

KANSAS STATE AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE,

MANHATTAN.

BULLETIN NO. 30 — DECEMBER, 1891.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

C. C. GEORGESON, M. Sc., Professor of Agriculture, and Superintendent of Farm. F. C. BURTIS, B. Sc., Assistant. WM. SHELTON, Foreman of Farm.

EXPERIMENTS WITH CORN.

Unlike the oat crop of the present year, and also unlike the corn crop of 1890, the corn crop of the present season has been a decided success. The rainfall was abundant through all stages of growth for the normal development of the corn plant, and it escaped destruction by late frosts in the spring, hot winds in summer, and the remainder of the catalogue of vicissitudes to which Kansas corn sometimes falls a victim.

The yields which are here reported may, therefore, be taken as normal for the variety concerned on this soil, and under the method of culture accorded it.

As was the case with wheat and oats, so, also, the entire corn crop raised on the College Farm has been under experiment, and the same general plan in regard to the system of plat experimentation which has been adopted for the small grain has also been followed in this case. That is to say, that whenever the available land would permit of it, the experiment under consideration was given at least five (5) plats, so distributed that they represent the average quality of the soil covered by that experiment, and the conclusions reached are based on the average yields of these plats. It might still be better to have a greater number of plats under each experiment, but, as the land is limited, an increase in number would necessitate either a diminution in the size of the plat, or a decrease in the number of experiments. The reliability of results in field experimentation is primarily dependent upon equality of soil devoted to the series of experiments compared with each other—equality in physical conditions, in preparation, in fertility. No ordinary field is so constituted that it does not vary in these particular in different parts, and variation is fatal to the results. The only remedy lies in using several moderate-sized plats for each experiment, rather than one or two larger ones, and to so alternate these plats with those that they are to be compared with that the two sets will partake equally of the natural advantages and disadvantages the soil affords, owing to the inevitable variation in the conditions above named. The actual size of the plat is, within certain limits, of comparatively little importance. Large plats are open to the criticism that they may defeat the object sought, inasmuch as any one might cover inequalities of soil to which none of the others is subjected, and very small ones are more troublesome and expensive to work, and any error that might creep into the work is unduly multiplied in reducing results to the tacitly accepted unit of "yield per acre." At this Station, the plats do not exceed one-tenth of an acre, nor are they, except for special reasons, smaller than one-twentieth of an acre, and, when conditions permit, either one or the other of these sizes is adopted. The plats are invariably measured and staked out with accuracy.

This brief explanation of the plat system is made here, in order that readers of the bulletins of this department may have a clear understanding of our methods of experimenting.

The following experiments were undertaken:

- I. HOW OFTEN SHOULD CORN BE CULTIVATED?
- II. WHEN TO HARVEST CORN FOR GRAIN AND FODDER.
- III. LARGE AND SMALL KERNELS FOR SEED.
- IV. BUTT, MIDDLE AND TIP KERNELS FOR SEED.
- V. DISTANCE TO PLANT CORN FOR GRAIN AND FODDER.
- VI. DISTANCE TO PLANT CORN FOR ENSILAGE.
- VII. REMOVING TASSELS FROM CORN.
- VIII. PLASTER AND OIL MEAL AS FERTILIZERS FOR CORN.
 - IX. TREATING SEED CORN WITH CREOSOTE FOR SMUT.
 - X. TEST OF VARIETIES OF CORN.

Of these 10 series, numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9 and 10 were grown in field "C." The land in this field is a clay loam of rather even character, a slight rising through the middle giving it a gentle western and southeastern exposure. The field was in millet in 1886, and tame grass (orchard grass and clover) in 1887–'89. It produced a crop of wheat in 1890, and in the fall

Kansas Agricultural Exper 182

Historical Document



of that year it was sown to rye for pasture. It was pastured until the last of April, when a good growth of rye was turned under for the corn crop. The land turned over in slices, and required repeated workings with disc and Acme harrows to put it in condition. The planting of these experiments occurred between the 10th and the 15th of May. In all cases except the varieties the corn was put in with a one-horse corn planter, in rows $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart, and thinned to 16 inches apart in the rows.

I. HOW OFTEN SHOULD CORN BE CULTIVATED?

Thirty plats were devoted to the answer of this question, each plat being 14 feet wide and 155.57 feet long, containing one-twentieth acre.

The experiment was divided into two series of 15 plats each. Series A had five plats cultivated twice a week, five plats once a week, and the remaining five once in two weeks. The three five-plat groups in series B were cultivated respectively two, four and six times during the season. The implement used was the Daisy spring tooth cultivator, a most excellent tool, which was furnished the Station by the Gale Manufacturing Company, of Albion, Mich. It gives shallow or surface culture, and can be run close to the plants without disturbing the roots. The variety planted was a white corn, very popular in certain districts in this neighborhood, known by the name of St. Charles.

All plats were cut when ripe, and after drying in the field were husked October 24th and weighed on the 26th, with the following results:

Number of plat.	Times cultivated duriny season.	Yield of good ears, in lbs.	Yield of nubbins, in lbs.	
157	Twice a week	1831	23	2061
158	Once a week	2071	201	228
159	Once in two weeks	202	30	232
160	Twice a week	2061	31	2371
160		201	341	235
	Once a week			235
162	Once in two weeks	205	295	
163	Twice a week	219늘	18늘	238
164	Once a week		16	242
165	Once in two weeks	2351	231	259
166	Twice a week	222	16	238
167	Once a week	246	20	266
168	Once in two weeks	2491	201	270
169	Twice a week.	216	30	- 246
170		225	19	244
				281
171	Once in two weeks	256늘	: 241	281

SERIES A	٩.
----------	----

AVERAGES PER PLAT AND RATE OF YIELDPPER ACRE., IN BUSHELS OF 70 POUNDS, EAR CORN.

Times cultivated during season.	Pounds per plat.	Bushels per acre.
Twice a week	283.2	66.63
Once a week	248.1	69.45
Once in two weeks	255.4	72.97

Historical Document Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station

184

AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT. [BULLETIN 30.

Number of plat.	Times cultivated during season.	good ears,	Yield of nubbins, in lbs.	Total yield, in lbs.
	Two times.	212]	$23\frac{1}{2}$	236
	Four times	2381	22	261
	Six times	200±	35	235
	Two times	211	20불 '	232
176	Four times	237	25	262
177	Six times	2061	81를 -	238
178	Two times	$216\frac{1}{3}$	18	234
179	Four times	2375	26	264
180	Six times	216	19	235
181	Two times.	190	27	217
182	Four times	240	21	261
183	Six times.	245	18	263
184	Two times.	254	17	271
185	Four times	2561	261	283
	Six times.	2341	201	255

SERIES B.

AVERAGES PER PLAT AND RATE OF YIELD PER ACRE, IN BUSHELS OF 70 POUNDS, EAR CORN.

Times cultivated during season.	Pounds per plat.	Bushels per acre.
Two times Four times Six times	$238.1 \\ 266.2 \\ 245.3$	68.03 76.06 70.08

It is gratifying to note the uniformity in yield in the plats under similar treatment in both of these series. The agreement is, perhaps, as close as it is possible to attain in field experiments of this character. But what is still more interesting is, that the highest yields attained in both series, viz., 72.97 bushels per acre, when cultivated once in two weeks, and 76.06 bushels per acre, when cultivated four times during the season, is the result of what is practically the same amount of cultivation. Though the culture did not occur on the same dates for both sets, the plats cultivated once in two weeks were also gone over four times.

If this experiment, then, proves anything, it is that in a wet season like the last, and on soil of the character here employed, it is possible to give corn both too much and too little culture. The latter case is readily conceded by everybody, but that the ground can be stirred too often, will probably not meet with so universal assent. Nor is it probable that the mere stirring of the surface is in itself injurious, if the soil is not too wet when worked; but the greater the number of times the cultivator runs through the rows, the greater are the number of chances that the roots on some plants will be injured, and also that now and then a leaning stalk will be broken by the team in spite of the care exercised by the plowman, and I attribute the diminished yield of the plats subject to frequent cultivation to these causes, rather than to the mere stirring of the soil.

II. WHEN TO HARVEST CORN FOR GRAIN AND FODDER.

This experiment adjoined the one already described, and the land had been subject to the same kind of treatment. The object was to ascertain



what loss, if any, is incurred in yield of grain by cutting the corn before it is ripe, as is often done, in order to obtain a better grade of fodder. The experiment covered 20 plats of one-twentieth acre each, alternating with each other in the manner already detailed. The 20 plats were divided into four groups of five plats each, which were denoted by their condition at the time of cutting, as follows:

Grain in milk — cut August 20th. Grain in dough — cut August 28th. Grain ripe — cut September 18th. Not cut—left standing until husked. The St. Charles was the variety used.

The following table gives the results:

Number of plat.	Stage when cut.	Yield of ears, in lbs.	Yield of fodder, in lbs.
187		135	270
188	Cut in dough	196	270
189	Cut when ripe	278	311
190	Not cut	815	
191	Cut in milk	148	278
	Cut in dough	201	2781
	Cut when ripe	310	308
194	Not cut	242	
	Cut in milk	116	230
196		178	209
		2301	2514
197		226	
198			218
199		108불	
	Cut in dough	161	2341
	Cut when ripe	2284	249
202	Not cut	240	
203	Cut in milk		224 5
204	Cut in dough.	157ま	2221
	Cut when ripe	247 .	₁ 245 ẫ
	Not cut	255	

Averages per plat, and yield of grain and fodder per acre:

WHEN CUT,	Average per plat. grain.	Average per plat, fodder,	Yield per acre, grain,	Yield per acre, fodder,	yield wl	e less than nen ripe.
	in lbs.	in lbs.	in bushels.	in tons.	Grain.	Fodder.
Cut in milk Cut in dough Cut when ripe Not cut	124.5 178.8 258.9 255.6	244.1 242.9 273.0	$ \begin{array}{r} 85.56 \\ 51.08 \\ 73.96 \\ 73.02 \end{array} $	$2.44 \\ 2.43 \\ 2.73$	$51.9 \\ 80.9 \\ 0 \\ 1.2$	10.5 11.0 0

All plats were husked and weighed October 31, when both grain and fodder were thoroughly air dry, the three first-named groups having stood in shock from August 20, August 28, and September 18, respectively. No account was taken of the fodder on the "not cut" plats, as by the time of husking it had been wasted to a large extent by the winds.

