57	56	57
Cossack	56	58				TAM 107	53	57	56	54	55
Enhancer	57	58				Vista	57	56			
NK						(S) Caldwell	55	58	54	54	55
(S) Coker 9474			58	58		(S) Cardinal	54	57	54	54	55
(S) Coker 9474 (S) Coker 9543			J0 	55		(S) Ernie	54	57	56	54	55
(S) Coker 9663				55 57		(S) IL90-7514	57	58	57	57	57
				31							
Pioneer				E 4		Test Average	55	58	57	56	57
(S) 2540				54		CV (%)	5	2	1	1	
Polansky						LSD (0.05)**	3	1	0	1	
Dominator	56	60	58								
Star											
Champ	56	55	56								
Terra											
(S) SR 204	55			56							
(S) SR 205	50			53							
(S) SR 211	55			54							
(S) Exp215	56			55							
(S) Exp216	51			54							
Exp217	57			57							

¹ BR = Brown County test at Cornbelt Experiment Field near Powhattan, KS.

² RL = Riley County test at Ashland Experiment Farm near Manhattan, KS.

³ FR = Franklin County test at East Central Experiment Field near Ottawa, KS.

⁴ LB = Labette County test at KSU Southeast Agricultural Research Center near Parsons, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 8b. Test weight (pounds per bushel)
1998 CENTRAL Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	RP	1 HV	² RN	3 SD	4 SU ⁵	Avg.	Brand / Name	RP ¹	HV 2	2 RN ³	SD ⁴	SU ⁵	Avg.
AGSECO							Public						
7853	59	63	58	55	59	59	2137	57	62	56	54	57	57
Colby 94	59						2163	56	59	53	52	54	55
Mankato	57	61	55				2174		63	58	54	60	
Onaga	60	63	60	54	61	60	Alliance	58			49		
AWWPA							Arapahoe	58			51		
(W) Oro Blanco	58	62	53	55	59	57	Custer	59	62	59	54	60	59
AgriPro							lke	58	62	56	53	57	57
Big Dawg		62	55	52	58		Jagger	57	61	55	53	57	57
Coronado	58	62	57	54	55	57	Karl 92	58	63	59	55	52	57
Pecos		62	56	53	59		KS84063-2W Exp	60	63	56	53	57	58
Tomahawk	58	61	57	51	58	57	KS85W663 Exp	59	63	58	55	60	59
Hondo	59	62	51	54	59	57	KS95H167-3	59	60	56	55	58	58
Goertzen							KS95H176-1 Exp	58	60	52	54	56	56
G1878	60	63	60	55	61	60	KS95HW62-6 Exp	59	62	57	54	58	58
Cossack	60	63	57	55	56	58	Nekota	60					
Enhancer	58	61	56	52	56	57	Newton	59	60	53	54	56	56
-	50	01	50	52	50		Niobrara	58			53		
Polansky		00	5 0	5 4	50	- 0	Scout 66	59	61	57	54	58	58
Dominator	59	62	56	54	59	58	TAM 107	58	59	54	53	55	56
Quantum							Vista	57			52		
AP 7510	59		54	53			Windstar	57			50		
H1881 Exp	57		53	53			Yuma	57					
Star							Yumar	59					
Champ	57	61	54	52	57	56							
Terra							Test Average	58	61	56	53	58	57
(S) SR 204		62					CV (%)	1	1	2	3	6	
(S) SR 205		58					LSD (0.05)**	1	1	1	2	4	
(S) SR 211		60											
(S) Exp215		61											
(S) Exp216		61											
Exp217		61											

¹ RP = Republic County test at North Central Experiment Field near Belleville, KS.

 $^{^2\,\}mathrm{HV}$ = Harvey County test at Harvey County Experiment Field near Hesston, KS.

³ RN = Reno County test at South Central Experiment Field near Hutchinson, KS.

⁴ SD = Stafford County Dryland test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS.

⁵ SU = Sumner County test at Max Kolarik farm near Caldwell, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 8c. Test weight (pounds per bushel)
1998 WESTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	EL ¹	TD ²	GD ³	FD 4	Avg.	Brand / Name	EL ¹	TD ²	GD ³	FD ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853	63	63	61	60	62	2137	61	61	61	58	60
Colby 94	63	62				2174	62				
Mankato	61	62	61	58	60	Akron	59	61	61	56	59
TAM 110	61	61	61	57	60	Alliance	59	61	61	55	59
AWWPA						Arapahoe	58	61	60	55	59
(W) Arlin	63		61	60		Custer	62	61	61	59	61
(W) Oro Blanco	61	62	63	58	61	Halt	58	61	60	57	59
AgriPro						Ike	61	61	62	57	60
Big Dawg	61					Jagger	59	62	62	58	60
Laredo	60	61	59			Karl 92	61	62	62	59	61
Ogallala	62	63	63	59	62	KS84063-2W Exp	61	61	62	57	60
Drussel						KS85W663 Exp	62	64	63	59	62
T81			59			KS95H167-3	61	61	61	58	60
			39			KS95H176-1 Exp	60	60	62	55	59
Goertzen						KS95HW62-6 Exp	61	62	62	58	61
G1878	62	62	62	60	62	Larned	62	62	61	58	61
Cossack	62	62	62	60	62	Nekota	61	60	61	57	60
Enhancer	60	61	60	55	59	Newton	60	62	61	56	60
Polansky						Niobrara	59	60	60	58	59
Dominator	63			59		Scout 66	62	62	62	57	61
Quantum						TAM 107	61	61	61	58	60
7406	61	61				Vista	60	60	60	54	59
AP 7501	60	61				Windstar	59	61	61	54	59
AP 7510	61	62				Yuma	61	62	62	58	61
H1881 Exp	60	61				Yumar	62	62	60	59	60
Star											
Champ	61	61				Test Average	61	61	61	58	60
Terra						CV (%)	1	1	1	2	
(S) SR 204	60					LSD (0.05)**	1	1	1	1	
(S) SR 205	58										
(S) SR 211	59										
(S) Exp215	60										
(S) Exp216	59										
Exp217	58										

¹ EL = Ellis County test at KSU Agricultural Research Center near Hays, KS.

² TD = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ GD = Greeley County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Tribune, KS.

⁴ FD = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 8d. Test weight (pounds per bushel)
1998 IRRIGATED Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST ⁴	Avg.	Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853						2137		61	57	58	58
TAM 110		61	58	57	59	Akron		61	54	58	58
AWWPA						Alliance		61	56	58	58
(W) Arlin		62	61	62	62	Custer		61	58	61	60
(W) Oro Blanco		63	58	60	60	lke		61	59	60	60
AgriPro						Jagger		61	57	60	59
Big Dawg		60	55	60	58	Karl 92		61	59	61	60
Coronado		62	58	59	59	KS84063-2W Exp		60	56	58	58
Laredo		61		59		KS85W663 Exp		61	56	60	59
Ogallala		62	57	61	60	KS95H167-3		61	56	60	59
Rowdy		62	56	58	59	KS95H176-1 Exp		62	53	58	58
Drussel						KS95HW62-6 Exp		62	57	61	60
T81			59			Newton		62	54	59	58
Goertzen						TAM 107		61	57	58	59
G1878		62	60	63	62	TAM 200		62	60	59	60
Cossack		61	59	62	60	Yuma		62 62	57 57	58 59	59 50
Enhancer		59	54	57	57	Yumar		02	57	59	59
Polansky						Test Average		61	57	59	59
Dominator						CV (%)		1	2	2	
Quantum						LSD (0.05)**		1	1	1	
7406		61	57	57	58			•	•	•	
AP 7501		62	55	58	58						
AP 7510		62	56	60	59						
H1881 Exp			56								
WX94-3504 Exp		62	58	61	60						
Terra											
(S) SR 204											
(S) SR 205											
(S) SR 211											
(S) Exp215											
(S) Exp216											
Exp217											

¹ SI = Stafford County test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS. Abandoned in 1998 because of plot variability.