It will be seen at once that the maximum yield of both grain and fodder is obtained when the corn is cut and cared for when ripe. The per cent. of loss in grain by early cutting is very decided, and shows plainly that it is poor economy in point of quantity produced to cut up the corn crop before

the grain matures. Nor does the loss in weight represent all the low; the quality of the corn cut in the milk was very inferior, the kernels were small, shrunken, and loose on the cob, and the per cent. of cob to that of grain was greatly increased. The plats cut in the dough, although they stood but eight days longer than the plats cut in the milk, showed a much better quality of grain, but still greatly inferior to that cut when ripe, and the yield was also much larger, as the averages show. Taking the plats cut when ripe as the standard, the percentage of loss when cut in the milk is, of grain, 51.9 per cent. and of loss in fodder, 10.5 per cent. For those cut in the dough, the loss is 30.9 per cent. of grain, and 11 per cent. of fodder. The stage described as ripe should perhaps be defined a little more fully. It was ripe in the sense that the plant had ceased growth, and was fast turning yellow. The husk was dry, and the grain was hard, but the leaves were still green enough to make good fodder.

Similar experiments carried out at this Station in 1888 and 1889, and reported upon in the annual reports of those years, (see First Annual Report, page 42, and Second Annual Report, page 22,) gave almost exactly the same results. The per cent. of loss in weight of grain by cutting the corn in the milk was found to be 51.5, and in the dough, 33.5. The present experiment, however, varies from those there reported on one point, which, possibly, may be due to the intrinsic characters of the varieties employed. It was then found that there was a gain of from 10 to 12 per cent. in the weight of corn (ears) by letting it stand uncut in the field until husked, over that which was cut when ripe. The present experiment with St. Charles corn does not bear out that result. In fact, it is found, as shown in the table, that there is a slight loss this year by letting it stand, aside from the inevitable loss, both in quantity and quality, of the fodder.

The general results obtained at this Station are confirmed by similar experiments at the Pennsylvania Experiment Station, as published in the annual report of that Station for 1890. It was there found that there was a total loss of 40.62 per cent. of dry matter in the entire corn plant by cutting it when the grain began to glaze instead of allowing it to ripen.

The only logical conclusion which can be drawn from these facts is, that if we grow corn for the grain it yields, it is the height of folly to cut it before it is ripe; and that even when the fodder is an item, the maximum yield of fodder is not reached until growth ceases. The only thing that can be gained by early cutting is a better quality of fodder, for it cannot be denied that the early-cut corn yields a fodder which is much superior to that from corn cut at full maturity. But it is self-evidence that superior palatability in the fodder cannot offset a loss of 40 per cent. in the dry matter, which is sustained by cutting at the glazing period. The exact value of quality in the fodder is a question which cannot be fully answered except by chemical analysis and digestion experiments, which are points that this experiment was not designed to cover.

186

Historical Document Itural Experi

Kansas Agric



DEC., 1891.]

III. LARGE AND SMALL KERNELS FOR SEED.

The object of this experiment was to learn if a corn plant grown from a small kernel is just as thrifty, and will yield as well, as one grown from a large one. In other words, if there is any advantage in selecting the finest kernels for seed. Ten plats, each one-twentieth of an acre, were devoted to this experiment, five being planted with small kernels, and alternating with them five planted with selected large kernels. The variety was the St. Charles. The previous treatment of the ground was similar to that described in the two preceding experiments. The rows were 3½ feet apart, and the corn planted with a one-horse corn planter, and thinned to a stand of 16 inches between the stalks. The figures relating to each plat are given in the following table:

Number of plat.	Size of kernels,	Yield of good ears, in lbs.	Yield of nubbins, in Ibs.	Total yield of ears, in lbs.
208 209 210 211	Large kernels Small kernels Large kernels	229 239 1 219 219 219 225 <u>1</u>	20 16 ¹ / ₂ 25 38 23 ¹ / ₂	249 256 244 257 249
212 213 214 215 216	Småll kernels Large kernels Large kernels Small kernels Small kernels	220 214 214 215 189	34 26 32 24 27	254 240 246 239 216
	AVERAGE PER PLAT, AND RATE OF YIELD PER AC			

Size of kernels.	Yield of good ears, in lbs.	Yield of nubbins, in lbs.	Total yield of ears, in lbs.	Bushels per aore.
Large kerpels	220.5	23.7	244.2	69.76
Small kernels	216.3	29.5	245 8	70.22

The plain teaching of these figures is, that there is practically no difference in result whether the kernels are large or small, provided they are sound. The small kernels averaged slightly less of sound, marketable ears, but the difference is so insignificant that it can carry no great weight, and the deficiency was fully made up by the greater yield of nubbins. If large kernels are better than small ones, their superiority consists in producing slightly more vigorous plants, which develop a better weight of marketable ears. There was no perceptible difference, so far as could be judged by the eye, in the vigor of the plants on the two groups of plats.

IV. BUTT, MIDDLE AND TIP KERNELS FOR SEED.

This experiment is in the main similar to the last, in that it is a test regarding the relative value of kernels from different parts of the ear, this difference consisting not only in position, but also in size. The history of the treatment of the land is the same as that given in the foregoing cases. St. Charles corn was the variety selected for use. The butt and tip kernels were taken from the extreme ends of the ears, and only deformed, but sound, kernels used. The middle kernels were the largest and best from the middle of the ears.

Fifteen plats were planted with this corn, by the same method as in preceding cases, five of each group, and each plat measured one-twentieth acre. The following table gives the results:

Number of plat.	Nature of seed.	Yield of good ears, in lbs.	Yield of nubbins, in lbs.	Total yield of plat, in lbs.
217 218	Butt kernels. Middle kernels. Tip kernels. Middle kernels. Middle kernels. Tip kernels. Butt kernels. Middle kernels. Tip kernels. Butt kernels. Middle kernels. Tip kernels. Butt kernels. Middle kernels. Middle kernels. Middle kernels. Tip kernels. Butt kernels. Middle kernels. Tip kernels. Middle kernels. Middle kernels. Yig kernels. Middle kernels. Middle kernels. Yig kernels. <	$\begin{array}{c} 233\\ 225\\ 172\\ 1941\\ 193\\ 173\\ 128\\ 128\\ 194\\ 218\\ 194\\ 218\\ 193\\ 159\\ 159\\ 1771\\ 192\\ 1701\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26\\ 28\\ 40\\ 253\\ 40\\ 41_{2}\\ 29\\ 27\\ 40\\ 54\\ 39_{2}\\ 39_{3}\\ 38$	259 253 212 220 216 213 216 1704 223 245 233 213 213 217 217 222 209
	AVERAGE YIELD PER FLAT	1102	00g	
	Butt kernels Middle kernels Tip kernels RATE OF YIELD PER ACRE, BUSH	199.5 184.2 173.7 ELS.	$31.9 \\ 32.7 \\ 40.3$	281.4 218.9 214.0
	Butt kernels	57.00 53.17 49.63	9.11 9.34 11.51	66.11 62.51 61.14

All of these plats were husked and weighed the same day, October 29, when both stalks and ears were thoroughly air dry. Careful examination failed to reveal any difference in the shape of the ears, or in the extent that they were filled out at the tips, all plats being alike in this respect. There is, however, a marked difference in yield in the three grades, the butt kernels not only producing the highest total yield, but also the highest per cent. of good merchantable corn, the middle kernels being intermediate and the tips the lowest, both in total yield and good corn, and highest in poor corn. This is contrary to results obtained at Geneva, N. Y., some years ago, when it was found the tips yielded best. They used, however, a flint corn, whereas this is dent.

V. DISTANCE TO PLANT CORN FOR GRAIN AND FODDER.

This is an extensive experiment, which covers 240 plats. The plats were uniformly 60 feet long and 4 rows wide, but the rows differed in width from 1 1/2 feet to 4 feet, and the distance between the stalks in the rows from 4 inches to 20 inches. Thus, plat No. 1 had 4 rows 11/2 feet apart and 4 inches between the stalks in the row: No. 2 the same, but 8 inches between the stalks; No. 3 the same, and 12 inches between the stalks; No. 4 ditto, but 16 inches between the stalks; No. 5 ditto, but 20 inches between the stalks. Each of the five distances between 4 and 20 inches (increasing by 4 inches)

188

Historical Document

nent Statio



DEC., 1891,] EXPERIMENTS WITH CORN.

between the stalks is thus tried in connection with the same width of row, which in the above illustration was $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet. The same is repeated in the next group, plats 6-10, but the distance is here 2 feet between the rows. Plats 11-15 have the rows $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart, and so on, up to 4 feet between the rows. This includes, also, two series of listed plats, in one of which the rows were $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart and in the other 4 feet apart, but the corn the same distances in the rows as in all the other cases. Each group of 5 plats thus has the rows the same distance apart, and adjoining groups are separated by a guard row. Now this distance experiment was tried with three varieties, St. Charles, Leaming, and Pride of the North, and the experiment is in duplicate plats for each variety. This, then, makes six repetitions of the same distances, two for each variety.

The St. Charles corn is a white, late-maturing variety, the Leaming a yellow, medium-maturing variety, and the Pride of the North an early yellow variety. Each may be taken as a type of a class of varieties which find more or less favor in various sections of the State.

The corn was all planted by hand, the distances at which the grains were to be dropped being marked on long poles laid by the side of the row, and two kernels dropped at each place and covered with hoes. Later, when the corn was well under way, it was thinned to one plant in a place; an accurate stand was thus obtained.

The Pride of the North ripened by the middle of August. It was cut and shocked August 29. The Learning was cut and shocked August 31, when it was ripe, and St. Charles was cut and shocked September 14.

The following plan of the experiment is a *fac simile* of the arrangement of the plats, and shows the distances between both rows and stalks, the number of the plat, and the crop of corn and fodder harvested on each plat, together with the weight of nubbins in the total weight of the corn. It is believed that the results as given in this plan are worthy of a careful study. It will be noticed that the lightest yields of corn are invariably found on the plats where the stalks were closest together, and that the yield generally increased with the widening of the rows, as well as with the distance between the stalks. When the rows are from 3½ to 4 feet apart, the best yields are found when the stalks are from 12 to 16 inches apart. The yield of fodder, on the other hand, is usually greatest when the stalks are but 4 inches apart, and decreases as the distances widen. It should be noted, however, that owing to the variation of the width of the rows the plats cannot be directly compared. The comparison of results can be made in the succeeding tables, which show the rate of yield per acre:

l	,	Fodder, lbs	148	188	198.9	170	0.0	129.9		21 ['] :	s i s	97.0	105	, 81	3		16	80 I I	88 88 8	09 ¹	00.00
ES.	f plats.	Weight of nub-		÷.,	ο, i	1		· ' , '			ر اف		٦,	ł	ا م	¢,	l	İ			9
ST. CIIARLES	Yield of 	bins, in lbs Total weight of	" 	، ' دی :	ຕ່; ພ່	~ '	10 10			. 18	8	14	ŝ	11	= '	xi v	9		20	<u>ً</u> "	c .
81.0	× '	ears, in ibs	67			۲ <u>۲</u>	12.		; ¦د	1		89 89	_'	2. 2.		1	8	1	- 1		00 11
l	Numb	per of plat	â			-÷					÷		1			5	2		12	212	212
	lats.	Fodder, lbs	43.5	81	32.5		25.5	48 1	9. 1		37.5	40	62.5	- 55.5	46.5	:¦ چ	4	77.5	5	1	6
UNG.	d of plats.	Weight of nub- bins, in lbs	5.5			12.5	12	10.5	18.5	23.5	13	8°£	25.5	8,	18	9 	7.5	82			0
LEAMING	Yield	Total weight of ears, in lbs	r-1	10		20.5	24	10.5	51	98 98		44.5	8	48.5	55		62.5	87.5		62.5 5	99
	Numi	ber of plat	5	162	<u>8</u>	164	165	- 991 1992	167	168	169	021	121	172	173	121	175	176	£2.1	128	2
Ë.	E	Fudder, lbs	÷		27.5	29.5	28	22	4	40	40.5	38.5	62.5	49	39.5	33	32.5	5	56 5	22	48
R NORTH	of plats.	Weight of nub- bins, in lbs	8	13	े। डा	15	9.5	16	22 -	19.5		7.5	24	25	28 -	<u>18.5</u> _	15	58 78	30.5	. Ł	11.5
OF THE	Yleld	Total weight of	8		17.5	22.5	_	15 -	24	31	39	38.5	26.5	35	9	37	88	30	46.5	56.5	23
PRIDE (ears, in lbs ber of plat	121	122 14	123 1	124 2	125 21	126	61 22 23	88 88		130 3	131 2	132	133	134	135 8	136	137	- 1	631
н 			<u>+</u>		i∎¦ 	5	-i	- - -	<u>ا</u> بو	- - -	56.5 1	44.5 1	10		10	2	ì		ام	<u>،</u>	
5	plats.	Fodder, lbs	57.5	60	50	5 46	38	. 80	8	50.	5 56	44	93.	73	5 62	1 89 1	5 47	102	5 89	- 1	5 63
ST. CHARLES.	ald of	Weight of nub- bins, in lbs	-	~	2	15.	18	د 2	13	12	11.	13	6) 의 ()	19.	18	15.	8	5 20.	1	6 22
ST. CL	' ¹ ג'	Total weight of ears, in Us	7.5	-	5	22.5	22.5	8	17.5	16	24.5		¢.	11.6	28	28		80	22		51 5
 		iber of plat	. æ	ŝ	3	ž	æ	8	8	x	8	6	16	38	8	6	95	8	8	86	60
	plats.	Fodder, lbs		្ល	35	31.5	29.5	44.5	37	26.5	29.5	32	47	42.5	30	26	26.5	51.5	50.5	1	57
	5	Weight of nub- bins, in lbs	7.5	16	17	14.6	15.5	12	15	19	15.5	14.5	15	20	18.5	16	14	19	26.5	26.0	10
LEAMING	Yield	Total weight of ears, in lbs	7.5	19.5	23.5	22.6	24	13.5	18	22.5	28.5	36	18	25 5	31.5	32.5	41	21.5	36.5	47	202
		nber of plat	- 1	4	43	¥	45	46	4	\$	9	50	Ē	52	23	12	12	56 26	57	88	Ĕ
1	<u>ب</u> ا ا	Fodder, lbs	39.5	10	34.5	20.6		50.5	39.5	33	31	5	56.5	41.5	59	26	08	58.5	51	48.5	11
	of plats.	Weight of nub- bins, in lbs		2	ما		15	10	16 -	16	20	15 	18.5	20	: 1	19.5	15.5	21.5	23	25	1.
		Total weight of	7	10	ما ا		• •		I		' IC	1 19		1		31.5	32.5		32	44.5	:
PRIDE		mber of plat	_			I.	רןי פוןי	I		ſ	1				1			1	1		
Dis	l tances	between stalks in				 <u>_</u>	<u> </u> ខ្ល	<u>।</u> →	 ,∞	13	1 2	108	1	- oc		1	-92 -	4	 80	12	<u> </u> :
1 10		inches between rows, in			17	1	1.	<u> </u> _	1			1	_					1			<u> </u>

190

Historical Document Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station

AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT. [BULLETIN 30.





for each of the three varieties at the distances indicated. It is here interesting to note, not only the distances which give the The tables on the following pages show the average yield per plat and the rate of yield per acre of both corn and fodder best yields, but the relations which exist between the yield of fodder and corn, as seen in the columns giving the average weight per plat of the two.

	17 I								
DISTANOES,	Numbers of piats.	Average weight of ears, in lbs.	Average weight of nubbins, in lbs.	Average veright of fodder, in lbs.	Average number of ears per plat.	Rate of yield per acre, in hus.	Yteld of nubbins per acre, in bus.	Yield of good corn per acre, in bus.	Yield of fodder p'r acre, in tons.
Rows 1k feet - Stalks 4 inches. Stalks 8 inches. Stalks 18 inches. Stalks 16 inches.		8.00 13.75 15.50 19.75	8,00 13,25 13,75 14,50	40,75 29,50 29,50 29,50 29,50	148.5 141.5 127.0 124.0	$\begin{array}{c} 18.84\\ 28.76\\ 26.79\\ 84.18\\ 82.84\\ 82.84\end{array}$	13.84 22.90 23.76 24.92 21.01	3.03 9.21 11.83	2.46 2.80 1.87 1.78
Rows 2 foot Stalks 20 incleas	6 and 126 8 and 125 9 and	26.00 26.00 81.76	19.25 19.25 19.25	86.55 86.50 86.75	174.5 195.0 168.5 169.5 173.0	16.23 25.96 88.74 41.28 43.50	16.28 24.67 25.00 25.00 14.61	1.29 8.74 16.23 28.89	2.35 1.65 1.65 1.58
Rows 24 feet — Staltas 20 inches. Staltas 8 inches. Staltas 8 inches. Staltas 16 inches. Staltas 16 inches.	12 and 130 12 and 132 13 and 132 14 and 133	22.75 28.50 34.25 34.25	21.25 20.00 16.50	29.50 29.50 29.50 29.50	221.0 198.0 155.0 133.5	23.64 29.56 35.55 36.57 36.57	22.04 28.34 20.74 17.11 15.82	1.60 6.22 14.01 18.42 20.75	2.16 1.04 1.18
Rows 3 feet—Stalts 20 hobes	16 and 186 16 and 186 17 and 237 18 and 238 19 and 238	27.00 89.25 51.50	24.76 24.76 22.00 22.00	50.25 50.25 50.25 50.25	286.0 288.6 204.5 167.5	23.92 45.94 87.94 87.94	21.39 28.12 19.01 11.02 8.62	$\begin{array}{c} 1.94 \\ 10.80 \\ 23.13 \\ 34.92 \\ 29.19 \\ 29.19 \end{array}$	1.62
Stalks 20 inches	20 and 240 21 and 241 22 and 242 23 and 243	86.50 57.50 59.50	20.00 32.75 20.00 20.00	75.50 68.50 58.00 59.25	272.0 229.5 184.0	27.04 42.60 44.08	25,87 24,26 14,81 10,55	1.67 29.27 83.53	1.95 1.64 1.30
Stalks 10 inobee	25 and 245 31 and 151 32 and 152 33 and 153 34 and 153 35 and 155	3888889		45.50 78.50 56.50 75.75 40.50	151.5 333.0 270.5 203.0 192.0 160.0	85.98 22.89 31.65 88.31 88.15 88.15	7.22 21.59 21.59 9.25 6.65 6.65	28.71 1.80 10.06 29.06 21.50 27.00	1.17 1.78 1.78 1.72 1.72 .92
6 8 9	- pund	01	26.25 38.25 28.25 14.95	87.75 87.75 68.75 50.50 47.25	256.0 269.5 214.0 171.5	20.37 24.82 38.90 36.30	19.45 24.68 17.22 10.65	10.19 21.68 25.75	2.27 1.78 1.30 1.22
Stalks 16 notes	20 and 150 38 and 156 37 and 157 38 and 155 39 and 159 40 and 159	58.50 68.50 58.50 58.50	6,50 6,50 6,50 6,50 6,50 6,50	41.75 83.25 89.25 89.25 89.25 89.25 89.25 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	146.0 315.5 216.5 178.0 178.0 166.0	34.82 88.96 47.41 88.96 88.96 87.98	5.55 32.40 25.97 6.65 6.65 7.19 6.19	29.27 6 56 21.44 83.77 83.77 83.77 83.77	2.12 2.12 1.58 1.48 1.17

PRIDE OF THE NORTH.

A GRICULTURAL

192

[BULLETIN 30.

	l of er ns.	- 223 904 929 929 929 929 929 929 929 929 929 92	1.63 1.63 1.63 1.65 1.77 1.28 1.95	8866646286 866666	1 [8]	22.36 1.76 1.76 1.76 22.19 22.19 22.19 1.18 1.18 1.18
	Yield of fodder p'r acre, in tons.	0000		•	، جنجنجنا ا 	N=HHHNHHHH
	Yield of good corn per acre, in bus.	1,80 14,13 14,13 14,13 18,58 18,58 18,57 12,20	25.61 9 26.19 26.19 26.19 27.61 26.19 27.29 26.19 26.19 27.29 26.19 27.29 26.19 27.29 26.19 27.2	215.10 84.93 84.93 86.95	22.42 38.28 37.17 31.65	$\begin{array}{c} 4.95\\ 16.12\\ 832.42\\ 832.42\\ 83.63\\ 83.63\\ 83.64\\ 83.99\\ 83.99\\ 83.99\\ 1.24\\ 1.24\\ 1.24\end{array}$
	Yield of nubbins per acre, in bus,	21.76 23.76 23.76 23.78 23.78 23.78 23.78 23.76 24.61 21.75 21.75	222.04 22.04 20.04 20	200 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	11.39 5.52 5.52	17.04 16.11 16.11 16.11 10.87
	Rate of yield per acre, in bus.	25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.82 25.83 25.80	222884488888 2252884488888 22528884 22588844888888 22588848888888888	22,23 24,23 24,23 25,23 26,23 26,23 26,23 27 26,23 27 26,23 27 26,23 27 26,23 27 26,23 27 26,23 27 26,23 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	42.22 46.26 37.17	21.99 86.53 42.23 44.53 51.26 51.26 51.26 51.26 51.28 51.28 51.29 51.29 51.29 51.29 51.29 51.29 51.29 51.29 51.28 51.28 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52
	Average number of ears, per plat.	91.0 112.5 1	196.5 196.5 196.5 196.5 196.5	157.5 191.0 191.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 183.0 191.0	241.5 204.5 186.5 143.5	195 0 200.5 200.5 166.0 128.5
	Average verght of fodder, in lbs.	27.15 27.17 27.17 27.17 27.17 27.17 27.17 27.17 27.17 27.17 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	85.25 85.25	84.00 64.50 64.50 84.75 84.25 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 84.75 85 84.75 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	23.75 54.55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	91.00 66.25 51.75 51.75 51.75 50.75 66.50 66.50 66.50 66.50 66.50 66.50
чG.	Average weight of nubbins, in lbs.	6.50 13.25 13.25 13.25 13.25 13.25 13.25 14.75 14.75 15.75 14.75 17.75 1	22.25 25.00 10.00 25.50	10.25 10.25 10.25 10.75 10.75 10.75 10.75	30.50 17.60 14.00 8.50 8.50	23:00 21:75 21:75 3.75 3.75 3.75 5.75 6.75 6.75 6.75
LEAMING.	Average weight of eurs, in lbs.	29.25 29.26 29.26 29.26 29.26 29.26 29.26 29.26 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 20.25	22222222222222222222222222222222222222	22-26-25 22-26-25 25-26-25 25-	101	27.75 49.00 53.75 53.75 57.25 87.25 68.50 68.50 68.50 68.50
	Numbers of plats.		50 and 171 51 and 171 53 and 173 55 and 173 55 and 175 56 and 175 57 and 175	and and and and and and and and and and		66 and 185 67 and 187 69 and 185 69 and 189 70 and 199 77 and 199 77 and 199 77 and 199 80 and 200
	DISTANCRS.	Rows 14 feet – Stalks 4 inches. Stalks 18 inches. Stalks 18 inches. Stalks 16 inches. Rows 2 feet – Stalks 20 inches. Rows 2 feet – Stalks 4 inches. Stalks 10 inches.	Rows 24 feet – Stalks 20 inches	Rows 3‡ feet – Stalks 16 inches. Stalks 20 nuches. Rows 3‡ feet – Stalks 4 inches. Stalks 12 inches. Stalks 12 inches. Stalks 12 inches. Rows 4 feet – Stalks 4 inches.	Stalks 8 inches. Stalks 12 inches. Stalks 20 inches.	Rows 3 ₂ feet – Stalks 4 inches. Stalks 8 inches. Stalks 12 inches. Stalks 16 inches. Stalks 16 inches. Rows 4 feet – Stalks 4 inches. Stalks 12 inches. Stalks 16 inches. Stalks 20 inches.