² TI = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ FI = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁴ ST = Stevens County test at Jim Kramer farm near Hugoton, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 9a. Heading (days +/- Scout 66, Scout 66 heading listed as date in May)
1998 EASTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

AGSECO Public 7853 -4.51.8 2137 Mankato -2.8 -2.8 2163 Onaga -5.0 -5.8 -4.0 -8.5 -5.8 Custer AgriPro Jagger	-1.8 -2.5 -1.5 -1.8 -1.9 -1.8 -4.8 -2.8 -5.5 -3.7 8.8 -5.8 -5.8 -5.0 -9.5 -6.5
Mankato -2.8 -2.8 2163 Onaga -5.0 -5.8 -4.0 -8.5 -5.8 Custer AgriPro Jagger	-1.8 -4.8 -2.8 -5.5 -3.7 8.8
Onaga -5.0 -5.8 -4.0 -8.5 -5.8 Custer AgriPro Jagger	8.8
AgriPro Jagger	
7.g.ii 10	-5.8 -5.8 -5.0 -9.5 -6.5
Big Dawg 0.0 -1.0 -0.3 -0.8 -0.5 Karl 92	-5.5 -6.5 -4.5 -9.5 -6.5
Coronado -4.5 -6.5 -4.3 -9.3 -6.1 KS84063-2V	W Exp -1.3 -2.3 0.0 -1.0 -1.1
Pecos4.8 KS85W663	Exp -1.5 -2.3 -1.0 -1.3 -1.5
Hondo 1.0 1.3 1.3 0.8 1.1 KS95H167-3	3 -0.8 -2.3 -1.8 -4.0 -2.2
(S) D93*71632.3 KS95H176-1	1 Exp 1.3 3.5 2.5 4.0 2.8
(S) Elkhart2.0 KS95HW62-	-6 Exp -0.5 -2.5 -1.3 -2.5 -1.7
(S) Patton -4.3 Newton	-1.0 -0.8 0.3 -0.5 -0.5
Goertzen	0.0 -0.5
G1878 -1.0 -1.5 Scout 66	17.8 13.8 14.5 5.5 12.9
Cossack -0.8 -1.3 TAM 107	-5.0 -6.3 -5.0 -9.3 -6.4
Enhancer -2.5 -4.5 Vista	0.8 1.3
(S) Caldwell	-4.0 -3.8 -1.5 -1.8 -2.8
NK (S) Cardinal	0.5 -0.3 0.8 0.5 0.4
(S) Coker 94742.0 -5.5 (S) Ernie	-3.5 -4.0 -2.0 -6.0 -3.9
(S) Coker 95437.0 (S) IL90-751	-1.3 -2.8 -2.0 -0.8 -1.7
(S) Coker 96633.8	
Pioneer Test Averag	ge -2.0 -2.6 -1.8 -3.6 -2.5
(S) 25401.5 CV (%)	0.6 0.6 0.5 1.2
Polansky LSD (0.05)**	* 0.9 0.9 0.8 1.7
Dominator -1.8 -6.0 -2.0	
Star	
Champ -3.0 -2.5 -1.5	
Terra	
(S) SR 204 -0.82.5	
(S) SR 205 -1.51.0	
(S) SR 211 -4.06.0	
(S) Exp215 -3.32.3	
(S) Exp216 -3.33.8	
Exp217 -0.31.0	

¹ BR = Brown County test at Cornbelt Experiment Field near Powhattan, KS.

² RL = Riley County test at Ashland Experiment Farm near Manhattan, KS.

³ FR = Franklin County test at East Central Experiment Field near Ottawa, KS.

⁴ LB = Labette County test at KSU Southeast Agricultural Research Center near Parsons, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 9b. Heading (days +/- Scout 66, Scout 66 heading listed as date in May)
1998 CENTRAL Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	RP ¹	HV ²	RN ³	SD ⁴	SU ⁵	Avg.	Brand / Name	RP ¹	HV ²	RN ³	SD ⁴	SU ⁵	Avg.
AGSECO							Public						
7853	-3.8	-3.0	-4.3	-3.5		-3.6	2137	-5.0	-2.0	-2.3	-2.3		-2.9
Colby 94	-5.0						2163	-7.3	-2.8	-3.5	-4.0		-4.4
Mankato	-5.0	-1.5	-2.0				2174		-2.3	-2.5	-2.5		
Onaga	-5.0	-5.0	-5.0	-3.8		-4.7	Alliance	-1.5			8.0		
AWWPA							Arapahoe	-0.8			3.5		
(W) Oro Blanco	-7.5	-2.5	-2.8	-2.8		-3.9	Custer	-5.3	-4.5	-4.5	-3.5		-4.4
AgriPro							lke	-3.5	-0.5	-0.5	0.3		-1.1
Big Dawg		0.3	-0.3	0.5			Jagger	-6.8	-6.5	-5.0	-5.0		-5.8
Coronado	-7.5		-4.5			-5.3	Karl 92	-6.8	-5.3	-5.5	-6.0		-5.9
Pecos			-5.0				KS84063-2W Exp	-4.8	-0.3	-1.0	1.8		-1.1
Tomahawk	-4.0		-0.8			-1.6	KS85W663 Exp	-4.0	-2.0	-2.8	0.5		-2.1
Hondo				1.8		-0.5	KS95H167-3	-4.0	-1.5	-2.8	-1.8		-2.5
	0.0		0.0				KS95H176-1 Exp	-4.0	3.3	0.3	2.3		0.4
Goertzen G1878	1 2	0.5	-1.5	0.5		0.0	KS95HW62-6 Exp	-4.5	-1.5	-2.0	-2.0		-2.5
						-0.9	Nekota	-1.8					
Cossack			-1.0			-0.4	Newton	-2.3	-0.3	-0.8	-1.8		-1.3
Enhancer	-2.8	-3.3	-3.8	-2.5		-3.1	Niobrara	-2.8			0.3		
Polansky							Scout 66	12.8	12.3	15.8	10.3		12.8
Dominator	-4.8	-3.3	-3.3	-2.8		-3.5	TAM 107	-7.0	-5.3	-5.8	-5.8		-5.9
Quantum							Vista	-2.0			3.3		
AP 7510	-5.0		-3.0	-2.8			Windstar	-1.5			3.8		
H1881 Exp	-3.8		-3.5	-3.0			Yuma	-4.8					
Star							Yumar	-4.5					
Champ	-4.8	-2.3	-2.5	-2.5		-3.0							
Terra							Test Average	-4.1	-2.1	-2.6	-1.4		-2.6
(S) SR 204		-0.8					CV (%)	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.7		
(S) SR 205							LSD (0.05)**	1.1	0.6	8.0	1.0		
(S) SR 211		-2.5											
(S) Exp215		-2.3											
(S) Exp216		-2.3											
Exp217		-0.3											

¹ RP = Republic County test at North Central Experiment Field near Belleville, KS.