DEC., 1891.]

Historical Document Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station

EXPERIMENT WITH CORN.

193

	Yield of fodder p ¹ r acre, in tons.	6.00 8.80 70 70 8.80 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 8.80 8.8		2.03	2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	22.22 2.12 2.12 2.12 2.12	2.68 2.68 1.40 2.88 2.98 2.98 1.94 1.94 1.92
	Yield of good cur n per acre, in bus.	1.31 87 87 87 87 86 93 11 28 11 28 13 86 82 93 7 46	23.11 1.03 1.03 18.67 28.26 28.93	1.78 12.74 28.96 37.16	41.27 5.75 5.75 37.42	40.67 1.13 25,64 36.71 37.99	2.68 23.41 34.26 84.26 84.26 83.18 84.26 85.26 84.26 84.26 85.26 8
	Yteld of nubbins per acre, in bus.	6,90 115,98 15,98 15,98 15,98 15,98 16,28 16,28 25,98	17.53 10.11 14.26 16.07 13.74	13.39 15.55 12.58	17.13 11.85 17.96 15.74 7.22	4.63 6.49 6.49 6.49 6.49	9.73 117.79 117.79 117.79 117.79 117.78 117.
	Rate of yield per acre, in bus.	29.21 29.21 29.21 29.21 29.25 29.25 29.25 29.25 23.45 23.45 23.45 23.45 23.45 23.45 23.45 23.45 23.45 23.45 25 26 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 26 27 27 26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	40.64 22.08 842.08 842.08	15.12 31.97 49.69	48.40 14.68 23.71 86.66	45.30 10.22 88.14 42.20 42.53	212.41 24.26 25.156 25.166 25.
	Average number of ears, per plat.	42.5 49.5 49.5 74.5 74.5 74.5 76.5 76.5 76.5 76.5 76.5 76.5 76.5 76	103.5 92.5 140.5 130.0	141.0 172.0 168.5 164.0	131.0 151.0 165.5 166.5 154.5	140.0 146.5 1387.0 1382.0	148.5 148.5 1661.0 167.5 187.5 188.0 187.5 208.0 208.0 208.0 208.0 208.0 172.0 172.0
 1	Average weight of fodder, in lbs.	100.25 94.55 94.55 94.25 94.25 94.75 94.75 93.25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	88.50 99.25 81.50 72.25 73.25	100.25 88.50 67.25 64.25	78.75 118.75 100.00 90.05 80.25	72.00 145.75 124.25 101.25 98.50 88.00	2. 108.75 98.75 98.75 98.75 68.75 133.50 103.50 103.50 103.75 86.75 86.75 81.75
RLES.	Average weight of nubbins, in lbs.	4.00 6.50 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6	13.50 15.75 15.75 15.75 13.25 13.25	16.50 18.00 14.50	8.25 16.00 24.25 21.25 9.76	6.25 14.00 26.25 19.25 10,00 7.00	AMIS VARIAS
ST. CHARLES	Average weight of ears, in lbs.	4.75 4.75 11.75 17.56 17.56 6.25 6.25 11.25 11.25 11.25 16.75	31.30 10.75 21.25 21.25 250 20.50	46.00 17.50 87.00 51.50 53.50	56.00 19.75 32.00 49.25 60.25	61.25 15.75 37.50 58.76 65.00 65.60	216 25 20 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
	Numbers of plats.	 81 and 201 82 and 201 83 and 203 84 and 205 85 and 205 87 and 205 87 and 205 87 and 205 87 and 205 	para para para para para para para para		and and and and and and and and and and	and and and and and and and	115 and 236 106 and 226 109 and 229 109 and 229 116 and 230 116 and 230 116 and 230 116 and 230 117 and 230 119 and 233
))]S. ANCES,	Rows 14 feet - Stalks 4 in nes. Stalks 15 in hes. Stalks 10 in tes. Stalks 10 in tes. Stalks 10 in tes. Stalks 20 in tes. Rows 2 feet - Stalks 4 in tes. Stalks 8 in tes.	Rows 24 feet-Stalks 20 in tea Rows 24 feet-Stalks 4 in tea Stalks 3 in tea Stalks 15 in tea Stalks 16 in tea	Rows 3 feet – Stalks 20 in bes Stalks 4 in hes Stalks 1 in bes stalks 12 in bes			Itows 34 feet Stalks 4 in hes

Historical Document Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station

AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT.

[BULLETIN 30.



DEC., 1891.] EXPERIMENT WITH CORN.

The table on page 196 shows the distances between the stalks at which the highest yields were obtained in combination with each of the several distances between the rows. It gives a sort of bird's-eye view of the maximum results. We may note, in the first place, that the highest yield of fodder is uniformly obtained when the stalks are but four inches apart, no matter what the distance between the rows. Secondly, it is noteworthy that the highest yield of good corn, by which is meant good merchantable ears as distinguished from small and deformed ears, falls, in the case of a largeeared variety like the St. Charles, in all cases in the plats where the stalks are 20 inches apart, regardless of the distance between the rows. In the case of the Leaming, a somewhat smaller corn, there are some exceptions to this, falling at 12 inches once, and at 16 inches twice; and for the Pride of the North it falls at 16 inches four times, and at 20 inches four times. Thirdly, it will be observed that the distances producing the highest yield of corn do not always correspond with those giving the best yield of good corn, the explanation being that in cases where they do not correspond the corn giving the heaviest yield stood too close for a normal development of the ears, so that, although a good weight was obtained, the ears were small, and a considerable portion had to be classed as nubbins.

The distances producing the highest yields were as follows: Pride of the North (surface planted), 3 feet between the rows and 16 inches between the stalks, yielding 45.94 bushels, which included also the highest yield of good corn, 34.92 bushels; listed, 4 feet between rows and 8 inches between stalks, 47.41 bushels, but of this only 21.44 bushels was good merchantable corn, the best yield of the latter, 37.83 bushels, being produced when the stalks were 12 inches apart. The Learning (surface planted) gave the heaviest weight of corn when the rows were 21/2 feet and the stalks 20 inches apart, which gives 600 square inches to each plant. This yield, 53.67 bushels, is, however, but three-fourths of a bushel more than when the rows are 3 feet and the stalks 16 inches apart, which gives but 576 square inches to each plant, and the latter distance gives a greater yield of good corn. When listed, the best yield is obtained where the rows are 4 feet and the stalks 12 inches apart, namely, 51.29 bushels; but the best yield of good corn is obtained when the stalks are 16 inches apart. The St. Charles produced the best weight, 49.69 bushels, when the rows were 3 feet apart and the stalks 16 inches; but the best yield of good corn is when the stalks are 4 inches farther apart. Listed, this variety gave 48.86 bushels, the heaviest yield, at 4 feet between the rows and 16 inches between the stalks; but as in the preceding case, the best yield of good corn, 43.35 bushels, was got when the stalks were 4 inches farther apart.

.1			8 : 8 : 8 : 8 : • 8 : 8 : 1 2 : • 8 : 1	
	,	Highest average yield, fodder, in tons	6.06 4.90 8.60 8.03 8.80 8.80 8.80 8.80 2.98 2.98	
	1 	Highest average yield, good corn, in bus	11.24 23.11 25.93 86.93 87.99 84.26 84.26 41.27 84.26	
	ES.	Highest average yield, in bus	30.25 30.25 40.64 41.70 45.69 45.53 42.53 42.53 42.53	
AVE BFEN (BTAINED.	ST. CHARLES.	DISTANO28.	Rows 14 feet – Stalks 24 inches., to stalks 20 inches., Rows 2 feet – Stalks 4 inches., Rows 25 feet – Stalks 4 inches., Rows 3 feet – Stalks 20 inches., Rows 8 feet – Stalks 20 inches., i. Stalks 20 inches., Rows 8 feet – Stalks 4 inches., Rows 8 feet – Stalks 20 inches., Rows 8	
ACRE HAVE		Highest average yield, fodder, in tons	2.51 2.10 1.98 1.95 2.10 2.10 2.19	
		Highest average yield, good .corn, in bus	22.27 87.34 22.27 87.34 25.67 42.62 25.93 44.94 26.67 87.34 28.93 44.94 28.53 44.94 26.53 44.94 26.53 44.94 26.53 44.94 26.53 44.94 26.53 24.94 26.53 24.94 26.53 24.94 27.23 28.28 28.55 29.46 21.20 43.99	
THE HIGHEST AVERAGE YIELDS PER	15	Highest average yield, in bus	41.48 52.27 53.67 53.98 50.38 46.67 70.88 46.67 70.88 46.67 70.88 51.29 51.29	
	ILEAMING.	DISTANCES.	Rows 14 feetStalks 4 inches., Rows 2 feetStalks 4 inches., Rows 2 feetStalks 4 inches., Rows 24 feetStalks 4 inches., Rows 24 feetStalks 4 inches., Stalks 20 inches., Rows 34 feetStalks 4 inches., Rows 4 feetStalks 10 inches., Lisyup Rows of Stalks 12 inches., Rows 4 feetStalks 4 inches., Rows 4 feetStalks 4 inches., Rows 4 feetStalks 4 inches., Stalks 12 inches., Stalks 12 inches., Stalks 12 inches., Stalks 12 inches.,	
WINCH		Highest average yield, fodder, in tons	2.48 2.35 2.16 1.80 1.78 1.95 2.12 2.12	
AT	ť	Highest average yield, good corn, in bus	25.75 25.75 33.63 33.63 25.75 25.75 25.75 25.75 25.75	
DISTANCES	THE NORTH	Highest average yield, in bus	84.18 84.50 45.94 44.06 38.31 38.31 38.31 38.31 38.31 44.06	
10	PRIDE OF	DISTANCES.	Rows 14 feet – Stalts 4 inches. 	