² HV = Harvey County test at Harvey County Experiment Field near Hesston, KS.

³ RN = Reno County test at South Central Experiment Field near Hutchinson, KS.

⁴ SD = Stafford County Dryland test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS.

⁵ SU = Sumner County test at Max Kolarik farm near Caldwell, KS. Heading dates not recorded at this location.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 9c. Heading (days +/- Scout 66, Scout 66 heading listed as date in May)
1998 WESTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	EL ¹	TD ²	GD ³	FD ⁴	Avg.	Brand / Name	EL ¹	TD ²	GD ³	FD ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853	-4.0	-4.0	-3.0	-2.3	-3.3	2137	-2.5	-1.3	2.0	-1.3	-0.8
Colby 94	-0.8	-0.3				2174	-1.8				
Mankato	-2.0	-2.5	-1.0	-1.3	-1.7	Akron	-1.5	-0.3	1.0	-1.0	-0.4
TAM 110	-4.3	-5.0	-2.8	-2.5	-3.6	Alliance	-1.0	-0.8	-0.5	-0.3	-0.6
AWWPA						Arapahoe	1.3	-0.5	1.3	-0.5	0.4
(W) Arlin	-5.8		-4.5	-3.5		Custer	-3.5	-3.3	-0.5	-2.0	-2.3
(W) Oro Blanco	-2.3	-0.5	8.0	-1.5	-0.9	Halt	-3.5	-4.0	-1.3	-2.5	-2.8
AgriPro						lke	-1.8	-2.3	-2.0	-1.8	-1.9
Big Dawg	0.3					Jagger		-4.8			
Laredo		-4.3	-2.8			Karl 92		-4.3			
Ogallala	-1.8	-1.8	8.0	-0.8	-0.9	KS84063-2W Exp		0.0			0.5
Drussel						KS85W663 Exp		-0.8			
T81			-1.5			KS95H167-3		-1.0			
-						KS95H176-1 Exp		0.5			1.1
Goertzen G1878	Λ Ω	-0.8	1 Ω	-0.8	0.1	KS95HW62-6 Exp		-0.5			
Cossack	0.3	0.8	1.8		0.7	Larned		-1.3			
Enhancer		-2.3				Nekota		-1.0			
-	2.0	2.0	0.0	1.0		Newton		-1.0			
Polansky Dominator	2.0			-1.8		Niobrara Scout 66		-0.5 21.0			
-	-3.0			-1.0		TAM 107		-3.5			
Quantum						Vista		-1.0			
7406		-2.3				Windstar		0.0	1.8	0.3	
AP 7501		-1.0				Yuma		-2.3			
AP 7510		-1.0				Yumar		-2.3			
H1881 Exp	-2.0	-1.3				- Turriar	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	1.0
Star						Test Average	16	-1.7	0.3	12	1 2
Champ	-2.0	-3.0				CV (%)		0.5	0.6	0.3	-1.2
Terra						LSD (0.05)**	0.4	0.8	0.9	0.5	
(S) SR 204	-1.0					(0.03)	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	_
(S) SR 205	-0.3										
(S) SR 211	-2.3										
(S) Exp215	-2.5										
(S) Exp216	-1.8										
Exp217	-0.3										

¹ EL = Ellis County test at KSU Agricultural Research Center near Hays, KS.

² TD = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ GD = Greeley County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Tribune, KS.

⁴ FD = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 9d. Heading (days +/- Newton, Newton heading listed as date in May)
1998 IRRIGATED Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST ⁴	Avg.	Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853						2137		1.8	0.0	2.0	1.3
TAM 110		-1.3	-3.0	-7.0	-3.8	Akron		2.8	0.3	2.0	1.7
AWWPA						Alliance		0.5	0.0	2.0	8.0
(W) Arlin		-3.5	-2.8	-9.0	-5.1	Custer		-1.0	-1.3	-6.0	-2.8
(W) Oro Blanco		1.8	0.0	-2.8	-0.3	lke		0.0	-0.8	-5.5	-2.1
AgriPro						Jagger		-1.8	-1.8	-7.0	-3.5
Big Dawg		3.8	2.5	3.0	3.1	Karl 92		-0.5	-2.0	-6.0	-2.8
Coronado				-5.0		KS84063-2W Exp		3.8	2.0	1.0	2.3
Laredo				-3.8		KS85W663 Exp		2.5	8.0	1.5	1.6
Ogallala				-4.3		KS95H167-3		2.0	0.3	-2.3	0.0
Rowdy				-5.8		KS95H176-1 Exp		3.8	1.3	1.0	2.0
Drussel						KS95HW62-6 Exp		1.3		-4.0	
T81			-2.0			Newton			16.0		
-			2.0			TAM 107			-3.0		
Goertzen		2.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	TAM 200			-1.3		
G1878		2.0	0.0 1.5			Yuma			-1.3		
Cossack Enhancer		3.0 1.3		2.0 -3.3	2.2	Yumar		2.0	-0.3	-4.0	-0.8
-		1.3	-0.0	-3.3	-0.9						
Polansky						Test Average		0.7	-0.4	-2.9	-0.9
Dominator						CV (%)		0.7	0.4	1.2	
Quantum						LSD (0.05)**		1.1	0.6	2.8	
7406				-5.0							
AP 7501		2.0	8.0	0.0							
AP 7510		0.3	0.0	-4.0	-1.3						
H1881 Exp			-0.3								
WX94-3504 Exp		-0.3	-0.5	-5.5	-2.1						
Terra											
(S) SR 204											
(S) SR 205											
(S) SR 211											
(S) Exp215											
(S) Exp216											
Exp217											

¹ SI = Stafford County test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS. Abandoned in 1998 because of plot variability.