196

Historical Document Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT.



DEC., 1891.]

The conclusions from this table are, that surface-planted corn yields best when the rows are 3 feet apart, and the stalks from 16 to 20 inches apart in the row. The rows should never be more than $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart, nor less than 3 feet, and the stalks never closer than 16 inches, but they may be 20 inches apart when the finest development of ear is desired.

It should be noted, however, that this land was comparatively exhausted, as the reader may already have concluded from the yields. The field was rented by the Station from an adjoining farm, and it had been in corn for many years in succession. There can be no doubt that these distances should be altered to suit the quality of soil. On richer land, which would produce a ranker growth of stalk, more space would doubtless be required for the best development of ear. The listed rows yielded best when 4 feet apart, and the stalks respectively 8, 12 and 16 inches for the three varieties.

VI. DISTANCE TO PLANT CORN FOR ENSILAGE.

In previous publications of this Station, particularly in the First and Second Annual Reports, it has been demonstrated beyond the shadow of doubt that it is impossible to combine the highest yield of corn with the best quality of fodder. If the corn is harvested at a period when the fodder is at its best, we lose from 30 to 50 per cent of the corn that might be obtained by letting it ripen. This is verified again in experiment 11 of this bulletin. It is plain, then, that if we want a high quality of fodder, or if we grow corn for ensilage, as is done on this and many other farms, we must grow the fodder or ensilage crop by itself, and keep it separate and distinct from the crop we grow for grain. It is either doing this, or calmly and deliberately choosing to sustain a loss of some 40 per cent. of corn by harvesting while it is still green. The question then comes up, how to plant and handle the ensilage crop in order to raise the maximum weight on a given area, and it was to answer this, at least in part, that this experiment was devised.

The experiment covers an area of 44 plats, each 150 feet long, and containing four rows. On these plats the rows varied from $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart to $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart, increasing by half foot, and the stalks in the rows from 4 inches to 16 inches, increasing by 4 inches in different plats. That is, for instance, plat 1 had 4 rows $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart and 4 inches between the stalks; plat 2 had 4 rows $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart and 8 inches between the stalks; plat 3 a like number of rows and the same distances between them, but 12 inches between the stalks; and plat 4 the same, but 16 inches between the stalks. Thus, 4, 8, 12 and 16 inches between the stalks are tested separately in combination with 1 1/2, 2, 2 1/2, 3 and $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet between the rows, each group of plats with the same distance between the rows being separated from adjoining groups having different distances by guard rows. This entire series covers the plats from 1 to 20; plats 21–24 are listed; and the whole series is repeated on plats 25-44, as indicated in the table which follows. The land is a clay loam of even quality, and has been in ensilage corn for several years. All the plats except the listed ones were surface planted, the corn being dropped by hand, two kernels in a place, at the exact distances indicated, and later thinned to one plant in a place. The listed plats were worked with the lister in the ordinary manner, all the rows being 3½ feet apart, and the stalks on the four plate respectively 4, 8, 12, and 16 inches apart.

The corn is a large southern variety, obtained a couple of years ago from the originator, Mr. J. K. Mosby, of Lockhart, Miss. It is a white corn, which produces large ears when it is not planted too thick, has a heavy stalk, and abundant foliage. All things considered, this is the best ensilage corn we have found among many varieties tested.

On September 19th to 22d, when the grain was in the soft-dough state, the data given in the following table were obtained in this manner: One hundred pounds of green corn were cut from each plat, and the per cent. that the ears, leaves and stalks bore to the whole ascertained, and finally the whole plant was cut, weighed, and put in the silo.

		-						
No. of ptat.	Distance between rows, in feet.	Distance between stalks, in inches.	Number of ears in 100 lbs. ensilage.	Per cent. of ears in crop.	Per cent. of leaves in crop.	Per cent. of stalks in crop.	Total weight per plat, in lbs.	Rate of yield per acre, in tons.
1	1 합	4	39	10.00	23.00	67.00	525	12.75
2	15	8	44	13.50	18.00	68.50	360	8.71
3	1늘 1늘 1날	12	48	19,50	20.00	60.50	400	9.68
4	15	16	40	15.50	17.00	67.50	400	9.68
5 6	222222222222222222222222222222222222222	' 4	38	11.75	23.00	65.25	710	12.78
6	2	8	40	15.75	19.00	65.25	550	9.98
7	2	12	51	19.75	17.00	63.25	550	9.98
8	2	16	44	22.50	20.25	57.25	570	10.34
9 10	23 91	' 4 8	50 37	$13.00 \\ 14.00$	$21.00 \\ 23.00$	66.00 63.00	825 745	11.97
11	43	12	, 40 ;	21 75	23.00	56.25	695	10.81
12	21	16	45	23,50	20.00	56,50	705	10.09
13	3	· 4	43	13.50	24.50	62.00	975	11.79
14	3	. <u>ŝ</u>	49	20.00	22,00	58,00	1.015	12.28
15	3	12	45	24.75	21.50	54.00	905	10.95
16	3	16	39	24.50	22.50	53.00	800	9,68
17	31	4	36	19.00	34.00	47.00	1,240	12,85
18	31	8	30	19.25	20.50	60.25	1,205	12.54
19 20	3 <u>5</u> 91	12	38	22.50	20.50	57.00	1,055	10.94
25	35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 3	10	30 19	$21.00 \\ 5.25$	$21.50 \\ 32.00$	$57.50 \\ 62.75$	1,095 605	11.35
26	11	8	43 1	15.50	17.00	67.50	580	14.64
27	1	12	29	9 25	20,75	70.50	600	14.00
28	í <u>1</u> .	16	41	16 75	20 00	63.25	440	10.64
29	$ \overline{2}'$	4	31	11.00	20.00	69.00	805	14.61
30	2	8	43	15 00	17,00	68.00	585	10.61
31	2	12	40	15.00	19.00	66.00	525	9.52
32	2	16	40	20.50	20.50	59.00	600	10.89
33 34	23	4	32	10.00	19.00	71.00	915	13.28
34 35	28	8 12	•••••	16.50	20.00	63.50	865	12.56
	4章 2분	16	•••••	20.75 22.75	$17.50 \\ 15.50$	61.75 61.75	920 705	13.35
37	3	10		11.50	20,00	68.50	1,050	10.23
38	222222 ¹³¹ ¹³¹ ¹³¹ 2222	4 8		19.75	16.75	64 00	1,235	14.94
39	Š	12		21,75	21.00	57.25	1,335	16.15
40	3	16		17,50	20.00	62.50	1,305	15.79
41	34	4		17.75	17.25	65.00	1,810	18.77
42	3	8		21,00	20.50	58.50	1,565	16.23
43	81	12		22.00	22.50	56.00	1,600	16.59
44	3	16		18.50	22 50	59.00	1,505	l 15.60
_				LISTE	D PLAT.			
21	31	4	38	13.50	22,00	64 50	1,355	14.05
22	3-	1 3	40	17.00	00.00	63.00	1,355	12.49
23	91	12	38	22.50	20.00			
24	319 319 319 319	16	36	20.00	20.50	57.00 58.00	1,165	$12.08 \\ 11.25$
<u> </u>	1 JE			20.00	42.00		1,000	11.20

Historical Document



DEC., 1891.]

DISTANCES,	Per cent. of ears in crop.	Per cent. of leaves in crop.	Per cent. of stalks in crop	Average total weight per plat, in lbs	Rate per acre, in tons	Ears in one ton, in lbs	Leaves in one ton, in lbs	Stalks in one ton, in lbs	Yield per acre of ears and leaves, in tons
Rows 11 ftStalks 4 in	7.62	27.50	64.87	565	13.68	152.4	550.0	1,297.4 1,360.0	4.80
Stalks 8 in	14.50	17.50	68.00	470	11.37	290.0	350.0	1,360.0	3.63
Stalks 12 in	14.37	20.37	65.50	500	12.10	287 4	407.4	1,310.0	4.20
Stalks 16 in	16.12	18.05	65.37	420	10.16	322.4	361.0	1,307.4	3.47
Rows 2 ftStalks 4 in .	11.37	21.50	67.12	757	13.68	227.4	430.0	1,342.4	4 49
Stalks 8 in	15.37	18.00	66.62	567	10.29	307.4	360.0	1,332.4	3.43
Stalks 12 in	17.37	18.00	64.62	537	9.75	347.4	360.0	1,292.4	3.44
Stalks 16 in	21.50	20.37	58.12	575	10.61	430.0	407.4	1,162.4	4.44
Rows 21 ft Stalks 4 in	11.50	20.00	68.50	870	12.62	230.0	400.0	1,370.0	3 97
Stalks 8 in	15.25	21.50	63.25		11.68	305.0	430.0	,265.0	4.29
Stalks 12 in	21.25	20.00	58.75	807	11.72	425.0	400 0	1,175.0	4.90
Stalks 16 in	23.12	17.75	59 12	705	10.23	462.4	355.0	1,182.4	4.18
Rows 3 ft Stalks 4 in .	12.50	22.25	65.25	1,012	12.33	250.0	445.0	1,305.0	4 28
Stalks 8 in.	19.87	19.37	60.76	1,125	13.61	396 4	387.4		5.33
Stalks 12 in	23 25	$21 \ 25$	55.50	1,120	13.55	465.0	425.0	1,110.0	6.02
Stalks 16 in,	21.00	21.25	57.75	1,052	12.71	420.0	425.0	1,155.0	5,36
Rows 31 ft,-Stalks 4 in	18,37	25.87	56.00	1,525	15 81	367.4	517.4	1,115.0	6.99
Stalks 8 in	20,12	20.50	59.37	1,385	14.38	402.4	410.0	1,188.0	5.84
Stalks 12 in	22,25	21,50	56.25	1,327	13.76	445.0	430.0	1,125 0	6 02
Stalks 16 in	19.75	22.00	58.25	1,300	13.47	395.0	440.0	1,165.0	5.62

AVERAGES OF SIMILAR PLATS.

NOTE.-Listed plats as given in previous table.

The figures deserve to be closely studied, because they represent, with comparative uniformity and in a somewhat comprehensive way, how far the area allowed to each plant affects the development of that plant in the corn field, as shown in the relative per cent. of parts of the plant, and the total yield. The heaviest yields were obtained on the plats having the rows 3 to $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart. That the plats with narrower rows did not yield so well as these, is doubtless due in part to the fact that they could not be thoroughly cultivated. A one-horse cultivator was used between rows 21/2 feet apart, but those still more narrow had to be cultivated by hand, a practice which, of course, is out of question on the farm. But aside from that, the wider rows have other advantages. They developed a heavier weight of ears and leaves in proportion to the stalks than the narrow rows did. This feature is really an important point, because the stalk is practically all wasted when corn fodder is fed, as every feeder knows from experience, and even in the form of ensilage the cattle pick out the bits of leaves and ears, and refuse the greater part of the stalk. It is, therefore, of importance to have the highest possible per cent. of leaves and ears in each ton, and a minimum of stalks. This, we see, is attained in the plats with wide rows, and as we pass to the narrow rows there is a constant decrease in the amount of ears and leaves in a ton of fodder, and a corresponding increase in the amount of stalks in a ton. This gradation is also seen in the groups where the rows are equally far apart, but different distances between the plants. The closer together the plants stand in the row, the greater the proportion of stalks to ears and leaves as a rule.

One more factor which we must consider is the total weight per acre produced by these different distances, and its relation to the valuable portions



of the feed, ears, and leaves. In running over the column giving the rate of yield per acre, it will be seen that the highest yield obtained was from the plats with rows 3½ feet apart, and the plants 4 inches apart in the rows. They did not, however, produce the highest weight of ears to the ton. The proportion of ears in a ton is greater in several cases where the plants stood farther apart, even though the rows were closer together; but they did produce the heaviest weight of leaves, with a comparatively small proportion of stalks to the ton. Now, if we add the weight of ears and leaves found in a ton —that is, the valuable portions of the fodder —and multiply the sum by the total rate of yield per acre in tons, the product will be the actual amount of feed raised per acre by planting at the several distances indicated. This is figured out in the last column in the table.

VII. EFFECT OF REMOVING TASSELS FROM CORN.

One-fourth of an acre was devoted to this experiment, divided into 12 plats. Each plat had five rows of St. Charles corn, 3½ feet apart. Of these five rows, the 1st, 3d and 5th had the tassels removed, while they were left on on the 2d and 4th. This arrangement was made practicable because the plats were located in a long line with corn on both sides, which on one side bordered closely onto the plats, and on the other was separated from them only by a wire fence and a narrow roadway. There was, therefore, no lack of pollen to fertilize the detasseled rows, though the two left for the purpose should prove insufficient.

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number	Yield of t tassels r	hree rows, emoved.	Yield of t tassels mov	not re-	Averag per row remo	, tassels	Averag per row not rer	, tassels	Averag ber of per	
146	oj pias.					ears,		ears, in			not re-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	146 147 148 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155	79 70 594 69 85 684 834 80 79 93	$\begin{array}{c} 12\\ 14\frac{1}{3}\\ 16\\ 20\frac{1}{3}\\ 17\frac{1}{3}\\ 19\\ 20\frac{1}{3}\\ 19\\ 20\frac{1}{3}\\ 16\frac{1}{3}\\ 19\\ 16\frac{1}{3}\\ 19\end{array}$	42 47 34 42 57 22 54 5 45 5 4 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5	$\begin{array}{c} 6\\ 5\\ 11\\ 13\frac{1}{2}\\ 14\frac{1}{2}\\ 11\frac{1}{2}\\ 13\\ 8\frac{1}{2}\\ 6\frac{1}{2}\\ 11\end{array}$	26.3 23.3 19.8 23.0 28.8 22.8 27.8 26.6 26.3 31.0	4.0 4.8 5.3 6.8 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.3	21.0 23.5 17.0 21.0 28.5 11.0 27.2 22.7 24.7 27.7	3.0 2.5 5.3 6.7 7.2 5.7 6.5 4.2 3.2 5.5	39.0 40.3 39.3 52.6 58.0 54.3 52.6 50.3 59.6	42.5 35.0 41.0 86.0 43.5 54.3 54.0 54.0 47.0 47.0 61.5 49.0
plat {17.41 17.04 45.72 9.34 Av. per row 25.80 5.68 22.86 4.77 Total weight of ears from rows with tassels removed 1133.5 hss Total weight of ears from rows with tassels removed 1.782 Average weight per ear from rows with tassels removed 636 Total weight of ears from rows with tassels removed 636 Average weight per ear from rows with tassels remaining 643 Average weight per ear from rows with tassels remaining 1.108 Average weight per ear from rows with tassels remaining 598							1 				
row	plat∫	1					•••••				
Total number of ears from rows with tassels removed. 1,782 Average weight per ear from rows with tassels removed. 636 lb. Total veight of ears from rows with tassels remaining. 663 lbs Total number of ears from rows with tassels remaining. 598 lb.		25.80	5.68	22.86	4.77	<u> </u>				· <u> </u>	
Yield in bushels, from 3/20 acre, tassels removed, 16.19; <i>rate</i> per acre	Total weight of ears from rows with tassels removed 1133.5 lbs. Total number of ears from rows with tassels removed 1.782 Average weight of ears from rows with tassels removed 636 lb. Total weight of ears from rows with tassels remaining 663 lbs. Total number of ears from rows with tassels remaining. 1.108 Average weight per ear from rows with tassels remaining. 598 lb.										

The results are given in the following table:

200



DEC., 1891.] EXPERIMENTS WITH CORN.

The corn was weighed in the field at the time of husking, and not quite dry, which will account for the heavy yields as then indicated by the weight. But in any event, it is here plainly shown throughout all the details, that there was a decided gain in the yield of corn by removing the tassels. It shows, also, that the individual ears on tasseled rows were heavier than on the rows where the tassels remained, and this in spite of the fact that the proportion of nubbins is somewhat the largest in the former. Being counted into the general average weight, they would have a tendency to reduce the average of the good ears more than in the other case, where their proportion is smaller.

The tassels were removed from day to day as soon as they appeared. There is nothing absurd in the idea that the removal of the tassel should increase the crop, provided tassels enough remain to fertilize all the ears. It is a well-known fact that the development of the floral organs is a great strain upon the plant, and the strength which is saved by this process may very naturally be directed toward the development of the ears.

VIII. PLASTER AND OIL MEAL AS FERTILIZERS FOR CORN.

This experiment covered an area of 4 acres, planted with 120 rows of corn divided into 60 plats of 2 rows each. Every other plat of 2 rows was dressed with land plaster, obtained from the plaster mills at Blue Rapids, Kas. It was scattered in the rows, at the rate of 200 pounds per acre. The corn was listed. Seventy rows (35 plats) were planted with St. Charles corn, and the remaining 50 rows (25 plats) were planted with Mosby's Prolific, the variety which has, of late years, been grown for ensilage upon the College farm.

	3	aosby's	PROLIFIC]	ST. CHARLES.							
Pla	stered pl	ats.	No	thing pla	its.	Pla	stered pl	ats.	No	thing pla	its.		
Number of plat.	Number of ears.	Weight of cars, in lbs.	Number of plat.	Number of ears.	Weight of ears, in lbs.	Number of plat	Number of ears.	Weight of ears, in lbs.	Number of plat.	Number of ears.	Weight of ears, in lbs		
471 473 475 477 479 481 483 485 485 487 489 491 493	667 684 686 649 551 554 504 504 508 572 564 605 567	300 285 301 286 259 261 241 248 280 295 320 283	470 472 474 476 478 480 482 484 484 484 488 490 492 494	683 696 608 565 590 596 578 510 588 450 597 577	329 301 263 263 281 281 276 280 271 291 279 302 303	495 497 499 501 508 505 507 509 511 513 515 517 519 521 523 525	441 486 481 195 544 546 427 500 473 485 520 465 548 418	284 369 341 361 355 292 300 304 300 339 310 292 298 226	$\begin{array}{r} 496\\ 498\\ 502\\ 504\\ 506\\ 508\\ 510\\ 512\\ 514\\ 516\\ 518\\ 520\\ 522\\ 524\\ 526\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 473\\493\\526\\523\\607\\528\\451\\466\\481\\451\\528\\516\\479\\498\\449\\448\\449\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 333\\ 361\\ 357\\ 394\\ 403\\ 347\\ 294\\ 256\\ 530\\ 311\\ 333\\ 330\\ 293\\ 306\\ 249\\ 294\end{array}$		
••••••						527 529	313 498	197 269	528	424	247		
Av'ges.	591.6	281.8		587.7	283.3		4-9.3	309.6		501.2	319 9		



A GRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT. [BULLETIN 30.

Mosby's Prolific:	
Yield per acre on plastered plats	62.7 bushels.
Yield per acre on nothing plats	62.6 bushels.
St. Charles:	70.01.1.1
Yield per acre on plastered plats	70.6 busnels
Yield per acre on nothing plats	71.3 bushels.

The plaster evidently had no effect whatever, unless it be a derogatory one. The excess of .7 bushel on the nothing plats of St. Charles is so small a variation for an area of considerably over one acre, that it can scarcely be ascribed to any deleterious effect of the plaster.

Another experiment of the same nature was tried in field C. Here oil meal and plaster plats were alternated with nothing plats. The oil meal was sent to the Station by the Marsh Oil Company, of Kansas City, and was understood to be refuse from the manufacture of castor oil, or castor-oil pomace. The experiment covered 15 one-twentieth-acre plats. Corn drilled in rows 3½ feet apart, and drill set to drop single kernels 8 inches apart. The plaster and also the oil meal was applied by hand in the rows just in front of the drill at the rate of 200 pounds per acre.

Number of plat.	Fertilizer	Good ears per plat, i in lbs. i		Total yield per plat, in lbs.
233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 243 244 243 244 243 244 243 244 245	Plaster Oil meal. Nothing Plaster Oil meal. Nothing Nothing Nothing Nothing Nothing	185 175 161 207 ¹ / ₂ 205 221 184 191	$\begin{array}{c} 33\\ 33_{4}\\ 55\\ 43\\ 28\\ 32\\ 29_{4}\\ 30\\ 40\\ 34\\ 32\\ 26\\ 31_{2}\\ 42_{4}\\ 31_{2}\\ 42_{4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & 4 \\ & 206 \\ & 218 \\ & 190 \\ & 189 \\ & 239\frac{1}{2} \\ & 234\frac{1}{2} \\ & 251 \\ & 224 \\ & 225 \\ & 244 \\ & 229 \\ & 225 \\ & 207\frac{1}{2} \\ & 207\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$

	Plas	ster.	Oil n	oeal.	Noth	ing.
	Good ears.	Nubbins,	Good ears.	Nubbins.	Good ears.	Nubbins
Average per plat, in pounds	187.5	34.2	190.9	31.0	188.1	39.1
Total average per plat, in pounds Rate per acre, in bushels		1.7 3.8	221	1.9 3.4	227	

Neither the plaster nor the castor pomace had the least effect on the crop.