² TI = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ FI = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁴ ST = Stevens County test at Jim Kramer farm near Hugoton, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 10a. Plant height (inches)
1998 EASTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	BR ¹	RL^2	FR ³	LB 4	Avg.	Brand / Name	BR ¹	RL^2	FR ³	LB ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853	29		33			2137	28	39	34	37	35
Mankato	29	39				2163	28	37	33	34	33
Onaga	29	36	33	35	33	Custer				35	
AgriPro						Jagger	30	39	35	36	35
Big Dawg	32	42	36	39	37	Karl 92	26	38	33	34	33
Coronado	28	36	32	33	32	KS84063-2W Exp	29	40	34	38	35
Pecos			29			KS85W663 Exp	30	38	32	36	34
Hondo	29	37	34	38	34	KS95H167-3	27	41	36	40	36
(S) D93*7163				33		KS95H176-1 Exp	33	39	40	38	38
(S) Elkhart			37			KS95HW62-6 Exp	27	37	34	35	33
(S) Patton	30					Newton	30	40	34	38	35
Goertzen						Niobrara	30	40			
G1878	29	41				Scout 66	33	42	44	47	42
Cossack	32	42				TAM 107	30	34	34	35	33
Enhancer	29	39				Vista	25	34			
-	20	00				(S) Caldwell	31	40	34	38	36
NK (C) Calson 0474			24	07		(S) Cardinal	34	41	37	41	38
(S) Coker 9474			34	37		(S) Ernie	27	32	34	32	31
(S) Coker 9543				34		(S) IL90-7514	32	44	37	41	38
(S) Coker 9663				42							
Pioneer						Test Average	30	39	35	37	35
(S) 2540				35		CV (%)	5	5	5	4	
Polansky						LSD (0.05)**	2	2	2	2	
Dominator	28	36	33								
Star											
Champ	27	40	36								
Terra											
(S) SR 204	32			37							
(S) SR 205	31			38							
(S) SR 211	31			36							
(S) Exp215	34			40							
(S) Exp216	30			38							
Exp217	29			36							

¹ BR = Brown County test at Cornbelt Experiment Field near Powhattan, KS.

² RL = Riley County test at Ashland Experiment Farm near Manhattan, KS.

³ FR = Franklin County test at East Central Experiment Field near Ottawa, KS.

⁴ LB = Labette County test at KSU Southeast Agricultural Research Center near Parsons, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 10b. Plant height (inches)
1998 CENTRAL Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name RP ¹ HV ² RN ³ SD ⁴ SU ⁵ Avg. Brand / Nan		Brand / Name	RP ¹	HV	² RN ³	SD ⁴	SU ⁵	Avg.				
AGSECO						Public						
7853	36	38	35	 39	37	2137	37	37	36		39	37
Colby 94	40			 		2163	34	36	35		37	35
Mankato	38	40	38	 		2174		38	38		37	
Onaga	37	35	35	 35	35	Alliance	37					
AWWPA						Arapahoe	36					
(W) Oro Blanco	35	36	34	 35	35	Custer	38	37	37		36	37
AgriPro						Ike	38	39	37		38	38
Big Dawg		41	39	 39		Jagger	37	38	38		39	38
Coronado	34	35	33	 35	34	Karl 92	37	35	35		35	36
Pecos		34	33	 33		KS84063-2W Exp	39	40	38		39	39
Tomahawk	37	38	36	 35	36	KS85W663 Exp	37	37	37		38	37
Hondo	38	37	35	 37	37	KS95H167-3	37	39	40		40	39
Goertzen						KS95H176-1 Exp	40	41	40		41	41
G1878	37	39	37	 38	38	KS95HW62-6 Exp	36	37	36		36	36
Cossack	43	40	42	36 41	36 41	Nekota	36					
Enhancer	43 37	38	38	 		Newton	37	40	39		38	39
	31	30	30	 39	38	Niobrara	39					
Polansky						Scout 66	41	43	43		48	44
Dominator	35	36	34	 34	35	TAM 107	36	37	36		34	36
Quantum						Vista	35					
AP 7510	36		34	 		Windstar	37					
H1881 Exp	38		38	 		Yuma	37					
Star						Yumar	37					
Champ	39	38	39	 39	39							
Terra						Test Average	37	38	37		38	37
(S) SR 204		38		 		CV (%)	4	3	3		3	
(S) SR 205		39		 		LSD (0.05)**	2	2	1		1	
(S) SR 211		39		 								
(S) Exp215		40		 								
(S) Exp216		39		 								
Exp217		36		 								

¹ RP = Republic County test at North Central Experiment Field near Belleville, KS.

² HV = Harvey County test at Harvey County Experiment Field near Hesston, KS.

³ RN = Reno County test at South Central Experiment Field near Hutchinson, KS.

⁴ SD = Stafford County Dryland test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS. No plant heights recorded at this location.

⁵ SU = Sumner County test at Max Kolarik farm near Caldwell, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 10c. Plant height (inches)
1998 WESTERN Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Public P	Brand / Name	EL ¹	TD ²	GD ³	FD ⁴	Avg.	Brand / Name	EL ¹	TD ²	GD ³	FD ⁴	Avg.
Colby 94	AGSECO						Public					
Mankato 40 38 34 36 37 Akron 38 38 35 34 36 TAM 110 38 34 33 33 34 Alliance 39 35 35 36 36 AWWPA Custer 36 37 33 37 37 (W) Oro Blanco 35 33 34 32 33 - Custer 36 34 32 33 34 AgriPro Big Dawg 40	7853	37	32	32	34	34	2137	37	35	33	34	35
Alliance 39 35 35 36 36 36 36 37 37 37 37	Colby 94	42	39				2174	40				
AwwppA (W) Arlin 36 - 32 33 - Custer 36 34 32 33 34 (W) Oro Blanco 35 33 34 32 33 - Custer 36 34 32 33 34 AgriPro Big Dawg 40 - <t< td=""><td>Mankato</td><td>40</td><td>38</td><td>34</td><td>36</td><td>37</td><td>Akron</td><td>38</td><td>38</td><td>35</td><td>34</td><td>36</td></t<>	Mankato	40	38	34	36	37	Akron	38	38	35	34	36
(W) Arlin	TAM 110	38	34	33	33	34	Alliance	39	35	35	35	36
Marcian Marc	AWWPA						Arapahoe	40	37	33	37	37
Ike	(W) Arlin	36		32	33		Custer	36	34	32	33	34
Big Dawg	(W) Oro Blanco	35	33	34	32	33	Halt	37	33	31	33	34
Big Dawg	AgriPro						Ike	39	36	35	35	36
Caredo		40					Jagger	40	34	34	36	36
Ogaliala 34 31 30 31 31 Drussel KS85W663 Exp 37 35 36 37 T81 34 KS95H167-3 41 38 35 38 38 Goertzen KS95HW62-6 Exp 37 34 36 39 39 G1878 38 35 35 34 35 Larned 45 41 36 34 35 Cosack 41 39 37 37 39 Nekota 38 35 34 35 Enhancer 39 36 35 36 36 Newton 39 36 33 36 36 Polansky Nominator 36 31 Scout 66 46 42 38 41 42 Quantum 7406 38 34	= =		33	31			Karl 92	37	33	31	34	33
KS85W663 Exp 37 35 32 34 35 35 38 38 38 35 38 38						31	KS84063-2W Exp	39	37	35	36	37
T81							KS85W663 Exp	37	35	32	34	35
Cost				34			KS95H167-3	41	38	35	38	38
G1878				34			KS95H176-1 Exp	41	40	36	39	39
Cossack 41 39 37 37 39 Nekota 38 35 34 34 35 Enhancer 39 36 35 36 36 Newton 39 36 33 36 36 Newton 39 36 37 35 38 37 Test Miles 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107							KS95HW62-6 Exp	37	34	36	34	35
Enhancer 39 36 35 36 36 Newton 39 36 33 36 36 Polansky Niobrara 40 37 35 38 37 Dominator 36 31 Scout 66 46 42 38 41 42 Quantum TAM 107 36 34 33 32 34 AP 7501 37 33 Windstar 40 37 37 37 38 AP 7510 36 33 Yuma 38 35 34 34 35 H1881 Exp 39 37 Yumar 39 35 35 36 Star Champ 39 37 LSD (0.05)*** 1 2 3 1 (S) SR 204							Larned	45	41	36	40	40
Niobrara 40 37 35 38 37							Nekota	38	35	34	34	35
Dominator 36 31 Scout 66 46 42 38 41 42 Quantum TAM 107 36 34 33 32 34 7406 38 34 Vista 36 33 32 33 34 AP 7501 37 33 Windstar 40 37 37 37 38 AP 7510 36 33 Yuma 38 35 34 34 35 H1881 Exp 39 37 Yumar 39 35 35 35 36 Star Terra Test Average 38 35 34 35 36 (S) SR 204 40 LSD (0.05)*** 1 2 3 1	Enhancer	39	36	35	36	36	Newton	39	36	33	36	36
Quantum TAM 107 36 34 33 32 34 7406 38 34 Vista 36 33 32 33 34 AP 7501 36 33 Windstar 40 37 37 38 AP 7510 36 33 Yuma 38 35 34 34 35 H1881 Exp 39 37 Yumar 39 35 35 36 Star Champ 39 37 Test Average 38 35 34 35 36 Terra Image: Color of the c	Polansky						Niobrara	40	37	35	38	37
7406	Dominator	36			31		Scout 66	46	42	38	41	42
AP 7501	Quantum							36				
AP 7510 36 33 Yuma 38 35 34 34 35 H1881 Exp 39 37 Test Average 38 35 34 35 36 CV (%) 3 4 7 3 LSD (0.05)** 1 2 3 1 LSD (0.05)** 1 2 3 1 LSD (S) SR 201 37 (S) Exp215 40 (S) Exp216 38	7406	38	34									
H1881 Exp 39 37 Yumar 39 35 35 36 Star Champ 39 37 Test Average 38 35 34 35 36 CV (%) 3 4 7 3 LSD (0.05)** 1 2 3 1 (S) SR 205 39 (S) SR 211 37 (S) Exp215 40 (S) Exp216 38	AP 7501	37	33				Windstar	40	37	37	37	38
Star Champ 39 37 Test Average 38 35 34 35 36 Terra (S) SR 204 40 LSD (0.05)*** 1 2 3 1 (S) SR 205 39 (S) SR 211 37 (S) Exp215 40 (S) Exp216 38	AP 7510	36	33				Yuma	38	35			35
Champ 39 37	H1881 Exp	39	37				Yumar	39	35	35	35	36
CV (%) 3 4 7 3	Star											
CV (%) 3 4 7 3 (S) SR 204 40 (S) SR 205 39 (S) SR 211 37 (S) Exp215 40 (S) Exp216 38		39	37				Test Average	38	35	34	35	36
(S) SR 204							CV (%)	3	4	7	3	
(S) SR 205 39 (S) SR 211 37 (S) Exp215 40 (S) Exp216 38		40					LSD (0.05)**	1	2	3	1	
(S) SR 211 37 (S) Exp216 38												
(S) Exp215 40 (S) Exp216 38	` '											
(S) Exp216 38												
EXDZ I / .58	Exp217	38										

¹ EL = Ellis County test at KSU Agricultural Research Center near Hays, KS.

² TD = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ GD = Greeley County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Tribune, KS.

⁴ FD = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 10d. Plant height (inches)
1998 IRRIGATED Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST ⁴	Avg.	Brand / Name	SI ¹	TI ²	FI ³	ST ⁴	Avg.
AGSECO						Public					
7853						2137		34	38		36
TAM 110		37	37		37	Akron		39	39		39
AWWPA						Alliance		37	37		37
(W) Arlin		32	36		34	Custer		36	37		36
(W) Oro Blanco		33	37		35	lke		37	38		37
AgriPro						Jagger		34	37		36
Big Dawg		36	38		37	Karl 92		33	36		34
Coronado		32	35		33	KS84063-2W Exp		38	40		39
Laredo		33				KS85W663 Exp		34	37		35
Ogallala		32	35		33	KS95H167-3		41	39		40
Rowdy		31	35		33	KS95H176-1 Exp		44	42		43
Drussel						KS95HW62-6 Exp		35	37		36
T81			38			Newton		38	41		39
Goertzen						TAM 107		35	38		37
G1878		36	38		37	TAM 200		33	36		34
Cossack		41	40		40	Yuma		35	39		37
Enhancer		35	37		36	Yumar		37	38		38
Polansky			<u> </u>								
Dominator						Test Average		35	38		36
-						CV (%)		5	5		
Quantum		00	00		0.7	LSD (0.05)**		2	2		
7406		36	38		37						
AP 7501		34	38		36 25						
AP 7510 H1881 Exp		32	38 39		35						
WX94-3504 Exp		 34	38		 36						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		J -1	30		- 30						
Terra											
(S) SR 204											
(S) SR 205 (S) SR 211											
` '											
(S) Exp215 (S) Exp216											
(S) Exp216 Exp217											
=xpz1/											

¹ SI = Stafford County test at Sandyland Experiment Field near St. John, KS. Abandoned in 1998 because of plot variability.

² TI = Thomas County test at KSU Northwest Research Extension Center near Colby, KS.

³ FI = Finney County test at KSU Southwest Research Extension Center near Garden City, KS.

⁴ ST = Stevens County test at Jim Kramer farm near Hugoton, KS. No plant heights recored at this location.

⁽S) = Soft red winter wheat; (W) = Hard white winter wheat.

^{**} Unless two varieties differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Table 11. Lodging (% of lodged stalks) from 1998 Kansas Wheat Performance Tests.

			Lodgi	ng %				BRO RIL LAB RE					
Brand / Name	BRO	RIL	LAB	REP	HAR	STE	Brand / Name	BRO	RIL	LAB	REP	HAR	STE
AGSECO							Terra						
7853	0			0	2		(S) SR 204	0		1		1	
Colby 94				9			(S) SR 205	0		4		2	
Mankato	0	68		40	10		(S) SR 211	0		5		5	
Onaga	0	0	1	0	0		(S) Exp215	0		10		3	
TAM 110						0	(S) Exp216	0		5		1	
AWWPA							Exp217	5		6		2	
(W) Arlin						0	Public						
(W) Oro Blanco				0	0	0	2137	0	8	1	0	1	0
AgriPro							2163	0	13	4	1	5	
Big Dawg	0	0	1		0	0	2174					0	
Coronado	0	0	4	0	0	0	Akron						10
Laredo						0	Alliance				3 80		5
Ogallala						0	Arapahoe Custer			1	1	 1	0
Pecos					0		Halt					1	
Rowdy						0	lke				6	1	10
Tomahawk				0	3		Jagger	0	 65	 4	26	1	25
Hondo	0	13	3	0	2		Karl 92	3	55	13	0	2	10
(S) D93*7163			0				KS84063-2W Exp	0	33	10	0	2	0
(S) Elkhart							KS85W663 Exp	0	40	0	0	1	0
(S) Patton	0						KS95H167-3	5	5	3	9	4	5
Drussel							KS95H176-1 Exp	0	5	8	25	3	0
T81							KS95HW62-6 Exp	Ö	60	3	8	4	30
							Larned						
Goertzen							Nekota				4		
G1878	0	15		1	1	0	Newton	0	50	6	1	8	5
Cossack	0	78		1	8	0	Niobrara	0	40		0		
Enhancer	0	68		13	6	35	Scout 66	5	85	36	48	81	
NK							TAM 107	0	3	6	1	1	0
(S) Coker 9474			0				TAM 200						30
(S) Coker 9543			11				Vista	0	3		0		
(S) Coker 9663			8				Windstar				58		
Pioneer							Yuma				0		5
			0				Yumar				0		0
(S) 2540			0				(S) Caldwell	0	5	9			
Polansky							(S) Cardinal	0	0	4			
Dominator	0	70		4	6		(S) Ernie (S) IL90-7514	0	13 5	1 1			
Quantum							(3) 1190-7514	U	5	Į.			
7406						0							
AP 7501						Ö	Test Average	1	31	5	10	5	5
AP 7510				0		Ö	CV (%)	290	64	103		52	190
H1881 Exp				Ö			LSD (0.05)**	2	23	5	11	3	17
WX94-3504 Exp						0							_
Star													
Champ	8	68		15	6								
				, ,									