IX. TREATING SEED CORN WITH CREOSOTE FOR SMUT.

A desire to free the corn from its universal and formidable enemy, the smut, prompted the following experiment, which, however, did not result in showing more than the utter inefficiency and decidedly detrimental character of the agent used for that purpose. St. Charles corn was the kind employed. Before planting this experiment, a test was made of soaking a given number of kernels in the following solutions of creosote: ½, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, and 50 per cent. Of these lots, the corn soaked in the ½ per cent. solution germinated to the extent of 55 per cent., while corn not treated

202



DEC., 1891.] EXPERIMENTS WITH CORN.

gave 97 per cent. kernels germinating. Not a single grain of any soaked in a stronger solution than $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. germinated. They remained in the solutions 12 hours. Finally, the seed which was planted was soaked in a 1/10 per cent. solution for 12 hours. Five plats of the treated seed and five of the untreated seed were alternated with each other; one of the former was, however, abandoned before harvest. Briefly stated, the result was as follows:

SMUTTED EARS PER PLAT. Treated with creosote Not treated	$\begin{array}{c} 2.50 \\ 2.75 \end{array}$
YIELD PER ACRC, IN BUSHELS. Treated with creosote Not treated	57.29 70.64

Creosote, at least when applied in the above manner, is not a remedy for smut.

X. TEST OF VARIETIES.

A test was made the past season of 140 varieties of corn, which will be found in the following list, together with the leading statistics concerning each. For want of space, only one plat could be devoted to each variety, but they were grown on a piece of land in field C, very even in quality, clay loam in character, and nearly level, having, however, a gentle slope to the south. The field was in millet in 1886, in tame grass 1887–'89, and wheat in 1890; was sown to rye in the fall of that year, and pastured the following spring until prepared for corn. The plats were each one-twentieth of an acre in extent, and laid out in squares, so that as much as possible of each variety should be fertilized by its own pollen. The rows were 3½ feet apart, and the stalks 16 inches apart in the row. It was all surface planted, two kernels being dropped by hand at each place, and later thinned to one plat in a place. The season was a favorable one, the stand was in most cases perfect, and each variety may be regarded as having done its best under the conditions afforded it.

(with descriptive statistics, and rate of yield per acte.)												
No. of plat	WHITE VARIETIES.	Whe tassel		Wha rip		Height of stalk, in feel	Height of ear from ground, in feet.	nd ea lbs,1	Nubbins, yield, in lhs., per plat	Sound ears, yield, in bus., per acre	Nubbins, yield, in bus, per acre	Ibtal yield, in hus., per acre
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Beard's Pearl White Blount's Prolific Brazilian Flour Breck's Boston Market Bullock's White Prolific Centennial White Champion White Pearl Cock's Prolific.	July Aug.	$17 \\ 27 \\ 22 \\ 31 \\ 25 \\ 31 \\ 22 \\ 22 \\ 1$	Sept.	6 14 8 15 12 18 1 8 15	$ \begin{array}{c} 8.2 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.2 \\ 10.0 \\ 9.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 8.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 10.2 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 3.8\\ 5.5\\ 4.2\\ 5.2\\ 4.0\\ 5.0\\ 4.7\\ 4.0\\ 5.8\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 196\\ 240\\ 246\\ 187\frac{1}{8}\\ 118\frac{1}{2}\\ 136\frac{1}{2}\\ 204\\ 192\frac{1}{2}\\ 167\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 29^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 26 \\ 41 \\ 12^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 26 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 23 \\ \end{array} $	56 00 68.57 70.28 53.57 33.85 39.00 58.28 57.00 47.71	$\begin{array}{c} 10 & 28 \\ 8.42 \\ 7.42 \\ 11 & 71 \\ 3.57 \\ 7.42 \\ 8.57 \\ 8.85 \\ 6.57 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66.28 \\ 76.99 \\ 77.70 \\ 65 \\ 28 \\ 37.42 \\ 46.42 \\ 66.85 \\ 65 \\ 85 \\ 54.28 \end{array}$
10 11 12	Conscience Cook's, Cranberry White	Jul y	3 25 24	11 1. 11	15 9 12	96 9.2 8.9	$\frac{4.6}{4.1}$	61 181 162	$\begin{array}{c c} & 42\frac{1}{2} \\ & 26 \\ & 34 \end{array}$	$17.42 \\ 51.71 \\ 46.28$	$12.14 \\ 7.42 \\ 9.71$	29.56 59.13 55.99

|--|

(With descriptive statistics, and rate of yield per acre.)



204

AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT. [BULLETIN 30.

TABLE OF VARIETIES OF CORN - CONTINUED.

	-								
No. of plat	WHITE VARIETIES.	When tasseled.	When ripe,	Height of stalk, in feet	Height of ear from ground, in feet	Nubbins, yirld, in lis., pr plu Sound ears, yield, in bs., per plal	Sound ears, yield, in bus., per acre.	Nubbins, yield, in bus., per acre	Total yleid, in busı, per avre
$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2$	Early Adams	July 23 July 25 ' 31 '' 27 July 25 July 25 '' 31 '' 27 Aug. 1 July 26 '' 30 '' 30 '' 30 '' 31 '' 30 '' 30 '' 30 '' 30 '' 30 '' 30 '' 30 '' 30 '' 31	Aug. 25 Sept. 2 (* 8 (* 15 (* 9) (* 22) (* 22) (* 22) (* 22) (* 22) (* 12) (* 15) (* 12) (* 15) (* 16) (* 1	$\begin{array}{c} 8.0 \\ 9.2 \\ 9.5 \\ 10.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 11.2 \\ 9.5 \\ 11.2 \\ 9.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.1 \\ 9.0 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.$	3990577605846400058302299478508595406464300521445 39457766444444451.64.154454522994785085954064464300521445383 394545452854454528583 39545454554455455344554528583 39545545545545545554555455545555455555555	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.57\\ 48.14\\ 65.14\\ 65.14\\ 65.14\\ 65.14\\ 80.00\\ 86.57\\ 42.28\\ 24.23\\ 29.71\\ 38.85\\ 50.00\\ 54.42\\ 24.23\\ 29.71\\ 77.57\\ 85.28\\ 41.42\\ 23.57\\ 51.85\\ 45.28\\ 31.85\\ 22.28\\ 31.85\\ 52.28\\ 31.85\\ 53.00\\ 65.71\\ 19.57\\ 34.71\\ 34.85\\ 53.9.42\\ 20.00\\ 50.00\\ 50.00\\ 47.42\\ 45.85\\ 9.42\\ 20.00\\ 50.00\\ 47.42\\ 45.85\\ 9.42\\ 20.00\\ 50.00\\ 47.42\\ 43.85\\ 49.14\\ 40.14\\ 40$	$\begin{array}{c} \overline{5}, 42\\ 9, 52\\ 7, 42\\ 7, 42\\ 7, 42\\ 7, 42\\ 7, 42\\ 8, 7, 11\\ 7, 42\\ 9, 71\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 9, 28\\ 9, 71\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 9, 28\\ 9, 7, 11\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 9, 28\\ 9, 7, 11\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 9, 28\\ 9, 7, 71\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 9, 28\\ 9, 7, 71\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 9, 28\\ 9, 7, 71\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 1, 1, 14\\ 1,$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.28\\ 55.28\\ 55.28\\ 56.28\\ 70.14\\ 47.99\\ 47.89\\ 56.27\\ 60.84\\ 57.42\\ 60.84\\ 55.242\\ 60.84\\ 55.242\\ 60.84\\ 55.242\\ 99.70\\ 9.57.13\\ 54.99\\ 91.56\\ 61.70\\ 12.89\\ 55.16\\ 51.26\\ 55.16\\ 51.26\\ 55.16\\ 55.16\\ 55.16\\ 55.16\\ 55.16\\ 55.16\\ 55.16\\ 55.242\\ 42.99\\ 55.16\\ 51.26\\ 55.26\\ 52.42\\ 55.16\\ 55.26\\ 52.42\\ 55.16\\ 55.26\\ 52.42\\ 55.16\\ 55.26\\ 55.$
5906123366789777777777777777777777777777777777	Fisk's . Giant Beauty	$\begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & & $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9.4		$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c} 50,85\\ 50,85\\ 46,28\\ 57,71\\ 46,42\\ 48,40\\ 41,00\\ 42,14\\ 49,00\\ 42,14\\ 49,00\\ 47,57\\ 66,57\\ 42,00\\ 44,57\\ 58,00\\ 44,57\\ 57,14\\ 46,42\\ 38,64\\ 45,0,28\\ 57,01\\ 77,1,14\\ 73,42\\ 48,57\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.42\\ 58.13\\ 54.85\\ 65.71\\ 51.56\\ 52.42\\ 50.28\\ 59.14\\ 25.57\\ 48.28\\ 55.58\\ 55.58\\ 55.58\\ 55.57\\ 48.28\\ 25.57\\ 48.28\\ 25.57\\ 48.28\\ 25.57\\ 48.28\\ 25.57\\ 48.28\\ 55.14\\ 55.56\\ 79.56\\ 79.56\\ 79.56\\ 79.56\\ 79.56\\ 79.56\\ 79.56\\ 79.56\\ 78.53\\ 14.55\\ 53.14\\ 55.14\\ 55.11\\ 55.14\\ 55.11\\ 55.14\\ 55.11\\ 55.14\\ 55.11\\ 55.14\\ 55.11\\ 55.11\\ 55.14\\ 55.11\\ 55$



DEC., 1891.]

EXPERIMENTS WITH CORN.