Table 12. Shattering and disease notes from 1998 Kansas Wheat Performance Tests.

	S	hatterin	g	BYDV		SI	hatterin	g	BYDV
Brand / Name	LAB	HAR	ELL	rating 2	Brand / Name	LAB	HAR	ELL	rating 2
Brand / Name	-	%	rating ¹	LAB	Brand / Name	Ç	%	rating ¹	LAB
AGSECO					Terra				
7853		0	3		(S) SR 204	4	2	7	8
Colby 94			3		(S) SR 205	5	2	7	8
Mankato		0	5		(S) SR 211	7	3	9	8
Onaga	4	0		6	(S) Exp215	3	3	6	8
TAM 110			3		(S) Exp216	8	3	7	7
AWWPA					Exp217	2	0	3	8
(W) Arlin			4		Public				
(W) Oro Blanco		0	5		2137	1	0	6	8
AgriPro					2163	4	0		9
Big Dawg	1	0	3	9	2174		0	3	
Coronado	2	Ö		7	Akron			3	
Laredo			3		Alliance			3	
Ogallala			6		Arapahoe			3	
Pecos		0			Custer	2	0	4	8
Rowdy					Halt			4	
Tomahawk		0			lke		0	3	
Hondo	2	1		8	Jagger	4	0	5	8
(S) D93*7163	3			9	Karl 92	3	0	3	7
(S) Elkhart					KS84063-2W Exp	1	0	4	7
(S) Patton					KS85W663 Exp	4	1	3	7
					KS95H167-3	1	0	3	8
Drussel					KS95H176-1 Exp	2	0	3	5
T81					KS95HW62-6 Exp Larned	1	0	3 4	8
Goertzen					Nekota			3	
G1878		0	3		Newton	1	0	3	7
Cossack		0	5		Niobrara	<u>.</u>		3	,
Enhancer		0	3		Scout 66	1	0	3	7
NK					TAM 107	1	0	3	7
(S) Coker 9474	7			9	TAM 200				
(S) Coker 9543	2			9	Vista			4	
(S) Coker 9663	7			9	Windstar			3	
					Yuma			5	
Pioneer					Yumar			7	
(S) 2540	3			9	(S) Caldwell	8			8
Polansky					(S) Cardinal	4			9
Dominator		0	7		(S) Ernie	5			8
			<u> </u>		(S) IL90-7514	6			8
Quantum			2						
7406 AD 7501			3		Test Average	3	0	4	8
AP 7501			3		CV (%)	72	71	0	9
AP 7510			3 7		LSD (0.05)**	2	0	Ö	1
H1881 Exp					- (/		-		
WX94-3504 Exp									
Star		•	4						
Champ		0	4	<u></u>					

¹ Shattering rating averaged over 2 replications: 1=none, 3=very little, 5=noticeable, 7=significant, 9=severe.

² Barly Yellow Dwarf Virus rating: 10 = no symptoms, 1 = severe symptoms.

Table 13. Planted seed characteristics, coleoptile lengths, and Hessian fly ratings.

Brand / Name	1000 Seed weight (grams)	weight		length	fly	Brand / Name	1000 Seed weight (grams)	weight	per lb.		fly
AGSECO						Terra					
7853	41.8	60.2	10.9	8	9	(S) SR 204	32.8	59.9	13.9	8	4
Colby 94	33.0		13.7	6	8	(S) SR 205	32.5		14.0	8	4
Mankato	36.5		12.4	8	8	(S) SR 211	30.3		15.0	8	3
Onaga	30.0		15.1	6	2	(S) Exp215	33.8		13.4	6	3
TAM 110			11.9	5	9	(S) Exp216	32.5		14.0	7	3
AWWPA						Exp217	28.5		15.9	8	3
(W) Arlin	35.8	60.0	12.7	5	9	Public					
(W) Oro Blanco	36.5		12.4	8	6	2137	40.8	61.1	11.1	8	2
-	00.0	00.0	12.7			2163	31.0		14.6	7	1
AgriPro						2174	32.0		14.2	4	9
Big Dawg	44.5		10.2	3	9	Akron	37.3		12.2	7	5
Coronado	39.5		11.5	8	7	Alliance	29.5		15.4	8	2
Laredo	31.5		14.4	7	9	Arapahoe	29.8		15.2	7	1
Ogallala	30.5		14.9	7	9	Custer	36.3		12.5	8	7
Pecos	30.5		14.9	8	2	Halt	33.0		13.7	6	9
Rowdy	31.3	59.0	14.5	8	9	lke	35.3		12.9	7	1
Tomahawk	35.3	59.2	12.9	7	7		38.8		11.7	6	9
Hondo	36.0	54.8	12.6	7	6	Jagger Karl 92	39.5		11.7	7	9
(S) D93*7163	38.5	59.0	11.8	8	6				11.9	8	
(S) Elkhart	36.8	59.6	12.3	8	6	KS84063-2W Ex	•				8
(S) Patton	44.5		10.2	7	6	KS85W663 Exp	34.5		13.1	6	4
						KS95H167-3	39.8		11.4	6	3
Drussel						KS95H176-1 Ex			14.0	7	9
T81	32.0	57.4	14.2	8	8	KS95HW62-6 Ex	•		12.3	7	9
Goertzen						Larned	36.8		12.3	3	3
G1878	39.0	60.3	11.6	6	9	Nekota	35.8		12.7	6	8
Cossack	38.8		11.7	3	2	Newton	41.0		11.1	6	9
Enhancer	32.3			5	9	Niobrara	30.5		14.9	6	9
	32.3	30.2	14.1	5	9	Scout 66	34.5		13.1	2	9
NK						TAM 107	36.8		12.3	6	9
(S) Coker 9474	32.8	58.8	13.9	2	4	TAM 200	30.3		15.0	8	9
(S) Coker 9543	32.5	56.2	14.0	8	4	Vista	32.5		14.0	8	1
(S) Coker 9663	43.8		10.4	2	7	Windstar		53.1	16.3	7	8
						Yuma	40.5	59.5	11.2	8	9
Pioneer						Yumar	41.8	62.1	10.9	7	9
(S) 2540	36.5	57.2	12.4	6	8	(S) Caldwell	34.8	58.5	13.1	8	3
Polansky						(S) Cardinal	41.0	56.6	11.1	7	1
Dominator	32.3	62.2	1/1	8	3	(S) Ernie	45.0	59.6	10.1	5	9
	32.3	02.2	14.1	0		(S) IL90-7514	35.5		12.8	6	9
Quantum	07.0		40.0	-	0	Maximum	45.0	62.2	16.3	8	9
7406		57.7		7	9	Minimum		53.1	10.1	2	1
AP 7501	32.3		14.1	8	1	Average		58.3		7	6
AP 7510	35.0		13.0	8	4	Avelage	35.5	56.5	13.0	,	U
H1881 Exp		54.1	12.5	7	4						
WX94-3504 Exp	34.0	57.7	13.3	7	9						
Star					-						
Champ	00.0	58.8	116	8	8						