Height in fee Height of a ground, Sound ec Sound ea in bus., Total yield, in bus., per acro. No. | Nubbins, j bus., per Vubbins, yield, in lbs , per plat..... ę Jeel t ears l ears, 3 Ls., per plat When When ٤ YELLOW VARIETIES. , yield, er acı e tasseled. ripe. f stalk, in aere... I . yield, r plat... , yield, r acre.. r from feet... 'S' " 9.9 4.4 200 20 57.14 5.71 62.85 27 84 Golden Beauty..... 14 27 ł 441<u>-</u> 29 25 7.5 7.5 8.4 13,00 69.14 . . Aug. 3.4 196 56.14Golden Rod...... Hill's Ninety-Day. 13 85 Sept 3 1 4.0 1804 2124 51 57 60.71 8 28 7.14 59.85 67.85 86 . . 17 2 1 Hogue's...... Howard's Improved....... Iowa Yellow Dent...... . . 87 6 " 4 0 4.3 46.71 49 28 . . 97 8.9 163 24 6.85 53.56 88 14 20 25 411 5.71 22 " 10 94 172 54 99 . . 89 Kane County Prize...... King of the Earliest..... " 90 . . 18 12 8.5 4.3 209 59,71 66.85 $\begin{array}{r} 11.85 \\ 3 71 \\ 6.28 \end{array}$ 91 ... 11 Aug. 29 12 7.0 3.0 $\frac{143}{267}$ $\frac{40.85}{76.28}$ 52.7079.99 4.3 $\frac{13}{22}$ 92 Large Golden Dent... 99 Sept. .. 22 $\tilde{12}$ 10 0 4.8 262 74.85 81.13 93 6 57 7.00 80 14 40.57 22 " 13 9.3 4.2 $257\frac{1}{2}$ 2378.57 94 i 24 18 5 " $\overline{22}$ 10.5 6.3 117 33.57 95 Mammoth Chester County... " 8.8 8.1 6.0 ı 57.00 96 22 5 2 3.8 1994 5.14 62.14July 3.5 2.8 | 52.85 $\overline{22}$ " 15 48.57 4.28 170 Mammoth Cuban... Minnesota Beauty..... 97 ... 9 $2\overline{6}$ 118 $\tilde{20}$ 32.00 5.71 37.99 Aug. 98 17 15 11 24 Minnesota King...... 2.74.85 45.70 49.42 ٤ د 11 286.3 14340.8599 .. ٤. 18 45.14 51.71 Murdock's 30 6.53.0 158100 .. 3.14 54,85 101 Munn's 25 Sept $\frac{1}{15}$ 8.5 9.7 4.3 181 29 4.5 250 71,42 6.85 78 27 ... Murphey North Star 102 $\frac{267}{233\frac{1}{2}}$ " $\overline{20}$ 46 13 5.0 76.28 $7.42 \\ 4.85$ 83,70 103 10.0 .. 66.71 77.71 ٤. 9.7 10 3 $\frac{4.5}{5.0}$ 104 Orange Pride. 22 11 71.56 ، ، ٤ د 272 5.85 83.56 105 Piasa Queen. 27 11 22 " 6 20 68.255.71 73,99 Prairie King . Pride of Kansas..... Pride of Minnesota..... " 9.54.0 $\frac{239}{258\frac{1}{2}}$ 106" 25 " " 11 9.8 4.5 24 73 84 6.85 80.69 1072.5 3.6 3.9 3.1 3 " 8 Aug. 27 6.5 164 17 15 46.85 $\frac{4.85}{4.28}$ 51.70 57.13 108 1 . ، 52.85 Sept. 6 185 109 Pride of the North 24 8.5200 57.14 4.00 ٤. 8.1 7.2 7.3 9.2 61 14 21 14 ł 110 Aug. 28 Sept. 2 '' 9 " 10 124 21 35.426,00 41.42 111 Т 11211 Sept. 160 16 8 45.71 $\frac{4.51}{2.28}$ 50.2816 ī Riley's Favorite. Russell's Dent..... " 4.5 4.0 4.4 6.2185 131 52.8555,03 $\mathbf{22}$ 113Aug. Sept. 8.0 9 5 20 37.42 5.71 43.13 10 18 114 30 10 260ł 201 70.42 5 85 76.27 Seek-no-furlher..... Shannon's Big Tenn. Yel'w, Silver's Mammoth Yellow.. ... 115 17 16 16 27 ... 30 19 11.6 185 52.85 5 00 57.85 116 25 27 22 " 283 245 4.71 ... 13 10.3 48 80.85 85.56 117 5.0 70.00 74.71 Solomon Valley Mammoth, 15 10.5 118 ... 5 2 236 7.71 75.13 ... 67.42 119 Stewart's Improved 9 10.5 5.02421 4.71 5.71 2.28 Swengle's.. Wisconsin Yellow Dent.... Woodworth's Yellow...... ... $\bar{26}$ " 12 10.3 161 69.28 73.99 120 . . 121 12 Aug. 28 7.5 3.0 144 146‡ 20 41.14 41.85 46.8514 122 44.13 6.52.5MIXED VARIETIES. No. 1 (Ralph Wood)...... No. 2 (Walter McNutt)..... No. 3 (Mike Smith)..... Bloody Butcher (Phillips).. Bloody Butcher (Chester)... Bloe River..... 67.42 8.0 · 7 1 7.1 4.00 71.42 22 Sept. 6 | 2 3.5 23614 123July 48.00 $3.42 \\ 2.28$ 3.1 168 $1\overline{2}$ 51.42 18 124 .. " 6 2.9 177 8 50.57 52.85 21 125 $2.8 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.5$ 27 47.14 52.85 $\frac{4.85}{3.85}$ 51.99 56,70 ... 15 Aug. 31 6.0 165 126 " 127 17 9 3 9.0 185 131 Sept. 2.85 7.75 7.85 4 6 233 10 66.57 69,42 $\frac{18}{29}$ 8.0 128 Calco King. Climax Early...... Common Early Bed....... King Philips (Smalley)...... King Philips (College)...... Lape's Mixed...... .. " 10.2 5.0 176 $\tilde{2}\tilde{7}$ 50.28 58.03 199 14 Aug. 31 Sept. 2 27흡 20 ... 17 8 8 4.0 $220\frac{1}{2}$ 63 00 70.85 130 235 227 5.00 71.00 73.70 " Sept. 131 20 8.5 4.0 66.00 81 4.6 3.8 4 2 3.5 132 18 8.4 9 0 64.85 " .. 10 2134 61 00 5 00 66.00 16분 133 17 2 7.0 189 10 54 00 2.85 56 85 134 22 4.5 4.2 6.0 53 42 48.71 Piasa Pet.... ... $\overline{28}$... 14 9.5 170 17 48.57 44.57 4.85 135 ... 14 19 156 $\frac{4.14}{3.71}$ 136 309.0 14 I i 13 37.85 41.56 ... 10.8 10.2 1321 137 5 28.. 14 17 5.0 232 9÷ 66.28 2.71 66.99 138 Ninety-Day Red..... Thorou'hbr'd White Flint.. Sanford's Early..... 27 ... 98 5.8 116 19 33.14 5.4238.56 139 15 4.28 5.14 7.71 ... 50.11 140 14 Aug. 31 8.0 3.5 179 54.39 63.28 68.42 11 27 Sept. 11 Aug. 26 9.0 7.0 $\frac{4.0}{2.8}$ 221# 141 25 144 41.45 29.14 49.16 " 8 142 Aug. 4.4 24 5.5 2.5 102 221 6.42 35.56 143Navajo... Egyptian... 17 .. *42.42 22 | Sept. 11 9.0 4.4 796 1.71 44.13144

TABLE OF VARIETIES OF CORN - CONCLUDED.

Yield, ears with husk on kernel, 18.14 bushels; ears without husk on kernel, 24.28 bushels.

Numbers 27 and 95 represent the same variety, the Little Red Cob. It is a white corn, but was also planted among the yellows in a different part of the field. There is not quite 3¹/₂ bushels difference in the rate of yield per

acre of the two plats, which, as far as it goes, points to a nearly uniform quality of the soil. The 10 best yielders are in order of yield as follows: Mammoth White Dent, 91.56 bushels; Hartman's Early White, 88.28 bushels; Silver's Mammoth Yellow, 85.56 bushels; Mammoth Ivory Dent, 84.99 bushels; North Star, 83.70 bushels; Piasa Queen, 83.56 bushels; Leaming, 81.13 bushels; Pride of Kansas, 80.69 bushels; Legal Tender, 80.14 bushels, and Large Golden Dent, 79.99 bushels.

Many of the varieties in the list produce an abundance of foliage, and are, therefore, well suited for ensilage. Among the best of these are numbers 18, 27, 32, and 36. Others which are, perhaps, not quite so good are number 4, 6, 9, 23, 39, 44, 46, 48, 51, 53, 116, 135, 137, and 139. It will be noticed that most of these, and particularly the four first-named numbers, which are the heaviest growers of foliage, are rather shy producers of grain.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS.

1. The results from the experiments with cultivation indicate that it is possible to give too much as well as too little culture. The plats cultivated four times during the season gave the best yields. This is for a wet season, however; in a dry season, general experience points to the conclusion that more frequent cultivation is advantageous.

2. Corn should not be cut before it is ripe. Three years' experiments have given practically the same results. They indicated that there is a loss of at least 30 per cent. in the yield of grain when the corn is cut up in the "dough" state, and 50 per cent. when cut in the "milk" state. The yield of fodder, too, is greatest when the corn is allowed to ripen, but it is inferior in quality to that cut at an earlier stage.

3. Practically the yield was the same, whether large or small kernels were used for seed. The small kernels averaged slightly less sound, marketable ears than the large ones did, but the difference is so small that but little weight can be given it, and the deficiency was fully made up by a greater yield of small ears.

4. In the trial of butt, middle and tip kernels for seed, the butt kernels gave the best yields. Only the outermost, deformed butt and tip kernels were used.

5. The experiment of growing corn at different distances was tried on partially-exhausted soil, and the corn, therefore, did not grow with the vigor it would on richer soil, nor yield as well. On this soil it was found that small to medium sorts, like Pride of the North, yield best when the rows are 3 feet apart and the stalks 16 inches apart in the row. Learning about the same, though the best yield of merchantable corn was reached when the rows were $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart and the stalks 20 inches in the row. St. Charles gave the best yield of merchantable corn when the rows were 3 feet and the stalks 20 inches apart. Listed, the best yields were obtained when the rows were 4 feet apart and the stalks 8, 12 and 16 inches apart for Pride of

206

Historical Document



the North, Leaming, and St. Charles, respectively; and the best yields of merchantable corn when the stalks were 4 inches farther apart, in each case. In general, corn grown for the grain should not be planted closer than 3 feet, nor farther than $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet between the rows, and the stalks should be from 16 to 20 inches apart for medium varieties, surface planted. The highest weights of fodder were obtained when the stalks were but 4 inches apart in the row.

6. The heaviest weight of food material for ensilage, leaves and ears, was obtained when the rows were $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet apart and the stalks 4 inches apart in the row. Next to this, the best results were reached when the rows were 3 feet apart and the stalks from 12 to 16 inches, or the rows $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet and the stalks 8 to 12 inches, with but little choice between them.

7. There was a decided gain in the yield of corn by pulling the tassels from every other row.

8. Land plaster, applied at the rate of 200 pounds per acre with the seed in the row, had no effect whatever on the yield of corn.

9. Castor-bean oil meal (pomace), applied at the rate of 200 pounds per acre in the row, did not increase the yield of corn.

10. Soaking seed corn in solutions of creosote does not prevent smut, but it does injure the germination of the seed.

11. In a comparison of 140 varieties, the following 10 gave the best yields, in the order named: Mammoth White Dent, Hartman's Early White, Silver's Mammoth Yellow, Mammoth Ivory Dent, North Star, Piasa Queen, Leaming, Pride of Kansas, Legal Tender, Large Golden Dent, the yields ranging from 80 to 91½ bushels per acre. Those found to be excellent ensilage varieties were, Hiawasse Mammoth, Little Red Cob, Mosby's Prolific, and Parrish White.