¹ Coleoptile lengths, 2 = long (about 4.2"), 8 = short (about 2.6") provided by T. Joe Martin, Kansas State University Agricultural Research Center - Hays. Tested at 75 degrees F, see discussion page 12.

² Hessian fly ratings by J. Hatchett, USDA; 1 = highly resistant, 9 = highly susceptible. Tested with the Great Plains Hessian fly.

Table 14. Protein (% at 14% moisture) 1997 Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

Region Agrif Agr			Ea	st			Cer	ntral				West			Ir	rigate	ed
AGSECO Onaga 13.8 13.2	Brand / Name	BR			Avg.	RP			Avg.	EL				Avg.			
Onaga 13.8 13.2	AGSECO																
7853		13.8	13.2														
7853-D					11 6	13 6	11 8	15.5	13 6	13 9	12 5	15 6	15.0	14 3	13 0	13 1	13 1
R853-VRTU																	
9001																	
Colby 94																	
Mankato TAM 110						10.8				11.6							
TAM 110 11.2 11.6 13.3 12.0 11.2 10.8 12.9 11.9 11.7 11.1 11.0 11 AWWPA (W) Arlin (W) Oro Blanco 12.3 11.6 15.7 13.2 13.9	_	12.6					11.1	15.4	12.9					13.4		12.3	
(W) Arlin (W) Oro Blanco															11.1		
(W) Arlin (W) Oro Blanco	AWWPA																
AgriPro Big Dawg 13.4 12.2 9.3 11.6 11.9 11.4 16.1 13.1 12.9 12.4 15.7 13.1 Coronado 12.8 12.7 9.6 11.7 12.2 11.9 15.5 13.2 13.0 12.0 12.1 12.4 12.4 12.4 Hickok 12.8 12.7 9.6 11.7 12.2 11.9 15.5 13.2 13.0 12.0 12.1 12.4 12.4 12.4 Hickok 12.9 12.3 14.3 12.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.9 12.3 14.3 12.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.1 12.8 12.0 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.1 12.8 12.0 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.1 12.8 12.0 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.1 12.8 12.0 12.5 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.1 12.8 12.0 12.5 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.1 12.8 12.0 12.5 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.1 12.8 12.0 12.5 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.1 12.8 12.0 12.5 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Laredo 12.1 12.8 12.0 Laredo													13.9				
AgriPro Big Dawg 13.4 12.2 9.3 11.6 11.9 11.4 16.1 13.1 12.9 12.4 15.7 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.5 12.5 13.2 13.0 12.0 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.4 12.1 12.1						12.3	11.6	15.7	13.2								
Big Dawg Coronado 12.8 12.7 9.6 11.7 12.2 11.9 11.4 16.1 13.1 12.9 12.4 15.7 13.1 12.1 12.4 12.4 12.8 12.7 9.6 11.7 12.2 11.9 15.5 13.2 13.0 12.0 12.1 12.4 12.4 12.8 12.6 11.6 12.0 12.0 12.1 12.8 12.9 13.0 12.0 12.1 12.8 12.9 13.0 12.0 12.1 12.8 12.9 13.0 12.0 12.1 12.8 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.1 12.8 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0																	
Coronado 12.8 12.7 9.6 11.7 12.2 11.9 15.5 13.2 13.0 12.0 12.1 12.4 12.4 12.4 Hickok 12.3 14.3 12.5 12.9 13.0 12.0 Ogallala 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.0 Ogallala 13.8 12.6 15.0 14.8 14.1 13.2 13.0 13.0 Pecos 11.7 9.1 11.9 12.4 14.8 13.0 12.6 12.5 11.9 12.7 Tomahawk 12.7 12.9 9.8 11.8 12.6 11.5 15.7 13.3 13.8 12.5 11.9 12.7 Tomahawk (S) Elkhart 12.5 9.4 13.7 13.8 13.2 13.1 13.2 13.0 13.3		134	122	93	116	11 0	11 4	16 1	13 1	12 0	124	15 7			13 1		
Hickok																	12.3
Laredo 12.9 12.3 15.5 12.1 12.8 12 Ogallala 13.8 12.6 15.0 14.8 14.1 13.2 13.0 13 Pecos 11.7 9.1 11.9 12.4 14.8 13.0 12.6		12.0															
Ogallala <																	
Pecos - 11.7 9.1 - 11.9 12.4 14.8 13.0 12.6																	
Rowdy 12.5 11.9 12.5 12.5 13.0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>11.7</td> <td>9.1</td> <td></td> <td>11.9</td> <td>12.4</td> <td>14.8</td> <td>13.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			11.7	9.1		11.9	12.4	14.8	13.0								
Tomahawk											11.8				12.5	11.9	12.2
Drussel DSS-285	•	12.7	12.9	9.8	11.8	12.6	11.5	15.7	13.3								
Drussel DSS-285 13.2 13.1 13 Goertzen Enhancer 11.4 14.4 13.7 13.8 12.4 Cossack 10.7 15.2 15.6 13.4 11.6 G1720 Exp 15.9 15.3 13.9 G1878 11.1 15.8 15.2 13.9 13.0 NK (S) Coker 9474 12.7 9.7			_														
DSS-285																	
Enhancer 11.4 14.4 13.7 13.8 12.4 Cossack 10.7 15.2 15.6 13.4 11.6 G1720 Exp 15.9 15.3 13.9 G1878 11.1 15.8 15.2 13.9 13.0 NK (S) Coker 9474 12.7 9.7 15.2 13.9 13.0 (S) Coker 9543 8.9															13.2	13.1	13.1
Cossack 10.7 15.2 15.6 13.4 11.6 G1720 Exp 15.9 15.3 13.9 G1878 11.1 15.8 15.2 13.9 13.0 13.0 NK (S) Coker 9474 12.7 9.7	Goertzen																
G1720 Exp	Enhancer																
MK (S) Coker 9474 12.7 9.7							10.7	15.2									
NK (S) Coker 9474 12.7 9.7																	
(S) Coker 9474 12.7 9.7	G1878						11.1	15.8				15.2	13.9			13.0	
(S) Coker 9543																	
Pioneer (S) 2548 9.4 <td></td> <td></td> <td>12.7</td> <td></td>			12.7														
Pioneer (S) 2548 9.4																	
(S) 2548 9.4	- <u>`</u>			8.8													
Polansky Dominator 12.5 12.2 12.1 15.8 13.4 13.3 11.8 13.0 Quantum 566 11.8																	
Dominator 12.5 12.2 12.1 15.8 13.4 13.3 11.8 13.0 Quantum 566 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>9.4</td> <td></td>				9.4													
Quantum 566	_																
566 11.8 579		12.5				12.2	12.1	15.8	13.4	13.3	11.8				13.0		
579																	
											11.8						
ΔΡ 7501 12 Ω 12 13 14 15																	
	AP 7501										12.1						
AP 7510 12.2 16.4 12.0 12.7 11.9 12						12.2		16.4			12.0						
AP 7601 13.1 11.9 12																	
								 4								11.5	
7504 11.4 15.0																	
7406 11.1 11.5 10.9 11	=										11.1				11.5	10.9	11.2
Star																	
505 11.1																	
560 12.8 13.1																	
Champ 12.6 12.6 12.1 11.2 14.7 12.7 13.3 12.3 14.4	Champ	12.6	12.6			12.1	11.2	14.7	12.7	13.3	12.3		14.4				

Table 14. Protein (% at 14% moisture) 1997 Kansas Winter Wheat Performance Tests.

East					Central				West					Irrigated		
Brand / Name	BR			Avg.	RP	HV		Avg.	EL	TD			Avg.	TI		Avg.
				3-				3-					3-			3-
Terra (S) SR 204	11 0	11.5	0.2	10 0												
(S) SR 204 (S) SR 205		11.5		10.6												
(S) SR 211		10.9		10.4		<u></u>										
HR 153				12.2		12 4	16.1									
Public	12.0	10.4	10.1	12.2		12.7	10.1									
2137	11 4	10.9	a n	10 4	116	10.6	14 3	12.2	116	11 1	13.6	123	12.1	11 3	10.7	11.0
2163		11.4		10.7				12.2					12.4			11.3
2180							14.4					12.0				
Akron										10.8	13 9	12 6	12.4		11 2	11.6
Alliance					10.7								11.6			10.4
Arapahoe	12.2				12.2								13.5			
BC1		11.9	8.9	11.1		11.3	15 1					17.0				
BC4		11.4	9.1	11.0				13.0								
BNW1									12 1	118	14 1	12 7	12.7			
BNW2													13.2			
BNW5													12.7			
BSW3													13.5			
Custer	12.4	12.6	9.3	11.4	12.3	11.9	14.9	13.0					13.9	13.3	12.6	12.9
Halt													12.4			
2174						12.2	15.2		13.3							
lke					12.4	11.3				13.0	15.8	14.6	14.3	12.5	12.4	12.4
Jagger	13.0	14.6	9.3	12.3		11.5	15.5	13.1					13.6			12.4
Karl 92		12.4	9.2	11.3				13.0					14.3			12.1
Karl 92-G	12.0	12.1				11.2							13.8			12.0
KS84063-2W Exp	13.5	12.2	9.8	11.8	13.3	12.0	15.8	13.7	14.1	13.8	16.2	15.1	14.8	13.6	12.9	13.3
KS940935 Exp	12.9	12.1	10.3	11.8	12.8	12.1	14.6	13.2	12.6	12.7	15.3	13.7	13.6	13.4	12.4	12.9
KS941064 Exp	11.7	11.5	8.8	10.7	11.8	10.8	14.0	12.2	11.7	11.2	14.4	12.8	12.5	12.1	11.7	11.9
KS94H147Exp	12.0	12.1	8.6	10.9	11.7	11.4	15.3	12.8	12.6	11.3	13.7	13.4	12.7	11.5	11.7	11.6
Larned					11.4	11.4	14.8	12.5	12.3	11.6	14.2	13.1	12.8			
Nekota					12.8								13.2			
Newton	12.5	12.2	8.6	11.1	12.0	11.9	14.6	12.8					12.8	11.4	11.2	11.3
Niobrara	11.5				11.3				11.6	10.9	13.2	12.7	12.1			
Scout 66		12.4		11.3				13.0					13.0			
TAM 107	11.7	12.5	9.1	11.1	11.9	11.6	14.1	12.5	11.7	11.2	13.1	12.2	12.1	11.7	11.1	11.4
TAM 200														11.2	11.0	11.1
TAM 301		13.6		12.1		12.0										
Tonkawa		12.5	10.5	12.1		12.4	15.9	14.0					14.3	14.8	13.3	14.1
Vista	12.2				12.1								13.0			
Windstar					11.1								12.5			
Yuma					11.2				11.0	10.4	12.6	11.8	11.4	10.3	10.9	10.6
(S) Caldwell		11.7	8.6	10.4												
(S) Cardinal		11.9		10.8												
(S) Ernie		11.3		10.5												
(S) Jackson		12.6		11.5												
Test Average	12.4	12.2	9.3		12.2	11.7	15.1		12.7	11.9	14.6	13.6		12.4	12.0	<u> </u>

ELECTRONIC ACCESS

For those interested in accessing crop performance testing information electronically, try visiting our World Wide Web site. Most of the information contained in this publication is available for viewing or downloading. The URL is http://www.ksu.edu/kscpt.

Excerpts from the

UNIVERSITY RESEARCH POLICY AGREEMENT WITH COOPERATING SEED COMPANIES*

Permission is hereby given to Kansas State University to test our varieties and/or hybrids designated on the attached entry forms in the manner indicated on the test announcement. I understand that all results from Kansas crop performance tests belong to the University and to the public and shall be controlled by the University so as to produce the greatest benefit to the public. It is further agreed that the name of the University shall not be used by the company in any commercial advertising either in regard to this agreement or any other related matter.

These materials may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. In each case, give credit to the author(s), name of work, Kansas State University, and the date the work was published.

CONTRIBUTORS

MAIN STATION, MANHATTAN

Kraig Roozeboom, Associate Agronomist (Senior Author)
Rollin Sears, Professor of Agronomy, Wheat Breeder
Robert Bowden, State Extension Plant Pathologist
Leroy Brooks, State Extension Entomologist
Mary Knapp, KSU State Climatologist

RESEARCH CENTERS

Patrick Evans, Colby James Long, Parsons T. Joe Martin, Hays Alan Schlegel, Tribune Merle Witt, Garden City

EXPERIMENT FIELDS

Mark Claassen, Hesston W. Barney Gordon, Scandia William Heer, Hutchinson Keith Janssen, Ottawa Brian Marsh, Powhattan Victor Martin, St. John

Others providing information for this report:

P.J. McCluskey, Grain Science & Industry W.W. Bockus, Plant Pathology J.H. Hatchett, USDA Entomology

NOTE: Trade names are used to identify products. No endorsement is intended, nor is any criticism implied of similar products not named.

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service, Manhattan 66506
SRP 816
July 1998